

CREBRF missense variant rs373863828 has both direct and indirect effects on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose in Polynesian peoples living in Samoa and Aotearoa New Zealand

Emily M Russell ¹, Jenna C Carlson,^{1,2} Mohanraj Krishnan,¹ Nicola L Hawley,³ Guangyun Sun,⁴ Hong Cheng,⁴ Take Naseri,⁵ Muagututi'a Sefuiva Reupena,⁶ Satupa'itea Viali,⁷ John Tuitele,⁸ Tanya J Major,⁹ Iva Miljkovic,¹⁰ Tony R Merriman,^{9,11} Ranjan Deka,⁴ Daniel E Weeks,^{1,2} Stephen T McGarvey,^{12,13} Ryan L Minster¹

To cite: Russell EM, Carlson JC, Krishnan M, et al. *CREBRF* missense variant rs373863828 has both direct and indirect effects on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose in Polynesian peoples living in Samoa and Aotearoa New Zealand. *BMJ Open Diab Res Care* 2022;**10**:e002275. doi:10.1136/bmjdr-2021-002275

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjdr-2021-002275>).

Received 15 March 2021
Accepted 7 December 2021



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to
Dr Ryan L Minster;
ryan.minster@pitt.edu

ABSTRACT

Introduction The minor allele of a missense variant, rs373863828, in *CREBRF* is associated with higher body mass index (BMI), lower fasting glucose, and lower odds of type 2 diabetes. rs373863828 is common in Pacific Island populations (minor allele frequency (MAF) 0.096–0.259) but rare in non-Pacific Island populations (MAF <0.001). We examined the cross-sectional associations between BMI and rs373863828 in type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose with a large sample of adults of Polynesian ancestries from Samoa, American Samoa, and Aotearoa New Zealand, and estimated the direct and indirect (via BMI) effects of rs373863828 on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose.

Research design and methods We regressed type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose on BMI and rs373863828 stratified by obesity, regressed type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose on BMI stratified by rs373863828 genotype, and assessed the effects of rs373863828 on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose with path analysis. The regression analyses were completed separately in four samples that were recruited during different time periods between 1990 and 2010 and then the results were meta-analyzed. All samples were pooled for the path analysis.

Results Association of BMI with type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose may be greater in those without obesity (OR=7.77, $p=0.015$ and $\beta=0.213$, $p=9.53\times 10^{-5}$, respectively) than in those with obesity (OR=5.01, $p=1.12\times 10^{-9}$ and $\beta=0.162$, $p=5.63\times 10^{-6}$, respectively). We did not observe evidence of differences in the association of BMI with type 2 diabetes or fasting glucose by genotype. In the path analysis, the minor allele has direct negative (lower odds of type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose) and indirect positive (higher odds of type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose) effects on type 2 diabetes risk and fasting glucose, with the indirect effects mediated through a direct positive effect of rs373863828 on BMI.

Conclusions There may be a stronger effect of BMI on fasting glucose in Polynesian individuals without obesity than in those with obesity. Carrying the rs373863828 minor allele does not decouple higher BMI from higher odds of type 2 diabetes.

Significance of this study

What is already known about this subject?

- The minor allele of the missense variant rs373863828 in *CREBRF* is paradoxically associated with higher body mass index (BMI) and lower type 2 diabetes.
- rs373863828 is common in Samoan and other Polynesian and Pacific populations (minor allele frequency 0.042–0.259) but rare in non-Pacific populations (minor allele frequency <0.001).

What are the new findings?

- The rs373863828 minor allele has direct negative effects (lower odds of type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose) and indirect positive effects (higher odds of type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose) on type 2 diabetes risk and fasting glucose.
- The indirect positive effect of the rs373863828 minor allele is mediated through a direct positive effect (higher BMI) of the rs373863828 minor allele on BMI.
- Higher BMI is associated with higher odds of type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose even for those carrying the metabolically favorable rs373863828 minor allele.

How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?

- Exploring the effect of rs373863828 on BMI, type 2 diabetes, and fasting glucose adds to the current knowledge of *CREBRF* and may lead to important insights into 'favorable adiposity', where type 2 diabetes risk is lower in minor allele homozygotes despite their higher mean BMI.

INTRODUCTION

High body mass index (BMI) is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes and elevated fasting glucose levels. While type 2 diabetes and obesity are

highly correlated, there is little overlap in genetic variants associated with each phenotype.¹ One genetic variant associated with both, the minor allele A of a missense variant in *CREBRF* (rs373863828, c.1370G>A, p.R457Q) is simultaneously associated with higher BMI (1.36 kg/m² per copy of the A allele) and, contrary to expectations, lower the odds of type 2 diabetes (OR 0.586) and lower fasting glucose (−1.65 mg/dL per copy of the A allele) in Samoans and other Pacific Island populations.^{2–6} Alleles with such discrepant effects have been termed ‘favorable adiposity’ alleles.^{7,8} Favorable adiposity alleles like those of rs373863828 in *CREBRF* and variants in *ADAMTS9*, *GRB14/COBLL1*, and *TCF7L2*^{1,9} could reveal avenues for the study of the variation in biological pathways underlying the relationship between BMI and type 2 diabetes. The biological mechanisms of *CREBRF* and its role in the development of obesity and type 2 diabetes remain largely unknown.

The association of rs373863828 with BMI, obesity, type 2 diabetes, and fasting glucose was first identified in Samoans from Samoa and American Samoa² and has since been replicated in other Pacific populations.^{3–6} rs373863828 is common in Samoans (minor allele frequency (MAF)=0.259),² Tongans (MAF=0.150),⁵ Pukapukans (MAF=0.243), Niueans (MAF=0.096), Cook Island Māori (MAF=0.195), New Zealand Māori (MAF=0.174),³ Native Hawaiians (MAF=0.128),⁶ and Pacific Island people from Guam and Saipan (MAF=0.042),⁴ but is very rare in non-Pacific populations (MAF<0.001).^{10,11}

The prevalence of obesity in Polynesia is among the highest in the world, and temporal increases in obesity-related disorders such as type 2 diabetes represent a growing public health problem in the region.¹² From 1978 to 2013, the prevalence of obesity (≥30 kg/m²) in men rose from 28% to 53% and in women from 45% to 78%.¹³ Similarly, from 1980 to 2014, the age-standardized prevalence of type 2 diabetes of Samoan men and women increased from 6.1% and 9.0%, respectively, to 22.7% and 26.6%, respectively.¹⁴

Previous work has focused on the direct associations of rs373863828 with BMI, type 2 diabetes, and fasting glucose.^{2–5} Here we examine, more extensively, the relationships among type 2 diabetes, fasting glucose, BMI, and rs373863828 in three samples of adult Samoans (n=2861, n=1083, and n=1013) living in Samoa and American Samoa and a sample of adult Polynesians (n=1270) of several ancestries living in Aotearoa New Zealand. This combined study (n=7127) is the largest one thus far examining the relationship between rs373863828, type 2 diabetes, and BMI.^{2,3} This is also the first time that path analysis has been used to explore the direct and indirect effects of rs373863828 on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Participants and phenotypes

