BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care

Medical expenditure trajectory and HbA1c progression prior to and after clinical diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in a commercially insured population in the USA

Lorissa Pagán ¹, ¹ Wenya Yang, ¹ Hui Shao, ² Yu Wang, ³ Ping Zhang ³

To cite: Pagán L, Yang W, Shao H, *et al.* Medical expenditure trajectory and HbA1c progression prior to and after clinical diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in a commercially insured population in the USA. *BMJ Open Diab Res Care* 2023;**11**:e003397. doi:10.1136/ bmjdrc-2023-003397

Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjdrc-2023-003397).

Received 6 March 2023 Accepted 8 September 2023

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹The Lewin Group, Falls Church, Virginia. USA

²Department of Pharmaceutical Outcomes and Policy, University of Florida College of Pharmacy, Gainesville, Florida, USA ³Division of Diabetes Translation, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Correspondence to

Dr. Lorissa Pagán; lorissa.pagan@lewin.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction Medical expenditures of individuals with type 2 diabetes escalate before clinical diagnosis. How increases in medical expenditures are related to glucose levels remains unclear. We examined changes in HbA1c and medical expenditures in years prior to and shortly after type 2 diabetes diagnosis.

Research design and methods Using insurance claims and laboratory test results from a commercially insured population in the USA, we built three (2014, 2015, 2016) longitudinal cohorts with type 2 diabetes up to 10 years before and 2 years after the diagnosis (index year). We identified diabetes diagnosis using International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision and Tenth Revision codes and antidiabetic medication use. We ran two individual fixed regression models with annual total medical expenditures and average HbA1c values as dependent variables and number of years from diagnosis as the main independent variable and examined the riskadjusted movement of the outcomes.

Results Our study included 9847 individuals (83 526 person-years). Medical expenditures and HbA1c levels increased before and peaked at the diagnosis year. Medical expenditures were \$8644 lower 10 years and \$5781 lower 1 year before diagnosis compared with the index year. HbA1c was 12.18 mmol/mol (1.11 percentage points) and 3.49 mmol/mol (0.32 percentage points) lower, respectively. Average annual increases in medical expenditures and HbA1c values over the prediagnosis period were \$318 and 0.97 mmol/mol (0.09 percentage points), respectively.

Conclusions Medical expenditures and HbA1c values followed similar trajectories before and after diabetes diagnosis. Our results can inform economic evaluations of programs and policies aimed at preventing type 2 diabetes.

INTRODUCTION

Medical expenditures are higher for individuals in years leading up to and after the initial type 2 diabetes diagnosis, compared with expenditures for those who do not develop diabetes.^{1–5} HbA1c values among these individuals are also higher in those years, compared with those who do not develop

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Medical expenditures are higher for individuals prior to and after the initial type 2 diabetes diagnosis compared with those who do not develop diabetes. The changes in both expenditures and glucose levels in years prior to type 2 diabetes diagnosis have not been clearly described.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ We examined the risk-adjusted movement total medical expenditures and HbA1c prior to and immediately after diagnosis of type 2 diabetes. We found that both average HbA1c and total medical expenditures follow a similar trajectory before and after diagnosis. The average annual increases in medical expenditures and HbA1c values over the 10-year prediagnosis period were \$318 and 0.97 mmol/mol (0.09 percentage points), respectively.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ Our results provide information for economic evaluations of programs and policies aimed at preventing type 2 diabetes.

diabetes, as HbA1c values among patients with pre-diabetes increase over time to reach the threshold of type 2 diabetes.⁶ How medical expenditures and HbA1c values are related in years prior to type 2 diabetes diagnosis has not been clearly described in the literature. Understanding this relationship could have important implications for type 2 diabetes prevention. Lifestyle intervention has been shown to be effective in slowing the progression of type 2 diabetes among those with a high risk of developing type 2 diabetes.⁷⁸ However, the assessment of financial benefits resulting from lifestyle intervention programs depends on a clear understanding of the relationship between medical expenditures and

HbA1c values among high-risk individuals. If changes in HbA1c values are positively associated with the change in medical expenditures during the years prior to the diabetes diagnosis, delaying the onset of diabetes through reducing HbA1c levels before diabetes diagnosis may result in reductions in medical spending.

The objective of this study is to assess movement in HbA1c and medical expenditures prior to and immediately after diabetes diagnosis among individuals with diagnosed type 2 diabetes. We hypothesized that changes in HbA1c values are closely associated with changes in medical expenditures in years before the diabetes diagnosis and that both outcomes would move similarly over time.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS Data source

We used data from the Optum deidentified Normative Health Information (dNHI) database, a longitudinal claims research database for privately insured individuals, containing eligibility, medical, and pharmacy claims data from a large US health plan. For roughly one-third of the dNHI covered population, or approximately 7–8 million individuals per year, independent laboratory results are available, which made it possible to examine the changes in average HbA1c values over time for a subsample of person-years.

Identification of pre-diabetes cohort

Our study sample included individuals newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes in 2014, 2015, or 2016 (the 'diagnosis year' or 'index year'). We considered an individual to have a type 2 diabetes if they met either of the following conditions in the diagnosis year: (1) the individual had at least one claim in inpatient records or a minimum of two claims in outpatient records where the outpatient claims were at least 30 days apart (the second outpatient claim could be in the following year) in which there was indication of having type 2 diabetes by International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision and Tenth Revision (ICD-9 and ICD-10) diagnosis codes (specific codes of ICD-9 diagnosis code 250 and ICD-10 diagnosis codes E11 and E13; see online supplemental table S1), or (2) the drug claims indicated that the individual filled an antidiabetic drug (eg, insulin, biguanides, glucagon-like peptide-1, sodium-glucose transport protein 2 inhibitors, sulfonylureas, meglitinide, thiazolidinedione, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors; excluding monotherapy metformin). We also required that the individuals have continuous prescription and medical coverage for 2 years before and at least 1 year after the diagnosis year, resulting in continuous medical and prescription coverage for a minimum of 4 years. We examined a maximum of 10 years of data before diagnosis and up to 2 years of data after diagnosis, conditional on the individual maintaining continuous coverage during the timeframe. Because we were interested in changes in both the medical expenditures and

HbA1c values over time, we required that individuals have results in our data for at least one HbA1c test during the prediagnosis period.

To ensure the selected patients with type 2 diabetes were newly diagnosed, we then restricted the sample to individuals with no claims-based evidence of type 2 diabetes in the years leading up to diagnosis, where claims with ICD-9 or 10 diagnosis codes for type 2 diabetes or a prescription refill for antidiabetic drugs (excluding metformin) during the preperiod was considered evidence of a prior diagnosis. After identifying individuals newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes, we also excluded individuals with evidence of type 1 diabetes, gestational diabetes during pregnancy, drug-induced diabetes, or secondary diabetes in any of the study years based on ICD-9 or 10 codes. Individuals with undiagnosed diabetes may have higher costs than a truly pre-diabetes sample, thus we excluded individuals from the sample that had either two HbA1c tests in 1 year during the preperiod with values greater than 46.45 mmol/mol (6.4%) or individuals that had a single test with an HbA1c value greater than 51.91 mmol/mol (6.9%) anytime during the preperiod. Additionally, we excluded individuals who were 65 or older during the 4-year required coverage period as persons aged ≥65 years in the USA are mostly covered by the Medicare program and are likely to have incomplete data in our dataset for the privately insured. Finally, we excluded individuals with a heart or liver transplant during the study period. See online supplemental figure S1 for a flow chart applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria and online supplemental table S1 for a list of ICD-9 and 10 codes used in our inclusion and exclusion criteria.

We constructed a longitudinal dataset at the personyear level, which included up to 13 years of data for each individual with type 2 diabetes. Online supplemental figure S2 shows the structure of each cohort in terms of the diagnosis year, the preperiod, and the postperiod and the corresponding calendar year.