For this study, we worked with three samples of Samoan participants from Samoa and American Samoa^{2, 15–20} from a 1990–1995 longitudinal study of cardiometabolic disease, a 2002–2003 family-based cross-sectional study of adiposity-related trait genetics, and a 2010

population-based study of cardiometabolic disease genetics, and a sample of participants of Māori and Pacific (Polynesian) ancestry from the Genetics of Gout, Diabetes and Kidney Disease in Aotearoa New Zealand Study.³

Adult participants in the longitudinal 1990–1995 study were recruited from Samoa and American Samoa. They reported Samoan ancestry and no previous diagnosis of diabetes, heart disease, or hypertension at baseline.^{18–20} Whole blood was collected after an overnight fast for fasting glucose measurement. Fasting glucose ≥126 mg/dL at baseline was used to define type 2 diabetes.^{2,18} The final sample comprised 1013 individuals with full phenotypic and genotypic data (table 1).

Participants of the cross-sectional 2002–2003 family study reported Samoan ancestry and were recruited from Samoa and American Samoa as members of families of probands selected from the longitudinal 1990–1995 study.^{2, 16, 17} Whole blood was collected after a 10-hour fast for fasting glucose measurement. Fasting glucose ≥126 mg/dL and/or a previous diabetes diagnosis was used to define type 2 diabetes.^{2, 16} The final sample comprised 1083 adults with full phenotypic and genotypic data (table 1).

Participants in the 2010 Samoan sample were drawn from a cross-sectional population-based study of adults of reported Samoan ancestry recruited in Samoa. Details of the sample population and recruitment have been described elsewhere.^{2, 15} In brief, whole blood samples were collected following a 10-hour fast for the fasting glucose measurement. Type 2 diabetes was defined as fasting glucose ≥126 mg/dL and/or currently taking medication to treat diabetes.² The final sample comprised 2861 participants with full phenotypic and genotypic data (table 1).

The sample from Aotearoa New Zealand (n=2124) was recruited from 2006–2017.²¹ Type 2 diabetes was ascertained by physician diagnosis and/or participant reports and/or use of glucose-lowering therapy.³ Ancestry of participants was based on both self-reported New Zealand Māori and/or Pacific ancestries of their grandparents and clustering of genome-wide principal components resulting in 2124 adults of Polynesian ancestry. Fasting glucose was not measured in this study (table 1).

In all studies, height and weight were measured in duplicate and were used to calculate BMI. When classifying participants into those with and without obesity, we used the standard WHO cut-off of ≥30 kg/m².²² Compared with people of European ancestries, people of Polynesian ancestries have a greater ratio of lean mass to fat mass at higher BMI, so a BMI threshold of >32 kg/m² has been suggested to be more appropriate in this population than the standard WHO cut-off of ≥30 kg/m².^{22, 23} Our conclusions did not change when we used the Polynesian-specific BMI cut-off (online supplemental table S4).

Details of the DNA extraction and genotyping for the Samoan, American Samoan, and Aotearoa New Zealand

Table 1 Sample demographic information stratified by sex and diabetes status

1990–1995 Samoan and American Samoan sample

	Overall (n=1013)		Male (n=477, 47.1%)		Female (n=536, 52.9%)	
	Diabetes (n=63, 6.2%)	No diabetes (n=950, 93.8%)	Diabetes (n=34, 7.1%)	No diabetes (n=443, 92.9%)	Diabetes (n=29, 5.4%)	No diabetes (n=507, 94.6%)
Age, years, mean (SD)	46.0 (9.4)	38.8 (9.4)	46.3 (8.8)	38.8 (9.3)	45.7 (10.1)	38.8 (9.6)
BMI, kg/m ² , mean (SD)	35.7 (8.0)	31.8 (6.1)	34.8 (6.2)	30.6 (5.7)	36.7 (9.7)	32.8 (6.3)
Fasting glucose, mg/dL, mean (SD)	201.8 (68.8)	88.4 (12.1)	198.8 (55.3)	88.6 (12.6)	205.4 (82.7)	88.1 (11.6)

2002–2003 Samoan and American Samoan sample

	Overall (n=1083)		Male (n=499, 46.1%)		Female (n=584, 53.9%)	
	Diabetes (n=161, 14.9%)	No diabetes (n=922, 85.1%)	Diabetes (n=74, 14.8%)	No diabetes (n=425, 85.2%)	Diabetes (n=87, 14.9%)	No diabetes (n=497, 85.1%)
Age, years, mean (SD)	54.8 (12.3)	40.7 (16.1)	56.0 (12.0)	39.5 (15.8)	53.7 (12.4)	41.6 (16.4)
BMI, kg/m ² , mean (SD)	36.4 (8.8)	32.8 (7.7)	35.4 (9.7)	30.4 (6.1)	37.1 (7.8)	34.8 (8.3)
Fasting glucose, mg/dL, mean (SD)*	191.5 (84.2)	88.3 (13.9)	191.9 (92.9)	88.5 (13.3)	191.1 (76.5)	88.1 (14.4)

2010 Samoan sample

	Overall (n=2861)		Male (n=1149, 40.2%)		Female (n=1712, 59.8%)	
	Diabetes (n=478, 16.7%)	No diabetes (n=2383, 83.3%)	Diabetes (n=185, 16.1%)	No diabetes (n=964, 83.9%)	Diabetes (n=293, 17.1%)	No diabetes (n=1419, 82.9%)
Age, years, mean (SD)	52.5 (8.0)	43.7 (11.2)	53.0 (7.6)	44.3 (11.4)	52.2 (8.3)	43.3 (11.0)
BMI, kg/m ² , mean (SD)	35.1 (6.3)	33.1 (6.7)	34.0 (6.0)	30.9 (5.8)	34.6 (6.8)	35.9 (6.4)
Fasting glucose, mg/dL, mean (SD)†	206.1 (81.9)	88.6 (14.0)	203.5 (84.0)	89.6 (14.4)	207.7 (80.7)	88.0 (13.7)

2006–2013 Aotearoa New Zealand sample

	Overall (n=2124)		Male (n=1334, 62.8%)		Female (n=790, 37.2%)	
	Diabetes (n=557, 26.2%)	No diabetes (n=1567, 73.8%)	Diabetes (n=335, 25.1%)	No diabetes (n=999, 74.9%)	Diabetes (n=222, 28.1%)	No diabetes (n=568, 71.9%)
Age, years, mean (SD)	57.4 (11.4)	45.8 (15.2)	57.3 (11.5)	46.1 (14.5)	57.4 (11.4)	45.3 (16.2)
BMI, kg/m ² , mean (SD)	36.6 (8.2)	33.8 (7.6)	36.4 (7.5)	34.0 (7.1)	36.9 (9.1)	33.4 (8.3)
Fasting glucose, mg/dL, mean (SD)‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡

*Excluding individuals (2 male, 4 female) who reported a type 2 diabetes diagnosis.