Statistical analyses

We calculated total medical expenditure (payments from the health plan, third party, and patient out-of-pocket) in 2020 US\$ and average HbA1c values (mmol/mol) by year. We ran two separate regression models with annual total medical expenditures and average annual HbA1c values as the respective outcome variables. The model controlled for high-cost medical conditions and comorbidities identified by ICD-9 and 10 codes (, online supplemental table S2). The model also included an individual-level fixed-effect factor to control for both observable and unobservable time-invariant individuallevel factors.

The main independent variables of interest were a set of indicators of the number of years before or after diagnosis. The coefficients on these time indicators measured the differential in the outcomes between the diagnosis year and each year before or after diagnosis, after controlling for other factors. These coefficients were used to compare incremental changes in medical expenditures and HbA1c values over time.

Both medical expenditures and HbA1c values may follow a different trajectory for individuals with obesity. As a secondary analysis, we ran the same regression models stratified by obesity status, that is, those with and without claims-based evidence of obesity identified by ICD-9 and 10 codes at any time during the study period.

To bridge the two sets of regression results, we calculated the predicted risk-adjusted medical expenditure and predicted risk-adjusted HbA1c value based on the estimated differential between the year of diagnosis and a given year (time indicator coefficient) and the sample average of the outcome during the year of diagnosis. For example, to calculate the prediction of HbA1c 1 year prior to diagnosis, we added the sample average of HbA1c values during the year of diagnosis and the coefficient on the time indicator for 1 year prior to diagnosis. After constructing these predictions for medical spending and average HbA1c values, we plotted the values corresponding to each year to visualize the relationship. We also calculated the average yearly incremental change in the outcomes by calculating the differences in the coefficient estimates year over year and taking the average of those differences in the years leading up to diagnosis.

For individuals with 10 or more years of continuous coverage before the diabetes diagnosis, we could observe both HbA1c values and medical expenditures during the entire prediagnosis period. In comparison, for individuals with less than 10 years of continuous coverage prior to diagnosis, we could only observe these values for some of the 10-year prediagnosis period. We conducted a sensitivity analysis to assess the implications of the potential bias from including individuals with different look-back periods by running both the total medical expenditures and average annual HbA1c regressions for only individuals with at least 10 years of continuous prediagnosis coverage. Additionally, we assessed the robustness of our results to the exclusion of individuals with undiagnosed diabetes by estimating the regressions without excluding individuals with high HbA1c values during the preperiod.

We also calculated unadjusted expenditure trends by spending category (ie, inpatient facility care, outpatient facility care, pharmacy, office visits, laboratory, and other). This information can help identify expenditure patterns associated with progressions to and diagnosis of type 2 diabetes for each spending category and to explain the trend of the total medical expenditure.

Data and resource availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from Optum, but restrictions apply to the use of these data, which were analyzed under license for the current study and therefore are not publicly available. Aggregated results based on the data are available from the authors on reasonable request and with permission of Optum.

Table 1 Sample characteristics of individuals									
Variable	n (9847)	% or mean (SD)							
Number of years in sample									
4	446	4.5%							
5	1595	16.2%							
6	1247	12.7%							
7	1094	11.1%							
8	870	8.8%							
9	858	8.7%							
10	665	6.8%							
11	770	7.8%							
12	880	8.9%							
13	1422	14.4%							
Demographics during diagnosis year									
Age	9847	51.1 (8.7)							
Female	5169	52.5%							
Asian	583	5.9%							
Black (non-Hispanic)	835	8.5%							
Hispanic	1584	16.1%							
Unknown race	1505	15.3%							
White (non-Hispanic)	5340	54.2%							
Those with high-cost conditions during index year									
Cancer	1307	13.3%							
HIV/AIDS	60	0.6%							
Childbirth	157	1.6%							
Those with comorbidities during index year									
Hypertension	6308	64.1%							
Hyperlipidemia	6951	70.6%							
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	449	4.6%							
Dementia	45	0.5%							
Paralysis	33	0.3%							
Liver disease	1123	11.4%							
Ulcers	174	1.8%							
Rheumatoid disease	221	2.2%							

Sex, race, and ethnicity statistics are based on categories available in the deidentified Normative Health Information (dNHI) database. Individuals with missing values for race and ethnicity have been included in the unknown race category.

1493

15.2%

RESULTS

Morbid obesitv

The final sample included 9847 individuals with a total of 83 526 person-year observations in the analytical dataset, with approximately 9.1% of the individuals having inpatient claims with a diabetes diagnosis during the index year. Table 1 shows descriptive statistics of the study population. By construction, individuals had at least 4 years



Figure 1 Average medical spending and HbA1c values by year before and after type 2 diabetes diagnosis. This figure shows the unadjusted averages of per-person annual medical expenditure (dotted line) and average HbA1c values (long dashes) during each year 10 years before and 2 years after a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes. Note that HbA1c test results are only available for a subsample of person-years (34 533 out of 83 526 person-years, or 41%). Both medical spending and HbA1c follow a similar trajectory over time.

of continuous coverage. On average, individuals contributed 8.5 years of data, and over 14% had a full 13 years of data. The mean age at diagnosis was 51.1 years. A majority of individuals had hypertension or hyperlipidemia (64% and over 70%, respectively) and slightly over 15% of the sample had morbid obesity during the diagnosis year.

Figure 1 shows the unadjusted observed average annual expenditures (in 2020 US\$) and average HbA1c values by the number of years before and after diagnosis. Both outcomes followed a similar trajectory of a slow but steady increase before diabetes diagnosis, a peak in the diagnosis year, and a quick fall after the diagnosis year.

Results on unadjusted trends by expenditure category show that there was a slow and steady increase in spending across categories during the prediagnosis period, with outpatient and pharmacy expenditures being the largest of the groupings (online supplemental figure S3). During the year of diagnosis, there was a notable increase in most categories, with the increase in inpatient facility expenditures accounting for roughly one-half of the increase in total expenditures. Among individuals with non-zero inpatient facility expenditures during the index year (n=1198), 46.2% of the expenditures were related to diabetes on average, as identified through the presence of diagnosis codes. During the years following diagnosis, most categories experienced a decline in expenditures relative to the diagnosis year. One exception was pharmacy

 Table 2
 Annual medical expenditure (2020 US\$) and average HbA1c values before and after the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes estimated from the panel linear regression with fixed effects

	Total medical exp (n=83 526)	expenditure, 2020 US\$		Average HbA1c, mmol/mol (n=34 533)		
Variable	Coefficient	SE	P value	Coefficient	SE	P value
Time						
-10	-\$8644	\$674	<0.0001	-12.18	1.17	< 0.0001
-9	-\$8509	\$584	<0.0001	-7.64	0.98	< 0.0001
-8	-\$8461	\$524	<0.0001	-8.51	0.65	< 0.0001
-7	-\$7745	\$483	<0.0001	-7.74	0.49	< 0.0001
-6	-\$8027	\$449	<0.0001	-7.06	0.36	< 0.0001
-5	-\$7027	\$421	<0.0001	-6.63	0.29	< 0.0001
-4	-\$7061	\$396	<0.0001	-6.13	0.23	< 0.0001
-3	-\$6696	\$372	<0.0001	-5.73	0.18	< 0.0001
-2	-\$6419	\$350	<0.0001	-4.88	0.15	< 0.0001
-1	-\$5781	\$348	<0.0001	-3.49	0.13	< 0.0001
0						
1	-\$3160	\$345	<0.0001	-2.00	0.12	< 0.0001
2	-\$2491	\$374	<0.0001	-1.61	0.14	< 0.0001
High cost						
Cancer	\$9146	\$346	<0.0001	-0.15	0.16	0.3781
HIV/AIDS	\$6257	\$3418	0.0672	-0.66	1.60	0.6803
Childbirth	\$15 797	\$1147	<0.0001	-2.78	0.64	< 0.0001
Comorbidities						
Hypertension	\$6065	\$276	<0.0001	-0.14	0.15	0.3408
Hyperlipidemia	\$579	\$244	0.0179	-0.11	0.13	0.4095
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	\$6834	\$535	<0.0001	-0.20	0.27	0.4705
Dementia	\$33 724	\$1813	<0.0001	-1.23	0.84	0.1429
Paralysis	\$55 342	\$2286	<0.0001	-0.36	1.05	0.7342
Liver disease	\$4106	\$335	<0.0001	-0.14	0.16	0.3909
Ulcers	\$14 085	\$939	<0.0001	-0.34	0.37	0.3586
Rheumatoid disease	\$11 105	\$934	<0.0001	-0.63	0.42	0.1373
Unadjusted mean outcome during index year	\$17 615			47.1 mmol/mol		

Coefficients in the total medical expenditure regression are in 2020 dollars. Coefficients in average HbA1c regression are in mmol/mol. The HbA1c sample includes person-years where an individual has at least one HbA1c test value during the year and is a subsample of the total medical expenditure sample.

expenditures, which continued to gradually increase after diagnosis.