†Excluding individuals (6 male, 11 female) who reported a type 2 diabetes diagnosis.

‡Fasting glucose was not measured in the Aotearoa New Zealand sample. BMI, body mass index.

samples have been described elsewhere.^{2,3} Subject relatedness in the 2010 sample was measured using an empirical kinship matrix calculated with OpenMendel using genome-wide genotype data.^{2,24,25} In the 2002–2003 sample, expected kinship was derived from familial pedigrees with OpenMendel.²⁴ Neither genome-wide genotype data nor pedigree information was available for participants in the 1990–1995 sample, so subjects were treated as unrelated in the statistical models. In the Aotearoa New Zealand sample, a kinship coefficient

matrix was calculated from 40 156 independent autosomal markers using PLINK V.1.9.²⁶

All participants in these studies gave written informed consent.

Statistical analysis

We explored the impact of BMI and rs373863828 on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose in three ways: (1) regression analysis to assess the effect of rs373863828 and natural log-transformed BMI (lnBMI) on type 2 diabetes

and natural log-transformed fasting glucose (lnFG) in the two obesity-stratified groups; (2) regression analysis to assess the effect of lnBMI on type 2 diabetes and lnFG within each of the three rs373863828 genotype groups; and (3) path analysis to model the effects of lnBMI and rs373863828 on type 2 diabetes and lnFG.

Except where noted, all statistical analyses were conducted using R (V.3.6.0), and a significance threshold of $\alpha < 0.05$ was used for all tests.²⁷ Age and age² were scaled and centered (cAge and cAge²) to separate the effects of the sex \times age and sex \times age² interactions from the main effects. The rs373863828 genotype was coded as an additive genetic effect for the analysis in R so that it represents the number of copies (0, 1, or 2) of the minor allele, A.

Participants who reported a diabetes diagnosis—and thus were potentially being treated for the disease—were excluded from fasting glucose analyses (table 1). We did not exclude individuals with fasting glucose ≥ 126 mg/dL from the fasting glucose analysis if they did not report a diagnosis because they were presumed to not be part of any intervention affecting their fasting glucose levels.

Each regression model was fit using logistic mixed models for type 2 diabetes status and linear mixed models for fasting glucose (online supplemental table S1). We adjusted for kinship as a random effect using the *lme4qtl* package.²⁸

Unless otherwise noted, we performed each analysis in each of the three Samoan/American Samoan samples and the Aotearoa New Zealand sample and then meta-analyzed the results together. In the regression analyses, the samples were meta-analyzed using SE—weights based on the effect sizes and heterogeneity was tested in METAL.²⁹ In the path analyses, we pooled the raw data across the samples.

All regression models were adjusted for age and sex. The analyses in the 2002–2003 and 1990–1995 Samoan/American Samoan sample sets were also adjusted for polity (Samoa or American Samoa) to account for the

many socioeconomic, cultural, and nutritional differences during these time periods between the Independent State of Samoa and the US territory of American Samoa.¹⁹ We did not adjust for polity in the single-country 2010 Samoan or Aotearoa New Zealand samples. For each regression model, we compared the effect sizes and the overlap of CIs, a conservative measure of heterogeneity, to assess whether the effects were different per stratification.³⁰

Association of lnBMI and rs373863828 with type 2 diabetes and lnFG stratified by obesity

We stratified the samples by the WHO obesity cut-off (30 kg/m²). We examined if the effects of lnBMI and rs373863828 on type 2 diabetes differed for individuals with and without obesity by regressing type 2 diabetes on lnBMI and rs373863828 in the obesity-stratified groups. We also examined whether the effects of lnBMI and rs373863828 on fasting glucose differed by regressing lnFG on lnBMI and rs373863828 in the obesity-stratified groups.

Association of lnBMI with type 2 diabetes and lnFG stratified by rs373863828 genotype

We stratified the samples by rs373863828 genotype (GG, GA, and AA). We examined if the effect of lnBMI on type 2 diabetes differed depending on genotype group by regressing type 2 diabetes on lnBMI in each of the groups. We also examined whether the effect of lnBMI on lnFG differed by regressing lnFG on BMI in each of the genotype groups.

Modeling the effects of lnBMI and rs373863828 on type 2 diabetes and lnFG with path analysis

The relationships among sex, age, rs373863828, lnBMI, and type 2 diabetes were modeled with path analysis using the *lavaan* package in R.³¹ Interaction terms were not included in the path model and therefore variables




Figure 1 Comparison of the OR and effect size in the obesity and genotype-stratified groups. From the meta-analysis, (A) a comparison of the OR and 95% CI in the obesity and genotype-stratified models with type 2 diabetes as the dependent variable and (B) a comparison of the effect size and 95% CI in the obesity and genotype-stratified models with lnFG as the dependent variable. lnBMI, log-transformed body mass index; lnFG, log-transformed fasting glucose; T2D, type 2 diabetes.

were not centered. The path analysis consisted of two layered multiple regression analyses: the regression of lnBMI on sex, age, and rs373863828, and the regression of type 2 diabetes on lnBMI, sex, age, and rs373863828. The indirect effect of rs373863828 on type 2 diabetes as mediated by lnBMI was calculated by multiplying the path coefficient of the direct effect of rs373863828 on lnBMI by the path coefficient of the direct effect of lnBMI on type 2 diabetes. Fasting glucose was modeled using path analysis by substituting lnFG for type 2 diabetes in the above model. Relatedness was not accounted for in these models because the methods for accounting for relatedness do not exist and this may increase type I error.

In the path analysis, the absolute value of an effect size of around 0.1 is commonly considered to be small, around 0.3 is medium, and 0.5 is large.³² The direct paths of sex to type 2 diabetes and sex to lnFG had effect sizes less than 0.1 and were not part of the direct or indirect path of rs373863828 on type 2 diabetes/lnFG so they were trimmed from the models. For both type 2 diabetes and lnFG, two models were examined: with and without a dummy variable for study (2010 Samoa, 2002–2003 Samoa, 2002–2003 American Samoa, 1990–1995 Samoa,

1990–1995 American Samoa, or 2006–2013 Aotearoa New Zealand) to assess for study sample differences.

RESULTS

Association of lnBMI and rs373863828 with type 2 diabetes and lnFG stratified by obesity

In the regression of type 2 diabetes on lnBMI and rs373863828, higher lnBMI was associated with higher odds of type 2 diabetes in participants without and with obesity (OR=7.77, $p=0.015$ and OR=5.01, $p=1.12 \times 10^{-9}$, respectively). lnBMI was also associated with lnFG in participants without and with obesity ($\beta=0.213$ (1.24 mg/dL higher fasting glucose with each higher unit of lnBMI), $p=9.53 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\beta=0.162$ (1.18 mg/dL higher fasting glucose), $p=5.63 \times 10^{-6}$, respectively) (figure 1 and online supplemental figure S1 and table 2). There was evidence of heterogeneity ($p<0.01$) between studies in the subset without obesity in the lnFG analysis ($p=0.046$) (online supplemental figure S1 and online supplemental table S2).