The estimated coefficients from the total medical expenditures and average annual HbA1c regression models, controlling for comorbidities and individual fixed effects, are shown in table 2. The negative coefficients on time indicators from the expenditure model indicated that medical spending was lower in years before and after a type 2 diabetes diagnosis compared with the diagnosis year. Overall, medical spending increased over time in the prediagnosis period. During the diagnosis year, the unadjusted average total medical expenditure was \$17 615. Ten years before the diagnosis of diabetes, spending was \$8644 lower than during the diagnosis year, while the year before diagnosis medical spending was \$5781 lower than during the diagnosis year, after controlling for other factors. Following diagnosis, the average per-person medical expenditure was lower than during the diagnosis year, though the time indicator coefficients were smaller in magnitude than the prediagnosis coefficients, even compared with the year before diagnosis, indicating that medical spending was higher after diagnosis than before diagnosis.

HbA1c regression was conducted only among a subset of the study sample (34 533 out of 83 526 person-years, or approximately 41% of the total sample) as not all individuals had an HbA1c test every year during the study period (see, online supplemental table S2 for counts of individuals with at least one HbA1c value in each year). Similar to the annual medical expenditures, HbA1c values were lower during the prediagnosis and postdiagnosis periods compared with the year of diagnosis, as indicated by the negative coefficients on the time indicators (table 2). Overall, HbA1c values increased over time leading to diagnosis, with an incremental increase of 4.54 mmol/mol (0.42 percentage points) going from 10 to 9 years before diagnosis, decreasing slightly between 9 and 8 years before diagnosis, and then mostly steadily increasing (indicated by the coefficients becoming less negative) as the individual approached the diagnosis vear. By 1 year before diagnosis, HbA1c values were about 3.49 mmol/mol (0.32 percentage points) lower than during the year of diagnosis. HbA1c values were also lower in the postdiagnosis period than during the diagnosis year, though the average HbA1c values remained higher (closer to the diagnosis year value) during the postdiagnosis period than the prediagnosis period. The

estimated average yearly incremental change before the type 2 diabetes diagnosis was \$318 for total expenditures and 0.97 mmol/mol (0.09 percentage points) for HbA1c value.

Figure 2 shows the predicted average medical expenditures and predicted average HbA1c by year. A visual inspection of the plot indicated that there may be a positive relationship between the two outcomes.

Unadjusted average medical expenditures in the diagnosis year for individuals with obesity were higher than those without obesity, and the coefficients on the time indicators from the medical expenditure regressions were somewhat larger for the subgroup with obesity during the prediagnosis period (see online supplemental tables S3 and S4). For the HbA1c regressions, the coefficient estimates on the time indicators were generally also larger for individuals in the obesity subgroup, though the size of the difference attenuated in later years of the prediagnosis period. Despite these differences, the coefficients on the time indicators generally followed a similar pattern to each other and the main results. The estimated coefficients were also similar for both outcomes between those with a complete 10 years of preperiod data as well as when we included individuals with undiagnosed



Figure 2 Predicted medical expenditures and HbA1c values before and after diabetes diagnosis. This figure shows the plot of combinations of predicted annual medical expenditures and predicted HbA1c values in each year, where year -10 indicates 10 years prior to diagnosis, year 0 is the diagnosis year, and year 2 is 2 years after diagnosis. We have fit a line through the points to help illustrate the relationship (dotted line).

6

diabetes in the sample (see online supplemental tables S5 and S6).

CONCLUSIONS

We aimed to assess changes in medical expenditures and during the progression to type 2 diabetes as measured by HbA1c prior to diagnosis. We found a similar trajectory in medical expenditures and HbA1c values over time, increasing in the years leading up to diagnosis, peaking at the diagnosis year, and falling in the years following diagnosis, both when examining the unadjusted trends and the estimated coefficients after adjusting for other factors. The estimated average increase over the 10-year period prior to type 2 diabetes diagnosis was about \$300 per year for medical expenditures and 0.97 mmol/ mol (0.09 percentage points) for HbA1c. Although not implying a causal relationship, visual inspection indicated a potentially positive association between HbA1c level and medical expenditures. If there is a positive relationship, then slowing the progression to type 2 diabetes may slow the increase in medical expenditures during the years leading to the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes.

While there is extensive literature on changes in spending after a diagnosis of diabetes, few studies have examined changes in spending in the years before diagnosis. Findings from those studies indicated that medical expenditures begin to rise many years before a type 2 diagnosis and that the difference in medical expenditures between individuals with and without diabetes becomes wider as an individual approaches diabetes diagnosis.⁴ For example, Shrestha and colleagues assessed the incremental medical expenditures for adults with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes before and after diagnosis.⁵ The study demonstrated that individuals diagnosed with type 2 diabetes had higher medical expenditures compared with matched controls who never developed diabetes, after diagnosis and up to 10 years prior to diagnosis.⁵ Another more recent study found that incremental costs began to rise in patients with diabetes at least 5 years before diagnosis and accelerated in the year of diagnosis. The study compared newly diagnosed patients to control patients without a diabetes diagnosis and found that patients with diabetes spent \$8941 more over a 5-year time span (4 years before diagnosis and the year of diagnosis), with almost half of the additional cost occurring in the 4 years before diagnosis (\$4113 of the \$8941).³ However, neither of these two studies was able to examine how medical expenditure changes were related to changes in glucose levels or to link changes in spending directly to changes in glucose level, mainly due to a lack of data on glucose measurements in their datasets.

The strengths of our paper are that we were able to calculate spending in each year using claims data rather than patient self-reports and have data on at least one HbA1c laboratory result for all individuals in our sample. Because of this, we can compare the trends in HbA1c and medical expenditures during the years leading up to a type 2 diabetes diagnosis and examine the movement of medical expenditures and HbA1c values longitudinally. The availability of the laboratory results on HbA1c value also allowed us to identify individuals with HbA1c tests in the diabetic range during the preperiod to remove individuals with undiagnosed diabetes from the sample.

Many interventions are effective in slowing the progression of type 2 diabetes among individuals who are at high risk of developing the disease.⁸ Whether these interventions are cost-effective partially depends on if slowing the progression to type 2 diabetes can result in savings in medical expenditures. While our study cannot answer this question directly, our finding that increases in HbA1c values on average were concurrent with increases in medical expenditure during the prediabetes period among those later diagnosed with type 2 diabetes may imply that interventions that can slow the diabetes progression could have some economic benefit. The estimated changes in medical expenditure and HbA1c values could be used to inform financial benefits of preventing or delaying type 2 diabetes resulting from prevention programs, or simulation models that assess the cost-effectiveness of the diabetes prevention programs.

This study has several limitations. Laboratory HbA1c results were only available for a subgroup of our study population and the records were collected from two national independent laboratories. Individuals with at least one HbA1c test in the study sample may be different from individuals with unknown pre-diabetes that may not have received testing services, which may have led to an overestimate in the changes in medical expenditures and HbA1c progression and may limit the generalizability of our findings. Although we excluded individuals with high HbA1c test results during the prediagnosis period, there may still be patients with undiagnosed diabetes who are not identifiable in our data. For the subgroup analysis, we used ICD-9 and 10 codes to identify beneficiaries with evidence of obesity. However, it is possible some individuals with non-clinical obesity were misclassified as not having obesity. Additionally, the hypertension and hyperlipidemia comorbidities are highly correlated with high blood glucose levels and therefore we may have overcontrolled the estimation of the cost and HbA1c changes over time. Lastly, while our analytical approach allows us to assess changes in the two outcomes over time and to visually compare the trends, we were not able to directly quantify the association as the regressions were estimated separately.

We described trajectory in medical expenditures and HbA1c prior to type 2 diabetes diagnosis by estimating both the changes in these two variables during the years prior to and shortly after the diabetes diagnosis. We observed similar trajectories in average HbA1c and medical spending over time, with and without adjusting for confounding factors. Our results could support economic evaluations of programs and policies aimed at preventing type 2 diabetes.

Epidemiology/Health services research

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge Inna Cintina, PhD, and Matt Zhou, MS, for their contributions in the preparation of the analytical plan and database construction.

Contributors LP contributed to the design of the study, data construction and analysis, discussion, and write-up. WY contributed to the design of the study, analysis of data, discussion of results, and write-up. HS, YW, and PZ contributed to the design of the study, discussion, and write-up. LP is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Funding Funding for this study was provided under contract from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Contract No 75D30120P08898).

Disclaimer The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Department of Health and Human Services, or the US government. The manuscript was submitted for clearance by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention prior to submission, which is required for manuscripts with authors from the agency.

Competing interests LP and WY are employees of the Lewin Group, which is owned by a subsidiary of the United Health Group and may own and/or hold stock options in the company. LP and WY provide paid consulting services to federal and state governments, non-profit entities, and for-profit entities. HS has received funding from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data may be obtained from a third party and are not publicly available. The data that support the findings of this study are available from Optum, but restrictions apply to the use of these data, which were analyzed under license for the current study and therefore are not publicly available. Aggregated results based on the data are available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of Optum.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability

of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Lorissa Pagán http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2150-5633

REFERENCES

- Dall T, Yang W, Gillespie K, et al. The economic burden of elevated blood glucose levels in 2017: diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes. GDM 2019;42:1661–8.
- 2 Khan T, Tsipas S, Wozniak G. Medical care expenditures for individuals with prediabetes: the potential cost savings in reducing the risk of developing diabetes. *Popul Health Manag* 2017;20:389–96.
- 3 Khan T, Yang J, Wozniak G. Trends in medical expenditures prior to diabetes diagnosis: the early burden of diabetes. *Popul Health Manag* 2021;24:46–51.
- 4 Nichols GA, Glauber HS, Brown JB. Type 2 diabetes: incremental medical care costs during the 8 years preceding diagnosis. *Diabetes Care* 2000;23:1654–9.
- 5 Shrestha SS, Zhang P, Hora IA, *et al.* Trajectory of excess medical expenditures 10 years before and after diabetes diagnosis among U.S. adults aged 25–64 years, 2001–2013. *Diabetes Care* 2019;42:62–8.
- 6 Morris DH, Khunti K, Achana F, et al. Progression rates from hba1C 6.0-6.4% and other prediabetes definitions to type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetologia* 2013;56:1489–93.
- 7 Diabetes prevention program research group. the 10-year costeffectiveness of lifestyle intervention or metformin for diabetes prevention: an intent-to-treat analysis of the DPP/DPPOS [published correction appears in diabetes care Dec;36:4173-5]. *Diabetes Care* 2012:723–30.
- 8 Zhou X, Siegel KR, Ng BP, et al. Cost-effectiveness of diabetes prevention interventions targeting high-risk individuals and whole populations: a systematic review. *Diabetes Care* 2020;43:1593–616.

Supplemental Material

Figure S1: Study Sample Selection Process



										Diagnosis Year		
-10	-9	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2
2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018

Figure S2: Demonstration of Study Periods by Diagnosis Year

The bolded column at period 0 indicates the year of diabetes diagnosis for an individual. The dark grey boxes indicate years of required coverage for an individual to be included in the sample. Individuals may have up to 10 prediagnosis years and up to two postdiagnosis years, depending on if they have maintained continuous insurance coverage during that time.





Condition	ICD-9 Diagnosis/Procedure Code*			ICD-10 Diagnosis/Procedure Code*			DRG*
Condition	250.00	250.22	250.70	E11 00	E11 400	E12 24	DIG
	250.00	250.32	250.70	E11.00	E11.022	E13.30	
	250.02	250.40	250.72	E11.01	E11.628	E13.39	
	250.10	250.42	250.80	E11.21	E11.630	E13.40	
	250.12	250.50	250.82	E11.22	E11.638	E13.41	
	250.20	250.52	250.90	E11.29	E11.641	E13.42	
	250.22	250.60	250.92	E11.311	E11.649	E13.44	
	250.30	250.62		E11.321	E11.65	E13.49	
				E11.331	E11.69	E13.51	
				E11.341	E11.8	E13.52	
				E11.351	E11.9	E13.59	
				E11.36	E13.00	E13.610	
				E11.39	E13.01	E13.618	
Type 2 Diabetes				E11.40	E13.10	E13.620	
1) p = 2 2 100 0000				E11 41	E13.11	E13 621	
				E11.41	E13.11	E13.621	
				E11.42	E12 22	E13.622	
				E11.43	E13.22	E13.028	
				E11.44	E13.29	E13.030	
				E11.49	E13.321	E13.038	
				EII.51	E13.329	E13.641	
				E11.52	E13.331	E13.649	
				E11.59	E13.339	E13.65	
				E11.610	E13.341	E13.69	
				E11.618	E13.349	E13.8	
				E11.620	E13.351	E13.9	
				E11.621	E13.359		
	250.01	250.33	250.71	E10.10	E10.39	E10.621	
	250.03	250.41	250.73	E10.11	E10.40	E10.622	
	250.11	250.43	250.81	E10.21	E10.43	E10.628	
	250.13	250.51	250.83	E10.22	E10.44	E10.630	
	250.21	250.53	250.91	E10.29	E10.49	E10.638	
Type 1 Diabetes	250.23	250.55	250.91	E10.29	E10.1	E10.650	
Type T Diabetes	250.23	250.61	250.95	E10.311	E10.51	E10.649	
	250.51	250.05		E10.321	E10.52	E10.65	
				E10.331	E10.59	E10.05	
				E10.341	E10.010	E10.09	
				E10.351	E10.018	E10.8	
	640.0			E10.36	E10.620	E10.9	
Gestational Diabetes During	648.8			024.41			
	249.00	249 31	249 70	E08.00	F09.00	E13.00	
	249.01	249 40	249 71	E08.01	E09.00	E13.00	
	249.01	249.40	249.71	E08.01	E09.01	E13.01	
	249.10	247.41	247.00	E08.10	E09.10 E00.11	E13.10 E12.11	
	249.11	249.30	247.01	E00.11	E09.11	E13.11 E12.21	
	249.20	249.51	249.90	EU8.21	E09.21	E13.21	
	249.21	249.60	249.91	E08.22	E09.22	E1322	
	249.30	249.61		E08.29	E09.29	E13.29	
Diabetes Mellitus Secondary				E08.311	E09.311	E13.311	
				E08.319	E09.319	E13.319	
				E08.321	E09.321	E13.321	
				E08.329	E09.329	E13.329	
				E08.331	E09.331	E13.331	
				E08.339	E09.339	E13.339	
				E08.341	E09.341	E13.341	
				E08.349	E09.349	E13.349	