On the other hand, rs373863828 was associated with lower odds of type 2 diabetes in participants both without

Table 2 Effect of lnBMI and rs373863828 on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose in the meta-analysis, stratified by obesity status and genotype

Stratified by obesity status					
Stratum*	Meta-analysis: lnBMI			Meta-analysis: rs373863828	
	n	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes					
Without obesity	2316 (244 cases)	7.77 (1.48 to 40.78)	0.015	0.59 (0.43 to 0.81)	9.80×10^{-4}
With obesity	4765 (1015 cases)	5.01 (2.98 to 8.42)	1.12×10^{-9}	0.67 (0.58 to 0.77)	1.09×10^{-8}
Dependent variable: natural log-transformed fasting glucose					
Stratum*	n	β (SE)	P value	β (SE)	P value
Without obesity	1616	0.213 (0.055)	9.53×10^{-5}	-0.036 (0.009)	4.57×10^{-5}
With obesity	2916	0.162 (0.036)	5.63×10^{-6}	-0.029 (0.008)	1.66×10^{-4}
Stratified by genotype					
Stratum	Meta-analysis: lnBMI				
	n	OR (95% CI)	P value		
Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes					
GG	4111 (824 cases)	6.37 (4.03 to 10.05)		1.88×10^{-15}	
GA	2547 (389 cases)	7.049 (2.85 to 17.47)		2.46×10^{-5}	
AA	232 (22 cases)	1.774 (0.19 to 16.93)		0.619	
Dependent variable: natural log-transformed fasting glucose					
Stratum	n	β (SE)	P value		
GG	2442	0.245 (0.027)	3.12×10^{-20}		
GA	1759	0.214 (0.034)	1.89×10^{-10}		
AA	331	0.161 (0.062)	0.001		

*Without obesity: BMI ≤ 30 kg/m²; with obesity: BMI > 30 kg/m².
BMI, body mass index; lnBMI, log-transformed body mass index.

and with obesity (OR=0.59, $p=9.80 \times 10^{-4}$ and OR=0.67, $p=1.09 \times 10^{-8}$, respectively). rs373863828 was also associated with lower lnFG in participants both without and with obesity ($\beta=-0.036$ (-0.96 mg/dL fasting glucose with each copy of the missense allele), $p=4.57 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\beta=-0.029$ (-0.97 mg/dL fasting glucose), $p=1.66 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively) (figure 1 and online supplemental figure S1 and table 2).

Association of lnBMI with type 2 diabetes and lnFG stratified by rs373863828 genotype

In the regression of type 2 diabetes on lnBMI in the genotype-stratified groups, higher lnBMI was associated with higher odds of type 2 diabetes in both the GG and GA groups (OR=6.37, $p=1.88 \times 10^{-15}$ and OR=7.05, $p=2.46 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively). lnBMI was not significantly associated with type 2 diabetes in the AA group (OR=1.774, $p=0.619$) (table 2). However, the analysis of the AA group only included participants from the 2010 Samoan sample (type 2 diabetes cases=22) because the regression models did not converge with the low numbers of type 2 diabetes cases in the AA group in the 1990–1995 and 2002–2003 Samoan samples ($n=2$ and $n=6$, respectively) and in the Aotearoa New Zealand sample ($n=16$) (figure 1 and online supplemental table S3). According to a post-hoc power calculation, we had 70.5% power to detect an OR of 1.774 in our AA sample with $\alpha=0.05$.

In the meta-analysis of lnBMI on lnFG in the genotype-stratified groups, higher lnBMI was associated with higher lnFG in all genotype groups (GG: $\beta=0.245$ (1.28 mg/dL higher fasting glucose with each unit of lnBMI), $p=3.12 \times 10^{-20}$; GA: $\beta=0.214$ (1.24 mg/dL higher fasting glucose), $p=1.89 \times 10^{-10}$; and AA: $\beta=0.161$ (1.17 mg/dL higher fasting glucose), $p=0.001$) (figure 1 and online supplemental table S3). In the lnFG analyses, there was evidence of heterogeneity between studies in the GG group ($p=0.079$) (online supplemental figure S2).

Path analysis models

The type 2 diabetes path analysis model included five nodes: lnBMI, sex, rs373863828 genotype (subscript Gt in the following path coefficients), age, and type 2 diabetes (subscript T2D). The path pooled analyses were modeled with and without additional nodes to account for study sample (online supplemental figure

S2). These models were similar, so the simpler models are presented in figure 2. In the path pooled analysis displayed in figure 2A, rs373863828 had a small direct effect on lnBMI ($P_{\ln\text{BMI},\text{Gt}}=0.10$, $p<0.001$). rs373863828 and lnBMI had small direct effects on type 2 diabetes ($P_{\text{T2D},\text{Gt}}=-0.09$, $p<0.001$ and $P_{\text{T2D},\ln\text{BMI}}=0.13$, $p<0.001$, respectively). rs373863828 also had a small indirect effect on type 2 diabetes as mediated by lnBMI ($P_{\ln\text{BMI},\text{Gt}} \times P_{\text{T2D},\ln\text{BMI}}=0.01$). The root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) was 0.011 (90% CI 0.000 to 0.035), indicating a good model fit.³³

The lnFG path analysis model included five nodes: lnBMI, sex, rs373863828, age, and lnFG. In the path pooled analysis (figure 2B), rs373863828 had a small direct effect on lnBMI ($P_{\ln\text{BMI},\text{Gt}}=0.12$, $p<0.001$). rs373863828 had a small direct effect on lnFG ($P_{\ln\text{FG},\ln\text{BMI}}=0.18$, $p<0.001$). rs373863828 also had a small indirect effect on lnFG that was mediated by lnBMI ($P_{\ln\text{BMI},\text{Gt}} \times P_{\ln\text{FG},\ln\text{BMI}}=0.02$). RMSEA was 0.068 (90% CI 0.045 to 0.094), indicating that the path model was a mediocre fit.³³

DISCUSSION

We see here that the discordant association of rs373863828 with BMI and type 2 diabetes is consistent among individuals with and without obesity and across dietary and physical activity exposures and general nutritional environments from Samoa in 1991¹⁹ to Aotearoa New Zealand in 2006–2013^{3,34} (online supplemental tables S2 and S3). While there is evidence of heterogeneity between studies in some of the meta-analyses, this is not surprising, given the secular trends in fasting glucose and type 2 diabetes (online supplemental figure S1). These samples were recruited during different decades and from different countries, and there could be underlying differences in them arising from cohort effects, their different dietary and physical activity environments, and differences in other exposures.