Table S1: Diagnosis Codes for Condition Identification

Condition	ICD-9 Dia	gnosis/Proce	dure Code*	ICD-10 Dia	agnosis/Proce	edure Code*	DRG*
		-		E08.351	E09.351	E13.351	
				E08.359	E09.359	E13.359	
				E08.36	E09.36	E13.36	
				E08.39	E09.39	E13.39	
				E08.40	E09.40	E13.40	
				E08.41	E09.41	E13.41	
				E08.42	E09.42	E13.42	
				E08 43	E09.43	E13 43	
				E08 44	E09.44	E13.44	
				E08.49	E0949	E13.49	
				E08.51	E09 51	E13.19	
				E08.51	E09.51	E13.51	
				E08.52	E09.52	E13.52	
				E08.57	E09.55	E13.57	
				E08.618	E09.618	E13.618	
				E08.018	E09.018	E13.018	
				E08.020	E09.020 E09.621	E13.020 E13.621	
				E08.021	E09.021	E13.021	
	1			E00.022	E09.022	E13.022	
				E08.028	E09.028	E13.028	
				E08.030	E09.630	E13.030	
				E08.638	E09.638	E13.638	
				E08.641	E09.641	E13.641	
				E08.649	E09.649	E13.649	
				E08.65	E09.65	E13.65	
				E08.69	E09.69	E13.69	
				E08.8	E09.8	E13.8	
	140 165			E08.9	E09.9	E13.9	
	140-165			C00 - C'/9			
	170-208			C7A			
	209.0			C7B			
Malignant Cancer [†]	209.1			C80-C96			
8	209.2			D03			
	209.3			D37-D49			
	209.7			Q85			
	230-239						
HIV/AIDs	042			B20			
	V27.0	648.51	663.31	O10.02	O698.9	O99.214	
	V27.2	648.52	663.41	010.12	O70.0	099.284	
	V27.5	648.61	663.51	O10.22	O70.0	O99.314	
	V30	648.62	663.61	010.32	O70.1	099.324	
	V30.0	648.71	663.81	O10.42	O70.1	099.334	
	V30.00	648.72	663.91	O10.92	O70.2	O99.344	
	V30.01	648.81	664.01	011.4	O70.20	099.354	
	V30.1	648.82	664.11	O12.04	O70.21	O99.42	
Childbirth [†] . [‡]	V30.2	648.91	664.21	012.14	O70.22	O99.52	
	V31	648.92	664.31	012.24	O70.23	O99.62	
	V31.0	649.01	664.41	013.4	O70.3	099.72	
	V31.00	649.02	664.51	O14.04	O70.3	O99.814	
	V31.01	649.11	664.61	O14.14	O70.4	099.824	
	V31.1	649.12	664.81	014.24	O70.4	099.834	
	V31.2	649.21	664.91	O14.94	O70.5	O99.844	
	V32	649.22	665.01	O16.4	O70.9	O9A.12	
	V32.0	649.31	665.11	O24.02	O74.0	O9A.22	
	V32.00	649.32	665.22	O24.12	074.1	O9A.32	

Condition	ICD-9 Dia	gnosis/Proc	edure Code*	ICD-10 Diagnosis/Procedure Code*			DRG*
	V32.01	649.41	665.31	O24.32	074.2	O9A.42	
	V32.1	649.42	665.41	O24.420	074.3	O9A.52	
	V32.2	649.51	665.51	O24.424	074.4	Z37.0	
	V34	649.71	665.61	O24.425	074.5	Z37.2	
	V34.0	650	665.71	O24.429	O74.6	Z37.3	
	V34.00	651.01	665.81	O24.82	074.7	Z37.50	
	V34.1	651.11	665.91	O24.92	O74.8	Z37.51	
	V34.2	651.21	666.02	O25.2	074.9	Z37.52	
	V35	651.31	666.12	O26.62	O75.0	Z37.53	
	V35.0	651.41	666.22	O26.72	O75.1	Z37.54	
	V35.00	651.51	666.32	O42.02	O75.5	Z37.59	
	V35.01	651.61	667.02	O42.12	O75.81	Z37.60	
	V35.1	651.71	667.12	O42.92	075.82	Z37.61	
	V35.2	651.81	668.01	O60.22	O75.89	Z37.62	
	V36	651.91	668.02	O60.23	075.9	Z37.63	
	V36.0	652.01	668.11	O63.2	O76	Z37.64	
	V36.00	652.11	668.12	O66.5	O77.0	Z37.69	
	V36.01	652.21	668.21	O67.0	O77.1	Z37.9	
	V36.1	652.31	668.22	O67.8	O77.8	Z38.00	
	V36.2	652.41	668.81	O67.9	077.9	Z38.01	
	V37	652.51	668.82	O68	O80	Z38.1	
	V37.0	652.61	668.91	O69.0	082	Z38.2	
	V37.00	652.71	668.92	O69.1	088.02	Z38.30	
	V37.01	652.81	669.01	O69.2	O88.12	Z38.31	
	V37.1	652.91	669.02	O69.2	088.22	Z38.4	
	V37.2	653.01	669.11	O69.3	O88.32	Z38.5	
	V39	653.11	669.12	O69.4	088.82	Z38.61	
	V39.0	653.21	669.21	O69.5	O98.02	Z38.62	
	V39.00	653.31	669.22	O69.81	O98.12	Z38.63	
	V39.01	653.41	669.32	O69.82	O98.22	Z38.64	
	V39.1	653.51	669.41	O69.82	O98.42	Z38.65	
	V39.2	653.61	669.42	O69.89	O98.52	Z38.66	
	V27.3	653.71	669.51	O69.89	O98.62	Z38.68	
	V27.6	653.81	669.61	O69.89	O98.72	Z38.69	
	V33	653.91	669.71	O69.89	O98.82	Z38.7	
	V33.0	654.01	669.81	O69.89	O98.92	Z38.8	
	V33.00	654.02	669.82	O69.9	O99.12		
	V33.1	654.11	669.91				
	V33.2	654.12	669.92				
	641.01	654.21	670.02				
	641.11	654.31	670.12				
	641.21	654.32	670.22				
	641.31	654.51	670.32				
	641.81	654.52	670.82				
	641.91	654.61	671.01				
	642.01	654.62	671.02				
	642.02	654.71	671.11				
	642.11	654.72	671.12				
	642.12	654.81	671.21				
	642.21	654.82	671.22				
	642.22	654.91	671.31				
	642.31	654.92	671.42				
	642.32	655.01	671.51				
	642.41	655.11	671.52				
	642.42	655.21	6/1.81	1			l

Condition	ICD-9 D	iagnosis/Pro	cedure Code*	ICD-10 Diagnosis/Procedure Code*	DRG*
	642.51	655.31	671.82		
	642.52	655.41	671.91		
	642.61	655.51	671.92		
	642.62	655.61	672.02		
	642.71	655.71	673.01		
	642.72	655.81	673.02		
	642.91	655.91	673.11		
	642.92	656.01	673.12		
	643.01	656.11	673.21		
	643.11	656.21	673.31		
	643 21	656 31	673 32		
	643.81	656.51	673.81		
	643 91	656.61	673.82		
	644 21	656 71	674.01		
	645.11	656.81	674.02		
	645.21	656.91	674.12		
	646.01	657.01	674.22		
	646 11	658.01	674 32		
	646.12	658.11	674 42		
	646.21	658.21	674 51		
	646.22	658.31	674 52		
	646.31	658.41	674.82		
	646.41	658.81	674.92		
	646.42	658.91	675.01		
	646.51	659.01	675.02		
	646.52	659.11	675.11		
	646.61	659.21	675.12		
	646.62	659.31	675.21		
	646.71	659.41	675.22		
	646.81	659.51	675.81		
	646.82	659.61	675.82		
	646.91	659.71	675.91		
	647.01	659.81	675.92		
	647.02	659.91	676.01		
	647.11	660.01	676.02		
	647.12	660.11	676.11		
	647.31	660.21	676.12		
	647.32	660.31	676.21		
	647.41	660.41	676.22		
	647.42	660.51	676.31		
	647.51	660.61	676.32		
	647.52	660.71	676.41		
	647.61	660.81	676.42		
	647.62	660.91	676.51		
	647.81	661.01	676.52		
	647.82	661.11	676.61		
	647.91	661.21	676.62		
	647.92	661.31	676.81		
	648.01	661.41	676.82		
	648.02	661.91	676.91		
	648.11	662.01	676.92		
	648.12	662.11	678.01		
	648.21	662.21	678.11		
	648.22	662.31	679.01		
	648.31	663.01	679.02		

Condition	ICD-9 Dia	gnosis/Proce	dure Code*	ICD-10 Diagnosis/Procedure Code*			DRG*
	648.32	663.11	679.11				
	648.41	663.21	679.12				
	648.42						
	401.1	403.9	404.9	I67.4			
	401.9	403.90	404.90	I11.9			
	402.00	403.91	404.91	I15.0			
	402.01	404.0	404.92	I15.1			
	402.10	404.00	404.93	I15.2			
	402.11	404.01	401.0	115.8			
Hypertension	402.90	404.02	405.01	115.9			
51	402.91	404.03	405.09	116.0			
	403.0	404.1	405.11	116.1			
	403.00	404.10	405.19	116.9			
	403.01	404.11	405.91	110			
	403.1	404.12	405.99				
	403.10	404.13	437.2				
	403.11			E79.0			
	272.0			E/8.0			
	272.1			E / 8.00 E 78.01			
	272.2			E78.01			
	272.3			E78.1			
Hyperlipidemia	212.4			E78.3			
				E78.5			
				E78.41			
				E78.49			
				E78.5			
	492.8	491.22	491.9	J41.0	J43.1	J44.1	
	496	490	492.0	J41.1	J43.2	J44.9	
Chronic Obstructive	491.2	491.0	494	J41.8	J43.8	J47.0	
Pulmonary Disease	491.20	491.1	494.0	J42	J43.9	J47.1	
	491.21	491.8	494.1	J43.0	J44.0	J47.9	
	290.0	290.9	310.2	F01.50	F05	G30.8	
	290.10	293.0	310.8	F01.51	F07.81	G30.9	
	290.11	293.1	310.81	F02.80	F07.89	G31.01	
	290.12	294.0	310.89	F02.81	F48.2	G31.09	
	290.13	294.1	310.9	F03.90	G30.0	G31.1	
	290.20	294.10	331.0	F03.91	G30.1	G31.83	
Dementia	290.21	294.11	331.1	F04			
	290.3	294.20	331.11				
	290.40	294.21	331.19				
	290.41	294.8	331.2				
	290.42	294.9	331.82				
	290.43	510.0	191				
	290.8	242 1	244.20	G04.1	G82 20	C83 22	
	342.0	343.1	344.30	G81.00	G82.20	G83 22	
	342.00	3/13.2	311 27	G81.00	G82.21	G83 24	
	342.01	343.4	344 4	G81.01	G82.22	G83 30	
Paralysis	342.02	343.8	344 40	G81.02	G82.50	G83 31	
1 4141 9 515	342.10	343.9	344.41	G81.04	G82.52	G83.32	
	342.11	344.0	344.42	G81.10	G82.53	G83.33	
	342.12	344.00	344.5	G81.11	G82.54	G83.34	
	342.80	344.01	344.60	G81.12	G83.0	G83.4	
L					•		(

Condition	ICD-9 Dia	gnosis/Proce	dure Code*	ICD-10 Diagnosis/Procedure Code*			DRG*
	342.81	344.02	344.8	G81.13	G83.10	G83.5	
	342.82	344.03	344.81	G81.14	G83.11	G83.81	
	342.9	344.04	344.89	G81.90	G83.12	G83.82	
	342.90	344.09	344.9	G81.91	G83.13	G83.83	
	342.91	344.1	780.72	G81.92	G83.14	G83.84	
	342.92	344.2	781.4	G81.93	G83.20	G83.89	
	343.0	344.3		G81.94	G83.21	G83.9	
	571.5	790.4	704.4	K72.01	B16.2	B18.9	
	571.6	790.5	704.9	K72.11	B16.9	B19.0	
	570	794.8	705	K72.91	B17.0	B19.10	
	571.8	V42.7	705.1	K70.40	B17.10	B19.11	
	571.9	700	705.2	K70.41	B17.11	B19.20	
	572.0	701	705.3	K72.00	B17.2	B19.21	
	572.1	702	705.4	K72.10	B17.8	B19.9	
	572.2	702.0	705.9	K72.90	B17.9	B25.1	
	572.3	702.1	706	B00.81	B18.0	B26.81	
Liver Disease	572.4	702.2	707.0	B15.0	B18.1	B58.1	
Liver Disease	572.8	702.3	707.1	B15.9	B18.2	K70.10	
	573.0	703	709	B16.0	B18.8	K70.11	
	573.4	703.0	727.1	B16.1			
	573.5	703.1	573.1				
	573.8	703.2	573.2				
	573.9	703.3	573.3				
	782.4	704	571.40				
	789.1	704.1	571.41				
	789.5	704.2	571.42				
	789.59	704.3	571.49				
	533.10	531.40	533.20	K25.0	K26.6	K28.4	
	533.11	531.41	533.21	K25.2	K27.0	K28.6	
	533.30	531.60	533.40	K25.4	K27.2	K62.5	
	533.31	531.61	533.41	K25.6	K27.4	K92.0	
	533.50	532.00	533.60	K26.0	K27.6	K92.1	
	533.51	532.01	533.61	K26.2	K28.0	K92.2	
	533.70	532.20	534.00	K26.4	K28.2		
Ulcers	533.71	532.21	534.01				
	533.90	532.40	534.20				
	533.91	532.41	534.21				
	V12.71	532.60	534.40				
	531.00	532.61	534.41				
	531.01	533.00	534.60				
	531.20	533.01	534.61				
	531.21						
	714.0			M05.20	M05.442	M05.879	
	714.1			M05.211	M05.449	M05.89	
	714.2			M05.212	M05.451	M05.9	
	714.30			M05.219	M05.452	M06.00	
	714.31			M05.221	M05.459	M06.011	
	714.32			M05.222	M05.461	M06.012	
Rheumatoid disease	714.33			M05.229	M05.462	M06.019	
	714.4			M05.231	M05.469	M06.021	
	714.81			M05.232	M05.471	M06.022	
	714.89			M05.239	M05.472	M06.029	
	714.9			M05.241	M05.479	M06.031	
	720.0			M05.242	M05.49	M06.032	
L	/_0.0						1

Condition	ICD-9 Diagnosis/Procedure Code*	ICD-10 D	Diagnosis/Proce	DRG*	
		M05.249	M05.00	M06.039	
		M05.251	M05.011	M06.041	
		M05.252	M05.012	M06.042	
		M05.259	M05.019	M06.049	
		M05.261	M05.021	M06.051	
		M05.262	M05.022	M06.052	
		M05.269	M05.029	M06.059	
		M05.271	M05.031	M06.061	
		M05.272	M05.032	M06.062	
		M05.279	M05.039	M06.069	
		M05.29	M05.041	M06.071	
		M05.30	M05.042	M06.072	
		M05.311	M05.049	M06.079	
		M05.312	M05.051	M06.08	
		M05.319	M05.052	M06.09	
		M05.321	M05.059	M06.1	
		M05.322	M05.061	M06.20	
		M05.329	M05.062	M06.211	
		M05.331	M05.069	M06.212	
		M05.332	M05.071	M06.219	
		M05.339	M05.072	M06.221	
		M05.341	M05.079	M06.222	
		M05.342	M05.09	M06.229	
		M05.349	M05.60	M06.231	
		M05.351	M05.611	M06.232	
		M05.352	M05.612	M06.239	
		M05.359	M05.619	M06.241	
		M05.361	M05.621	M06.242	
		M05.362	M05.622	M06.249	
		M05.369	M05.629	M06.251	
		M05.371	M05.631	M06.252	
		M05.372	M05.632	M06.259	
		M05.379	M05.639	M06.261	
		M05.39	M05.641	M06.262	
		M05.50	M05.642	M06.269	
		M05.511	M05.649	M06.271	
		M05.512	M05.651	M06.272	
		M05.519	M05.652	M06.279	
		M05.521	M05.659	M06.28	
		M05.522	M05.661	M06.29	
		M05.529	M05.662	M06.30	
		M05.531	M05.669	M06.311	
		M05.532	M05.671	M06.312	
		M05.539	M05.672	M06.319	
		M05.541	M05.679	M06.321	
		M05.542	M05.69	M06.322	
		M05.549	M05.70	M06.329	
		M05.551	M05.711	M06.331	
		M05.552	M05.712	M06.332	
		M05.559	M05.719	M06.339	
		M05.561	M05.721	M06.341	
		M05.562	M05.722	M06.342	
		M05.569	M05.729	M06.349	
		M05.571	M05.731	M06.351	
		M05.572	M05.732	M06.352	