There may be a stronger effect of BMI on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose levels in Samoans without obesity than in Samoans with obesity, especially in the more recent adult samples. Temporal trends in BMI and adiposity among adolescents and young adults indicate that adults studied more recently have very likely been living with higher BMI and adiposity levels for a longer




Figure 2 Type 2 diabetes and lnFG path analysis models. In the pooled analysis, rs373863828 genotype (Gt) has both direct (blue) and indirect (red) effects on (A) T2D and (B) lnFG as mediated by lnBMI. All direct paths shown have $p<0.001$. lnBMI, log-transformed body mass index; lnFG, log-transformed fasting glucose; T2D, type 2 diabetes.

time.^{35 36} Adiposity earlier in life and longer exposure to it are likely to lead to more rapid temporal increases in hyperglycemia and insulin resistance as adiposity increases from normal to overweight to obesity, relative to later in the pathophysiology process.³⁷ Note the increase in the variance of fasting glucose (table 1) from the 1990s to the later time periods.

While higher BMI is associated with higher fasting glucose across all rs373863828 genotypes, the magnitude of effect is less with each copy of the missense allele (table 2 and figure 1). However, we do not see a similar pattern in the effect of BMI on type 2 diabetes (table 2 and figure 1). Carrying the A allele does not decouple higher BMI from higher odds of type 2 diabetes. Individuals who are GG or GA or AA all have higher odds of type 2 diabetes with higher BMI, but individuals who are AA have a lower risk of type 2 diabetes than those who are GG at any given BMI (table 2 and figure 1).

In our exploration of the relationships among BMI, fasting glucose, and genotype via path analysis, we found that the rs373863828 missense variant has both a *direct* protective effect on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose and an *indirect* opposing risk-increasing effect on type 2 diabetes odds and fasting glucose (figure 2). This indirect effect is mediated through the direct increasing effect of the rs373863828 missense variant on BMI (figure 2). Again, the rs373863828 missense allele provides some protection from type 2 diabetes, but higher BMI is associated with higher odds of type 2 diabetes regardless of rs373863828 genotype.

Little is known about the biology behind the effects of *CREBRF* on type 2 diabetes and BMI. There is evidence that it has multiple, tissue-specific functions. The rs373863828 minor allele is associated with greater bone and lean mass in Samoan infants at 4 months old, but it is not associated with BMI,³⁸ suggesting that body composition may be involved in the association between rs373863828, type 2 diabetes, and BMI. Another function is indicated by the analog of *CREBRF* in *Drosophila*, which is involved in energy homeostasis,³⁹ and along with one of its binding partners, CREBL2, it functions as a metabolic regulator linking nutrient sensor mTORC1 (mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1) to cellular metabolic response.⁴⁰ Finally, there is evidence of a role in adipose differentiation. Overexpression of *CREBRF* in mouse 3T3-L1 preadipocytes induces the expression of adipogenic markers and results in increased lipid accumulation, and overexpression of *CREBRF*:p.R457Q promotes greater lipid storage while using less energy than wild-type *CREBRF*.² Our path analysis with its disparate effects adds to this body of evidence that *CREBRF*'s role in biology is multifarious.

While rs373863828 has disparate effects on BMI and type 2 diabetes, BMI is still an important and readily available clinical predictor of type 2 diabetes risk,⁴¹ independent of rs373863828. While this work is unlikely to impact clinical practice in the immediate future, exploring the effect of rs373863828 on BMI and type 2 diabetes adds to

current knowledge of the effects of *CREBRF* on BMI and type 2 diabetes.

Our power to detect differences in the stratified analyses was limited by the number of individuals in each sample, in particular the low number of individuals with type 2 diabetes with two copies of the rs373863828 minor allele in the 1990–1995 Samoan, 2002–2003 Samoan, and Aotearoa New Zealand samples. Another limitation of this work is that we were not able to estimate kinship in our models for the 1990–1995 Samoan sample because we did not have genome-wide genotype data nor pedigree information. The heterogeneity between studies may also limit our power to detect associations.

Future work should include possible confounding variables such as socioeconomic status, physical activity, diet, time since diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, and more specialized measurements of fat distribution in the models. These variables were not consistently measured in every sample included in this work so they were not included in order to keep the confounding variables as unified as possible. The effect of rs373863828 on fat distribution could also be explored in future work by measuring body composition with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and by looking at the association between rs373863828 and other obesity-related diseases.

In summary, we provide evidence that the rs373863828 minor allele has both direct negative effects (lower odds of type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose) and indirect positive effects (higher odds of type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose). The indirect positive effects on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose are mediated by the direct positive effect (higher BMI) of the minor allele of rs373863828 on BMI. Higher BMI is associated with higher fasting glucose and odds of type 2 diabetes. We also suggest that there may be a stronger positive association between BMI and type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose in Polynesians without obesity than in Polynesians with obesity. Finally, the A allele of rs373863828 is associated with lower odds of type 2 diabetes, but no matter the genotype higher BMI is still associated with higher odds of type 2 diabetes.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Human Genetics, University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

²Department of Biostatistics, University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

³Department of Chronic Disease Epidemiology, Yale University School of Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

⁴Department of Environmental and Public Health Sciences, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

⁵Ministry of Health, Government of Samoa, Apia, Samoa

⁶Lutia i Puava 'ae Mapu i Fagalele, Apia, Samoa

⁷School of Medicine, National University of Samoa, Apia, Samoa

⁸Department of Public Health, Lyndon B Johnson Tropical Medical Center, Faga'alu, American Samoa

⁹Department of Biochemistry, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

¹⁰Department of Epidemiology, University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

¹¹Division of Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA

¹²International Health Institute and Department of Epidemiology, Brown University School of Public Health, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

¹³Department of Anthropology, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

Acknowledgements We are grateful for the contributions of Melania Selu and Vaimoana Lupematisila to the recruitment of the 2010 Samoan sample. We thank the Samoan participants and local village authorities and research assistants over the years. We acknowledge the support of our research collaboration with the Samoa Ministry of Health, Samoa Bureau of Statistics, Samoan Ministry of Women, Community and Social Development, and the American Samoa Department of Health. We acknowledge the contributions of Nicola Dalbeth, Janak de Zoysa, Rinki Murphy, and Lisa Stamp to the recruitment of the Aotearoa New Zealand sample, Jennie Harré Hindmarsh to the recruitment of the Ngati Porou Haurora (NPHCT) sample included within the Aotearoa New Zealand sample, and the contributions of Ruth Topless, Amanda Phipps-Green, Marilyn Merriman, and Murray Cadzow for laboratory work and management of the New Zealand data sets.

Contributors EMR and MK performed the analyses with guidance from RLM, DEW, JCC, and IM. EMR, JCC, and MK wrote the manuscript. NLH led the field work data collection in Samoa in 2010 and phenotype analyses with guidance from STM. STM led the fieldwork data collection in American Samoa in 1990–1994 and 2002, and in Samoa in 1991–1995 and 2003. GS and HC performed the genotyping of the Samoan samples with guidance from RD. MSR, SV, and JT facilitated fieldwork in Samoa and American Samoa. TN contributed to the discussion of the public health implications of the findings. TRM contributed to the data collection in Aotearoa New Zealand. TJM created the kinship matrix for the Aotearoa New Zealand sample. All authors contributed to this work, discussed the results, and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. RLM is the guarantor of the work.