Condition	ICD-9 Diagnosis/Procedure Code*	ICD-10 Di	iagnosis/Proc	edure Code*	DRG*
		M05.579	M05.739	M06.359	
		M05.59	M05.741	M06.361	
		M05.10	M05.742	M06.362	
		M05.111	M05.749	M06.369	
		M05.112	M05.751	M06.371	
		M05.119	M05.752	M06.372	
		M05.121	M05.759	M06.379	
		M05.122	M05.761	M06.38	
		M05.129	M05.762	M06.39	
		M05.131	M05.769	M06.4	
		M05.132	M05.771	M06.80	
		M05.139	M05.772	M06.811	
		M05.141	M05.779	M06.812	
		M05.142	M05.79	M06.819	
		M05.149	M05.80	M06.821	
		M05.151	M05.811	M06.822	
		M05.152	M05.812	M06.829	
		M05.159	M05.819	M06.831	
		M05.161	M05.821	M06.832	
		M05.162	M05.822	M06.839	
		M05.169	M05.829	M06.841	
		M05.171	M05.831	M06.842	
		M05.172	M05.832	M06.849	
		M05.179	M05.839	M06.851	
		M05.19	M05.841	M06.852	
		M05.40	M05 842	M06 859	
		M05 411	M05 849	M06 861	
		M05 412	M05 851	M06 862	
		M05.419	M05.852	M06 869	
		M05 421	M05.859	M06.871	
		M05.421	M05.861	M06.872	
		M05.422	M05.862	M06.879	
		M05 431	M05.862	M06.88	
		M05.431	M05.809	M06.89	
		M05.430	M05.871	M06.0	
		M05.439	W105.672	W100.9	
	27.51	02VA070			001
	27.66	021A0Z0 02VA071			002
	57.00	021 A021 $02V \land 072$			002
Heart Transplant §		021A022			
		0211A0QZ			
		02HA3QZ			
	505.0	0EV0070			005
	505.7	0110020			005
	303.1 460.7	0F 100Z1			000
	407./	0F 100Z2			
Liver Transplant ⁸		0D180Z0			
Liver Transplant ³					
		OD I EUZO			
		OD I EUZI			
	279.01				
Markid Obasit	2/8.01	E00.01			
Morbia Obesity	2/8.03	E00.2			
	V 85.4	Z68.41			

Condition	ICD-9 Diagnosis/Procedure Code*	ICD-10 Diagnosis/Procedure Code*	DRG*
	V854.1	Z68.42	
	V854.2	Z68.43	
	V854.3	Z68.44	
	V854.4	Z68.45	
	V854.5		
	278.0	E66.09	
	278.00	E66.8	
	V85.30	E66.9	
	V85.31	Z68.30	
	V85.32	Z68.31	
	V85.33	Z68.32	
Obesity	V85.34	Z68.33	
	V85.35	Z68.34	
	V85.36	Z68.35	
	V85.37	Z68.36	
	V85.38	Z68.37	
	V85.39	Z68.38	
		Z68.39	

*ICD-9, International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision. ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision. DRG, Diagnosis-related group. [†] Includes all subcodes. [‡] Childbirth code list adapted from Sarayani et al, 2020 [1]. [§] ICD-9 and ICD 10 procedure codes were used to identify heart and liver transplants. All other ICD-9 and 10 codes used were diagnosis codes.

placed on this suppleme	ental material which	h has been sup	plied by the author(s)	

*7 * 11		
Variable	N (Total Medical	N (Has at Least 1
	Expenditures)	HbA1c Result)
Number of Individuals in Year		
-10	1,697	53
-9	2,423	72
-8	3,195	172
-7	3,909	282
-6	4,735	530
-5	5,658	831
-4	6,751	1,324
-3	8,140	2,428
-2	9,847	4,251
-1	9,847	6,049
0	9,847	7,262
1	9,847	6,589
2	7,630	4.690

Table S2: Number of Individual by Years to Diagnosis

Table S3: Annual Medical Expenditures (2020 USD) and Average HbA1c Values Before and After the Diagnosis of Type 2 Diabetes Estimated from the Panel Linear Regression with Fixed Effects Among Individuals with Obesity

	Total Medical Expenditure, 2020 USD		Average HbA1c, mmol/mol			
	(n = 50,986)		(n = 21,001)			
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	p-value	Coefficient	Std. Error	p-value
Time						
-10	-\$9,090	\$850	<.0001	-11.83	1.37	<.0001
-9	-\$8,525	\$738	<.0001	-8.10	1.23	<.0001
-8	-\$8,798	\$667	<.0001	-9.11	0.81	<.0001
-7	-\$7,761	\$616	<.0001	-8.45	0.62	<.0001
-6	-\$8,358	\$575	<.0001	-7.33	0.48	<.0001
-5	-\$7,244	\$538	<.0001	-6.94	0.37	<.0001
-4	-\$7,217	\$505	<.0001	-6.45	0.30	<.0001
-3	-\$7,045	\$476	<.0001	-6.09	0.24	<.0001
-2	-\$6,516	\$448	<.0001	-5.31	0.19	<.0001
-1	-\$6,358	\$445	<.0001	-3.65	0.17	<.0001
0	(Ref)	-	-	(Ref)	-	-
1	-\$2,860	\$442	<.0001	-1.89	0.16	<.0001
2	-\$2,515	\$476	<.0001	-1.43	0.18	<.0001
High cost						
Cancer	\$9,110	\$440	<.0001	-0.17	0.21	0.4331
HIV/AIDS	\$1,864	\$5,286	0.7244	-1.00	2.46	0.6835
Childbirth	\$15,849	\$1,486	<.0001	-3.15	0.85	0.0002
Comorbidities						
Hypertension	\$5,869	\$347	<.0001	0.31	0.20	0.1091
Hyperlipidemia	\$446	\$310	0.1503	0.05	0.17	0.7548
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	\$8,112	\$649	<.0001	-0.11	0.34	0.7524
Dementia	\$26,510	\$2,257	<.0001	-1.08	1.10	0.3260
Paralysis	\$57,648	\$2,676	<.0001	-0.87	1.28	0.4986
Liver disease	\$4,474	\$418	<.0001	-0.20	0.20	0.3295
Ulcers	\$17,181	\$1,154	<.0001	-0.34	0.47	0.4630
Rheumatoid disease	\$12,078	\$1,162	<.0001	-0.59	0.54	0.2820
Unadjusted Mean Outcome During Index Year	\$18,662			47.6 mmol/mol		

Coefficients in the total medical expenditure regression are in 2020 dollars. Coefficients in average HbA1c regression are in mmol/mol. The HbA1c sample includes person-years where an individual has at least one HbA1c test value during the year and is a subsample of the total medical expenditure sample. Obesity subgroup includes individuals with any claims-based evidence of obesity during the study period.

Table S4: Annual Medical Expenditures (2020 USD) and Average HbA1c Values Before and After the Diagnosis of Type 2 Diabetes Estimated from the Panel Linear Regression with Fixed Effects Among Individuals Without Obesity

	Total Medical Expenditure, 2020 USD		Average HbA1c, mmol/mol			
	(n = 32,540)			(n = 13,532)		
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	p-value	Coefficient	Std. Error	p-value
Time						
-10	-\$7,919	\$1,107	<.0001	-13.45	2.30	<.0001
-9	-\$8,490	\$953	<.0001	-6.58	1.64	<.0001
-8	-\$7,903	\$845	<.0001	-7.10	1.12	<.0001
-7	-\$7,687	\$779	<.0001	-6.43	0.79	<.0001
-6	-\$7,478	\$720	<.0001	-6.70	0.54	<.0001
-5	-\$6,694	\$677	<.0001	-6.09	0.45	<.0001
-4	-\$6,770	\$638	<.0001	-5.61	0.36	<.0001
-3	-\$6,139	\$597	<.0001	-5.14	0.28	<.0001
-2	-\$6,241	\$560	<.0001	-4.24	0.22	<.0001
-1	-\$4,896	\$557	<.0001	-3.26	0.19	<.0001
0	(Ref)	-	-	(Ref)	-	-
1	-\$3,631	\$551	<.0001	-2.17	0.18	<.0001
2	-\$2,417	\$604	<.0001	-1.93	0.21	<.0001
High cost						
Cancer	\$9,213	\$559	<.0001	-0.15	0.25	0.5410
HIV/AIDS	\$9,029	\$4,485	0.0441	-0.28	2.04	0.8909
Childbirth	\$15,674	\$1,804	<.0001	-2.23	0.95	0.0190
Comorbidities						
Hypertension	\$6,381	\$456	<.0001	-0.14	0.22	0.5270
Hyperlipidemia	\$829	\$397	0.0367	-0.36	0.20	0.0750
Chronic obstructive	\$3,974	\$945	<.0001	-0.38	0.45	0.4008
pulmonary disease						
Dementia	\$47,212	\$3,043	<.0001	-1.45	1.28	0.2593
Paralysis	\$48,052	\$4,390	<.0001	0.64	1.89	0.7352
Liver disease	\$3,533	\$562	<.0001	0.03	0.25	0.8964
Ulcers	\$7,940	\$1,612	<.0001	-0.43	0.61	0.4882
Rheumatoid disease	\$9,295	\$1,569	<.0001	-0.74	0.67	0.2694
Unadjusted Mean Outcome During Index Year	\$15,999			46.2 mmol/mol		

Coefficients in the total medical expenditure regression are in 2020 dollars. Coefficients in average HbA1c regression are in mmol/mol. The HbA1c sample includes person-years where an individual has at least one HbA1c test value during the year and is a subsample of the total medical expenditure sample. Obesity subgroup includes individuals without any claims-based evidence of obesity during the study period.

Table S5: Annual Medical Expenditures (2020 USD) and Average HbA1c Values Before and After the Diagnosis of Type 2 Diabetes Estimated from the Panel Linear Regression with Fixed Effects Among Individuals With 10 Years of Preperiod Coverage

	Total Medical Expenditure, 2020 USD		Average HbA1c, mmol/mol			
		(n = 21,732)	2) $(n = 6,319)$)	
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	p-value	Coefficient	Std. Error	p-value
Time						
-10	-\$9,912	\$819	<.0001	-12.58	1.25	<.0001
-9	-\$9,373	\$813	<.0001	-8.07	1.17	<.0001
-8	-\$9,760	\$806	<.0001	-8.93	0.86	<.0001
-7	-\$9,367	\$801	<.0001	-7.16	0.77	<.0001
-6	-\$9,329	\$796	<.0001	-7.35	0.64	<.0001
-5	-\$8,168	\$794	<.0001	-7.54	0.58	<.0001
-4	-\$8,400	\$792	<.0001	-6.89	0.51	<.0001
-3	-\$8,086	\$790	<.0001	-5.83	0.42	<.0001
-2	-\$7,503	\$788	<.0001	-5.08	0.38	<.0001
-1	-\$6,645	\$784	<.0001	-3.74	0.34	<.0001
0	(Ref)	-	-	(Ref)	-	-
1	-\$4,178	\$781	<.0001	-2.76	0.31	<.0001
2	-\$1,519	\$831	0.0674	-2.36	0.34	<.0001
High cost						
Cancer	\$9,075	\$599	<.0001	0.01	0.38	0.9892
HIV/AIDS	\$8,755	\$8,267	0.2896	0.43	7.14	0.9516
Childbirth	\$13,148	\$2,360	<.0001	-4.05	2.07	0.0504
Comorbidities						
Hypertension	\$5,004	\$486	<.0001	0.56	0.35	0.1129
Hyperlipidemia	\$413	\$437	0.3442	-0.56	0.32	0.0806
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	\$4,642	\$962	<.0001	0.10	0.67	0.8769
Dementia	\$30,915	\$3,466	<.0001	-1.19	1.95	0.5436
Paralysis	\$58,491	\$4,512	<.0001	-2.11	2.60	0.4163
Liver disease	\$3,621	\$602	<.0001	-0.60	0.39	0.1213
Ulcers	\$9,260	\$1,912	<.0001	0.22	0.89	0.8075
Rheumatoid disease	\$11,757	\$1,688	<.0001	-1.10	0.97	0.2584
Unadjusted Mean Outcome During Index Year	\$19,144			47.7 mmol/mol		

Coefficients in the total medical expenditure regression are in 2020 dollars. Coefficients in average HbA1c regression are in mmol/mol. The HbA1c sample includes person-years where an individual has at least one HbA1c test value during the year and is a subsample of the total medical expenditure sample. Sample includes individuals with 10 years of continuous insurance coverage during the prediagnosis period.

Table S6: Annual Medical Expenditures (2020 USD) and Average HbA1c Values Before and After the Diagnosis of Type 2 Diabetes Estimated from the Panel Linear Regression with Fixed Effects Including those Individuals with HbA1c > 6.4% Before the Index Year

	Total Medical Expenditure, 2020 USD		Average HbA1c, mmol/mol				
		(n = 95,504)	(n = 3		(n = 39,863)	= 39,863)	
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	p-value	Coefficient	Std. Error	p-value	
Time							
-10	-\$8,671	\$664	<.0001	-12.49	1.30	<.0001	
-9	-\$8,353	\$573	<.0001	-8.39	1.09	<.0001	
-8	-\$8,387	\$513	<.0001	-9.08	0.71	<.0001	
-7	-\$7,512	\$474	<.0001	-8.10	0.53	<.0001	
-6	-\$7,867	\$440	<.0001	-7.62	0.39	<.0001	
-5	-\$6,895	\$413	<.0001	-7.01	0.31	<.0001	
-4	-\$7,080	\$388	<.0001	-6.39	0.25	<.0001	
-3	-\$6,843	\$364	<.0001	-5.93	0.19	<.0001	
-2	-\$6,504	\$342	<.0001	-5.02	0.15	<.0001	
-1	-\$6,047	\$340	<.0001	-2.61	0.13	<.0001	
0	(Ref)	-	-	(Ref)	-	-	
1	-\$3,022	\$337	<.0001	-2.13	0.13	<.0001	
2	-\$2,538	\$365	<.0001	-1.78	0.14	<.0001	
High cost							
Cancer	\$9,473	\$346	<.0001	-0.14	0.17	0.4307	
HIV/AIDS	\$6,323	\$3,241	0.0510	-1.32	1.63	0.4168	
Childbirth	\$15,814	\$1,177	<.0001	-2.78	0.70	<.0001	
Comorbidities							
Hypertension	\$6,427	\$272	<.0001	0.04	0.16	0.8013	
Hyperlipidemia	\$1,122	\$242	<.0001	-0.30	0.14	0.0303	
Chronic	\$6,855	\$533	<.0001	-0.01	0.29	0.9793	
obstructive							
pulmonary							
disease							
Dementia	\$32,170	\$1,834	<.0001	-1.62	0.92	0.0779	
Paralysis	\$65,253	\$2,262	<.0001	-1.95	1.10	0.0766	
Liver	\$4,166	\$336	<.0001	-0.14	0.17	0.4283	
disease							
Ulcers	\$13,047	\$937	<.0001	-0.40	0.40	0.3177	
Rheumatoid	\$11,288	\$948	<.0001	-0.77	0.46	0.0972	
disease	· · · ·			-			
Unadjusted Mean	\$17,467			49.0			
Outcome During				mmol/mol			
Index Year							

Coefficients in the total medical expenditure regression are in 2020 dollars. Coefficients in average HbA1c regression are in mmol/mol. The HbA1c sample includes person-years where an individual has at least one HbA1c test value during the year and is a subsample of the total medical expenditure sample. Sample includes individuals with 10 years of continuous insurance coverage during the prediagnosis period.

References:

 Sarayani A, Wang X, Thai TN, Albogami Y, Jeon N, Winterstein AG. Impact of the Transition from ICD-9-CM to ICD-10-CM on the Identification of Pregnancy Episodes in US Health Insurance Claims Data. Clin Epidemiol. 2020 Oct 15;12:1129-1138. doi: 10.2147/CLEP.S269400. PMID: 33116906; PMCID: PMC7571578.