Funding Research in Samoa and American Samoa was funded by the National Institutes of Health (R01-HL093093, R01-HL133040, R01-AG09375, R01-HL52611, R01-DK55406, P30-ES006096, and R01-DK59642). Research in New Zealand was funded by the Health Research Council of New Zealand (08/075, 10/548, 11/1075, 14/527).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants. The research in Samoa and American Samoa was reviewed and approved by the institutional review boards of Miriam Hospital, Providence, Rhode Island, Brown University, University of Cincinnati, and University of Pittsburgh. Research in Samoa was also reviewed and approved by the Health Research Committee of the Samoan Ministry of Health. Research in American Samoa was additionally reviewed and approved by the American Samoa Department of Health Institutional Review Board. Ethical approval for the Aotearoa New Zealand study was given by the NZ Multi-Region Ethics Committee (MEC/05/10/130; MEC/10/09/092; MEC/11/04/036) and the Northern Y Region Health Research Ethics Committee (NPHCT study; NTY07/07/074). Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available in a public, open access repository. Data are available upon reasonable request. No data are available. The 2010 sample data from Samoa examined in this study are available from dbGaP (accession number: phs000914.v1.p1). The 1991–1995 and 2002–2003 sample data from Samoa and American Samoa are not available because participants did not consent to data sharing when enrolled. Sample data from Aotearoa New Zealand are not publicly available owing to consent restrictions, but can be requested from author TRM (<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0844-8726>) under an appropriate arrangement.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially,

and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Emily M Russell <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9151-0310>

REFERENCES

- 1 Grarup N, Sandholt CH, Hansen T, *et al*. Genetic susceptibility to type 2 diabetes and obesity: from genome-wide association studies to rare variants and beyond. *Diabetologia* 2014;57:1528–41.
- 2 Minster RL, Hawley NL, Su C-T, *et al*. A thrifty variant in CREBRF strongly influences body mass index in Samoans. *Nat Genet* 2016;48:1049–54.
- 3 Krishnan M, Major TJ, Topless RK, *et al*. Discordant association of the CREBRF rs373863828 a allele with increased BMI and protection from type 2 diabetes in Māori and Pacific (Polynesian) people living in Aotearoa/New Zealand. *Diabetologia* 2018;61:1603–13.
- 4 Hanson RL, Safabakhsh S, Curtis JM, *et al*. Association of CREBRF variants with obesity and diabetes in Pacific Islanders from Guam and Saipan. *Diabetologia* 2019;62:1647–52.
- 5 Naka I, Furusawa T, Kimura R, *et al*. A missense variant, rs373863828-A (p.Arg457Gln), of CREBRF and body mass index in oceanic populations. *J Hum Genet* 2017;62:847–9.
- 6 Lin M, Caberto C, Wan P. Population specific reference panels are crucial for the genetic analyses of Native Hawaiians: an example of the CREBRF locus. *bioRxiv* 2019;789073.
- 7 Yaghootkar H, Scott RA, White CC, *et al*. Genetic evidence for a normal-weight "metabolically obese" phenotype linking insulin resistance, hypertension, coronary artery disease, and type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2014;63:4369–77.
- 8 Yaghootkar H, Lotta LA, Tyrrell J, *et al*. Genetic evidence for a link between favorable adiposity and lower risk of type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and heart disease. *Diabetes* 2016;65:2448–60.
- 9 Fernández-Rhodes L, Howard AG, Graff M, *et al*. Complex patterns of direct and indirect association between the transcription factor-7 like 2 gene, body mass index and type 2 diabetes diagnosis in adulthood in the Hispanic community health Study/Study of Latinos. *BMC Obes* 2018;5:26.
- 10 Taliun D, Harris DN, Kessler MD. Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from the NHLBI TOPMed program. *bioRxiv* 2019;563866.
- 11 Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G. Variation across 141 456 human exomes and genomes reveals the spectrum of loss-of-function intolerance across human protein-coding genes. *bioRxiv* 2019;531210.
- 12 Hawley NL, McGarvey ST. Obesity and diabetes in Pacific Islanders: the current burden and the need for urgent action. *Curr Diab Rep* 2015;15:29.
- 13 Lin S, Naseri T, Linhart C, *et al*. Trends in diabetes and obesity in Samoa over 35 years, 1978–2013. *Diabet Med* 2017;34:654–61.
- 14 NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. *Lancet* 2016;387:1513–30.
- 15 Hawley NL, Minster RL, Weeks DE, *et al*. Prevalence of adiposity and associated cardiometabolic risk factors in the samoan genome-wide association study. *Am J Hum Biol* 2014;26:491–501.
- 16 Dai F, Keighley ED, Sun G, *et al*. Genome-Wide scan for adiposity-related phenotypes in adults from American Samoa. *Int J Obes* 2007;31:1832–42.
- 17 Dai F, Sun G, Åberg K, *et al*. A whole genome linkage scan identifies multiple chromosomal regions influencing adiposity-related traits among Samoans. *Ann Hum Genet* 2008;72:780–92.
- 18 McGarvey ST, Levinson PD, Bausser-Man L, *et al*. Population change in adult obesity and blood lipids in American Samoa from 1976–1978 to 1990. *Am J Hum Biol* 1993;5:17–30.
- 19 Galanis DJ, McGarvey ST, Quested C, *et al*. Dietary intake of modernizing Samoans: implications for risk of cardiovascular disease. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1999;99:184–90.
- 20 Chin-Hong PV, McGarvey ST. Lifestyle incongruity and adult blood pressure in Western Samoa. *Psychosom Med* 1996;58:130–7.
- 21 Wrigley R, Phipps-Green AJ, Topless RK, *et al*. Pleiotropic effect of the ABCG2 gene in gout: involvement in serum urate levels and progression from hyperuricemia to gout. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2020;22:45.
- 22 Swinburn BA, Ley SJ, Carmichael HE, *et al*. Body size and composition in Polynesians. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1999;23:1178–83.
- 23 Wen JYJ, Rush EC, Plank LD. Assessment of obesity in New Zealand Chinese: a comparative analysis of adults aged 30–39 years from five ethnic groups. *N Z Med J* 2010;123:87–98.

- 24 Lange K, Papp JC, Sinsheimer JS, *et al.* Mendel: the Swiss army knife of genetic analysis programs. *Bioinformatics* 2013;29:1568–70.
- 25 Zhou H, Sinsheimer JS, Bates DM. OpenMendel: a cooperative programming project for statistical genetics. *Hum Genet.*
- 26 Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, *et al.* PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* 2007;81:559–75.
- 27 R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing, 2017. Available: <https://www.r-project.org/>
- 28 Ziyatdinov A, Vázquez-Santiago M, Brunel H, *et al.* lme4qtl: linear mixed models with flexible covariance structure for genetic studies of related individuals. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2018;19:68.
- 29 Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. Metal: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* 2010;26:2190–1.
- 30 Schenker N, Gentleman JF. On judging the significance of differences by examining the overlap between confidence intervals. *Am Stat* 2001;55:182–6.
- 31 Rosseel Y. lavaan : An R Package for Structural Equation Modeling. *J Stat Softw* 2012;48:1–36.
- 32 Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. 2nd ed. Hillsdale N.J.: L. Erlbaum Associates, 1988. <http://www.worldcat.org/title/statistical-power-analysis-for-the-behavioral-sciences/oclc/17877467>
- 33 Schreiber JB, Nora A, Stage FK, *et al.* Reporting structural equation modeling and confirmatory factor analysis results: a review. *J Educ Res* 2006;99:323–38.
- 34 Phipps-Green AJ, Merriman ME, Topless R, *et al.* Twenty-eight loci that influence serum urate levels: analysis of association with gout. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;75:124–30.
- 35 Keighley ED, McGarvey ST, Quesada C. Nutrition and health in modernizing Samoans: temporal trends and adaptive perspectives. *Heal Chang Asia-Pacific Reg* 2007:147–91.
- 36 Hawley NL, Wier LM, Cash HL, *et al.* Modernization and cardiometabolic risk in samoan adolescents. *Am J Hum Biol* 2012;24:551–7.
- 37 Kahn SE, Hull RL, Utzschneider KM. Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 2006;444:840–6.
- 38 Arslanian KJ, Fidow UT, Atanoa T. A missense variant in CREBRF, rs373863828, is associated with fat-free mass, not fat mass in samoan infants. *Int J Obes* 2020.
- 39 Tiebe M, Lutz M, De La Garza A, *et al.* REPTOR and REPTOR-BP regulate organismal metabolism and transcription downstream of TORC1. *Dev Cell* 2015;33:272–84.
- 40 Tiebe M, Lutz M, Senyilmaz Tiebe D, *et al.* Crebl2 regulates cell metabolism in muscle and liver cells. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:19869.
- 41 Tiner J, Hawley NL, Weeks DE. *The utility of combining CREBRF genotype with BMI to screen Samoans for type 2 diabetes (Abstract/Program 1914/W)*. Houston, Texas: American Society of Human Genetics, 2019.
- 42 Hawley NL, Minster RL, Weeks DE, *et al.* Prevalence of adiposity and associated cardiometabolic risk factors in the samoan genome-wide association study. *Am J Hum Biol* 2014;26:491–501.

Supplementary Table S1: Equations for the linear mixed models

Model	Equation
obesity-stratified	$T2D \sim (cAge \times sex) + (cAge^2 \times sex) + cAge + sex + cAge^2 + gt + \ln BMI + k$
	$\ln FG \sim (cAge \times sex) + (cAge^2 \times sex) + cAge + sex + cAge^2 + gt + \ln BMI + k$
genotype-stratified	$T2D \sim (cAge \times sex) + (cAge^2 \times sex) + cAge + sex + cAge^2 + \ln BMI + k$
	$\ln FG \sim (cAge \times sex) + (cAge^2 \times sex) + cAge + sex + cAge^2 + \ln BMI + k$

T2D = type 2 diabetes, k = kinship random effect, gt = additive rs373863828 genotype, cAge = centered and scaled age

Supplementary Table S2: Effect of (a) lnBMI and (b) rs37386388 on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose in each cohort, stratified by obesity status

a. Predictor: lnBMI												
1990–95 Samoan cohort lnBMI				2002–03 Samoan cohort lnBMI			2010 Samoan cohort lnBMI			2006–2013 Aotearoa New Zealand cohort lnBMI		
Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes												
Stratum*	n	OR _(95% CI)	p	n	OR _(95% CI)	p	n	OR _(95% CI)	p	n	OR _(95% CI)	p
without obesity	409	0.56 (0.003, 98.36)	0.824	397	29.29 (4.83×10^{-7} , 1.78×10^9)	0.712	922	35.84 (2.95, 435.56)	0.005	588	3.08 (0.26, 36.67)	0.374
with obesity	604	18.06 (2.00, 163.37)	0.010	686	5.15 (1.17, 22.78)	0.031	1,939	2.80 (1.14, 6.87)	0.024	1,536	6.39 (3.05, 13.41)	9.14×10^{-7}
Dependent variable: lnFG												
Stratum*	n	β _(s.e.)	p	n	β _(s.e.)	p	n	β _(s.e.)	p	n	β _(s.e.)	p
without obesity	409	-0.021 (0.109)	0.847	358	0.309 (0.109)	0.005	849	0.281 (0.077)	2.62×10^{-4}	†	†	†
with obesity	604	0.181 (0.082)	0.028	574	0.172 (0.065)	0.008	1,738	0.149 (0.050)	0.003	†	†	†
b. Predictor: rs37386388												
1990–95 Samoan cohort rs37386388				2002–03 Samoan cohort rs37386388			2010 Samoan cohort rs37386388			2006–2013 Aotearoa New Zealand cohort rs37386388		
Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes												
Stratum*	n	OR _(95% CI)	p	n	OR _(95% CI)	p	n	OR _(95% CI)	p	n	OR _(95% CI)	p
without obesity	409	0.39 (0.11, 1.33)	0.132	397	0.46 (0.01, 42.15)	0.733	922	0.60 (0.40, 0.90)	0.014	588	0.63 (0.37, 1.06)	0.084
with obesity	604	0.74 (0.44, 1.24)	0.248	686	0.86 (0.59, 1.25)	0.428	1,939	0.60 (0.49, 0.74)	2.96×10^{-6}	1,536	0.68 (0.55, 0.85)	6.44×10^{-4}

Dependent variable: lnFG												
Stratum*	1990–95 Samoan cohort rs37386388			2002–03 Samoan cohort rs37386388			2010 Samoan cohort rs37386388			2006–2013 Aotearoa New Zealand cohort rs37386388		
	n	β (s.e.)	<i>p</i>	n	β (s.e.)	<i>p</i>	n	β (s.e.)	<i>p</i>	n	β (s.e.)	<i>p</i>
without obesity	409	-0.033 (0.017)	0.062	358	-0.059 (0.020)	0.004	849	-0.029 (0.012)	0.017	†	†	†
with obesity	604	-0.027 (0.016)	0.082	574	-0.006 (0.017)	0.720	1,738	-0.037 (0.010)	3.31 × 10⁻⁴	†	†	†

*without obesity: BMI ≤ 30 kg/m²; with obesity: BMI > 30 kg/m²

†Fasting glucose was not measured in the Aotearoa New Zealand cohort

Supplementary Table S3: Effect of lnBMI on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose in each cohort, stratified by genotype

1990–95 Samoan cohort lnBMI				2002–03 Samoan cohort lnBMI			2010 Samoan cohort lnBMI			2006–2013 Aotearoa New Zealand cohort lnBMI		
Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes												
Stratum	n	OR _(95% CI)	p	n	OR _(95% CI)	p	n	OR _(95% CI)	p	n	OR _(95% CI)	p
GG	574	4.37 (0.50, 38.42)	0.184	643	3.73 (1.06, 13.10)	0.040	1,513	4.81 (2.18, 10.62)	1.01 × 10⁻⁴	1,381	9.19 (4.79, 17.61)	2.43 × 10⁻¹¹
GA	370	19.01 (1.43, 252.20)	0.026	392	29.55 (0.01, 1.47 × 10 ⁵)	0.436	1,116	8.58 (0.07, 1.0 × 10 ³)	0.375	669	5.91 (2.18, 16.00)	4.73 × 10⁻⁴
AA	69	*	*	48	†	†	232	1.77 (0.19, 16.93)	0.619	74	‡	‡
Dependent variable: lnFG												
Stratum	n	β _(s.e.)	p	n	β _(s.e.)	p	n	β _(s.e.)	p	n	β _(s.e.)	p
GG	574	0.140 (0.061)	0.021	537	0.223 (0.049)	4.58 × 10⁻⁶	1,331	0.296 (0.037)	5.73 × 10⁻¹⁶	§	§	§
GA	370	0.148 (0.071)	0.038	353	0.263 (0.068)	1.17 × 10⁻⁴	1,036	0.219 (0.046)	2.08 × 10⁻⁶	§	§	§
AA	69	0.064 (0.121)	0.597	42	0.383 (0.126)	0.002	220	0.103 (0.088)	0.243	§	§	§

*Too few type 2 diabetes cases (n = 2) to run analysis.

†Too few type 2 diabetes cases (n = 6) to run analysis.






‡Too few type 2 diabetes cases (n = 16) to run analysis.

§Fasting glucose was not measured in the Aotearoa New Zealand cohort

Supplementary Table S4: Effect of lnBMI and rs373863828 on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose in the meta-analysis, stratified by obesity status using the Polynesian obesity cutoff (32 kg/m²).

Meta-analysis lnBMI				Meta-analysis rs373863828		
Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes						
Stratum*	n	OR (95% CI)	p	n	OR (95% CI)	p
without obesity	3,211 (393 cases)	6.27 (1.90, 20.75)	0.003	3,211	0.58 (0.45, 0.74)	9.70 × 10⁻⁶
with obesity	3,870 (866 cases)	4.41 (2.35, 8.25)	3.68 × 10⁻⁶	3,870	0.73 (0.63, 0.85)	2.82 × 10⁻⁵
Dependent variable: natural log transformed fasting glucose						
Stratum*	n	β (s.e.)	p	n	β (s.e.)	p
without obesity	2,180	0.191 (0.041)	4.11 × 10⁻⁶	2,180	-0.030 (0.008)	8.17 × 10⁻⁵
with obesity	2,352	0.093 (0.044)	0.036	2,352	-0.033 (0.009)	1.22 × 10⁻⁴

*without obesity: BMI ≤ 32 kg/m²; with obesity: BMI > 32 kg/m²

Supplementary Figure S1: Comparison of the cohort odds ratios and effect sizes in the obesity and genotype-stratified groups.**a. Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes (T2D)****InBMI → T2D****Genotype-stratified: GG Group**Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 2.51$; $I^2 = 0$; $p = 0.473$ **Genotype-stratified: GA Group**Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.8$; $I^2 = 0$; $p = 0.849$ **b. Dependent variable: lnFG****InBMI → lnFG****Genotype-stratified: GG Group**Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 5.06$; $I^2 = 60.5$; $p = 0.079$ **Genotype-stratified: GA Group**Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 1.40$; $I^2 = 0$; $p = 0.498$ **Genotype-stratified: AA Group**Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 4.18$; $I^2 = 52.2$; $p = 0.124$


c. Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes (T2D)

rs37386388 → T2D

Obesity-stratified: without obesity

Cohort	OR
2010 Samoan	0.60
2002–03 Samoan	0.46
1990–95 Samoan	0.39
Aotearoa New Zealand	0.63

Summary 0.59




Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.52$; $I^2 = 0$; $p = 0.914$

Obesity-stratified: with obesity

Cohort	OR
2010 Samoan	0.60
2002–03 Samoan	0.86
1990–95 Samoan	0.74
Aotearoa New Zealand	0.68

Summary 0.67




Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 2.78$; $I^2 = 0$; $p = 0.426$

lnBMI → T2D

Obesity-stratified: without obesity

Cohort	OR
2010 Samoan	35.84
2002–03 Samoan	29.29
1990–95 Samoan	0.56
Aotearoa New Zealand	3.08

Summary 7.77




Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 3.00$; $I^2 = 0$; $p = 0.392$

Obesity-stratified: with obesity

Cohort	OR
2010 Samoan	2.80
2002–03 Samoan	5.15
1990–95 Samoan	18.06
Aotearoa New Zealand	6.39

Summary 5.01



Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 3.34$; $I^2 = 10.1$; $p = 0.343$


d. Dependent variable: lnFG

rs37386388 → lnFG

Obesity-stratified: without obesity

Cohort	Effect
2010 Samoan	-0.029
2002–03 Samoan	-0.059
1990–95 Samoan	-0.033

Summary -0.036




Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 1.69$; $I^2 = 0$; $p = 0.429$

Obesity-stratified: with obesity

Cohort	Effect
2010 Samoan	-0.037
2002–03 Samoan	-0.006
1990–95 Samoan	-0.027

Summary -0.029




Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 2.48$; $I^2 = 19.4$; $p = 0.289$

lnBMI → lnFG

Obesity-stratified: without obesity

Cohort	Effect
2010 Samoan	0.281
2002–03 Samoan	0.309
1990–95 Samoan	-0.021

Summary 0.213




Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 6.16$; $I^2 = 67.6$; $p = 0.046$

Obesity-stratified: with obesity

Cohort	Effect
2010 Samoan	0.149
2002–03 Samoan	0.172
1990–95 Samoan	0.181


Summary 0.162



Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.15$; $I^2 = 0$; $p = 0.930$

Caption: Forest plots for a comparison of the cohort odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals in the (a) genotype-stratified and (c) obesity-stratified models with type 2 diabetes as the dependent variable and a comparison of the cohort effect sizes and 95% confidence intervals in the (b) genotype-stratified and (d) obesity-stratified models with lnFG as the dependent variable. In the genotype-stratified analyses (a), there were too few type 2 diabetes cases to run the analyses in the 2002-03 Samoan, 1990-95 Samoan, and Aotearoa New Zealand cohorts.

Supplementary Figure S2: Pooled analysis Path Analysis Model with Study Cohort Variables



Caption: When study cohort variables (S1 – S5) are included in the model, the rs373863828 genotype (Gt) has both direct (blue) and indirect (red) effects on (a) type 2 diabetes (T2D) and (b) lnFG as mediated by lnBMI. For (a), the RMSEA is < 0.001 (90% CI 0.000–0.020) indicating that the path model is a very good fit. For (b), the RMSEA is < 0.064 (90% CI 0.041–0.090) indicating that the path model is a mediocre fit.