Coronary artery disease in patients with atypical chest pain with and without diabetes mellitus assessed with coronary CT angiography
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Coronary artery disease (CAD) in diabetes mellitus (DM) is often widespread when diagnosed. Non-invasive coronary calcium scoring and coronary CT angiography (CAC-score/CCTA) are accurate in the detection of CAD. This study compared CAD characteristics as identified by CCTA between patients with and without DM with atypical chest pain.

Methods: CAD was defined as CAC-score >0 and/or presence of coronary plaque. Several CAD characteristics (number of affected segments, obstructive (>50% stenosis) CAD and CAD distribution) were compared on a per patient and segment basis. Subanalysis of duration of DM (<5 or >5 years) and gender was performed.

Results: A total of 1148 patients (63.3% men, mean age 57.7±10.7), of whom 99 (8.6%) suffered from DM, were referred for CCTA. There was no difference in the prevalence of CAD between patients with and without DM (53.5% vs 50.9%, p=0.674). However patients with DM showed more affected coronary segments compared with patients without DM (2.5±3.4 vs 1.7 ±2.4, p=0.003). Multivariate analysis indicated that DM was an independent predictor of obstructive CAD (OR 2.16, 95% CI 1.23 to 3.78), as were age, women, and Diamond-Forrester score. In our study, obstructive CAD was more prevalent in women than in men (DM 40.0% vs 14.1%, p=0.003; non-DM 16.8% vs 8.4%, p<0.001). Patients suffering from DM >5 years showed more distal plaques (11.2% vs 7.7%, p=0.030).

Conclusions: Patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) showed more affected coronary segments and more obstructive CAD than patients without DM. Obstructive CAD was detected more frequently in women with DM, as compared with men with DM. Duration of DM (>5 years) is associated with the occurrence of distal plaques.

Key messages

- Approximately 50% of middle-aged individuals with cardiovascular risk factors presenting with atypical chest pain will have previously undiagnosed coronary artery disease (CAD); presence of diabetes history does not affect this relationship.
- Patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) showed more affected coronary segments and more obstructive CAD than patients without DM.
- Obstructive CAD was detected more frequently in women with DM, as compared with men with DM.
- Duration of DM (>5 years) is associated with the occurrence of distal plaques.

INTRODUCTION

The number of people with diabetes mellitus (DM) is increasing due to population growth, aging, urbanization, increasing prevalence of obesity, and physical inactivity, with an estimated number of 200 million patients worldwide. Patients with DM have a twofold to fourfold likelihood of developing coronary artery disease (CAD) with marked morbidity and mortality. CAD in patients with DM is often more advanced at the time of diagnosis compared with patients without DM.3 4

Non-invasive cardiac imaging for the detection of CAD has evolved rapidly in the past decades. Coronary CT angiography (CCTA) offers detailed information on the extent and morphology of CAD. CCTA combined with coronary calcium scoring (CAC-score) is sensitive and specific in the detection of CAD and has been validated extensively.5

The real strength of CCTA is the exclusion of CAD in patients with a low-to-intermediate cardiovascular risk profile, and for this particular indication, there is a broad endorsement from international societies.6 Owing to technological improvements of CCTA, the radiation dose per patient has been reduced to acceptable levels. The latest generation scanners produce submillisievert (mSv) scans without compromising image quality.7

The aim of the present study was to compare characteristics of CAD in patients...
with atypical chest pain with and without DM using a CCTA scanner of the latest generation, and to investigate the relationship between CAD and the duration of DM.

METHODS
Study design
Consecutive patients with atypical chest pain, referred for CCTA to exclude CAD at the Medical Center Alkmaar (MCA) were entered in a database prospectively between December 13, 2011 and March 08, 2013. Patients were categorized in two groups, either with or without known DM. Type 1 and 2 DM were included. DM was diagnosed according to the American Diabetes Association criteria: fasting glucose level of ≥7 mmol/L, symptoms of DM and casual plasma glucose of ≥11.1 mmol/L, or the need for oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin. Only patients in sinus rhythm and without contraindications to CCTA were included. Other exclusion criteria were a history of CAD, myocardial infarction (MI), and/or revascularization procedures. All patients gave written informed consent.

The following patient characteristics were recorded: general data such as age and gender, a full cardiovascular risk profile, active medication use, Duke risk score calculation,9 and the Diamond-Forrester risk score calculation. Positive family history of premature CAD was defined as the presence of CAD in first-degree relatives younger than 55 (men) or 65 (women) years of age. Smoking was defined as nicotine misuse within the previous 5 years. Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure above 140 mmHg and/or a diastolic blood pressure above 90 mmHg or the use of antihypertensive drugs. High cholesterol was defined as a total cholesterol >5.0 mmol/L or the use of lipid-lowering therapy.12 Only patients in sinus rhythm and without contraindications to CCTA were included. Other exclusion criteria were a history of CAD, myocardial infarction (MI), and/or revascularization procedures. All patients gave written informed consent.

The following patient characteristics were recorded: general data such as age and gender, a full cardiovascular risk profile, active medication use, Duke risk score calculation,9 and the Diamond-Forrester risk score calculation. Positive family history of premature CAD was defined as the presence of CAD in first-degree relatives younger than 55 (men) or 65 (women) years of age. Smoking was defined as nicotine misuse within the previous 5 years. Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure above 140 mmHg and/or a diastolic blood pressure above 90 mmHg or the use of antihypertensive drugs. High cholesterol was defined as a total cholesterol >5.0 mmol/L or the use of lipid-lowering therapy.12 The duration of DM was categorized in two groups (<5; >5 years). Furthermore, differences between women and men were analyzed.

Patient preparation
CCTA was performed under fasting conditions. Medication could be used normally, with the exception of metformin (in case estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 mL/min) and sildenafil. To prevent contrast-induced nephropathy, patients with an eGFR between 30 and 60 mL/min received volume expansion therapy, using 0.9% NaCl intravenously, before and after CCTA according to the local hospital safety protocol. In case of a heart rate above 60 bpm, 100 mg atenolol was given orally 1 h prior to the CCTA. Additionally, up to 30 mg metoprolol was administered intravenously to decrease the heart rate if the heart rate still exceeded 60 bpm. Each patient also received two doses of 0.4 mg nitroglycerin sublingually.

CAC-score and CCTA data acquisition
All scans were performed with a dual source 128-slice (Somatom Definition Flash; Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany). A 10–15 mL test bolus containing non-ionic low-osmolar iotinated radiopaque (iodipamide) was injected, followed by a flush of 40 mL saline, both at a flow rate of 6 mL/s. The time point of maximal contrast enhancement in the ascending aorta at the level of the pulmonary trunk was recorded, and an additional delay of 5 s was added to define the optimal time point for acquisition of coronary artery data. A dual-head injector then injected 48–75 mL contrast depending on kV used for the high-pitch flash scan and 75 mL in case of prospective or retrospectively triggered scan, followed by 45 mL 30/70% contrast/saline solution at a flow rate of 6 mL/s.

The tube voltage (80, 100, or 120 kV) and tube current were determined automatically by the scanning system based on body geometry.14 In this study, the total radiation dose of test bolus, topogram, CAC-score, and CCTA was used to estimate the effective radiation dose for each patient.

CAC-score and CCTA image analysis
All scans were read by two physicians, who are level 2 accredited by the Society of Computed Cardiovascular Tomography (SCCT).

For CAC-score, coronary calcifications were defined as dense lesions in coronary arteries with densities >130 Hounsfield units. Calcifications were manually assigned to coronary arteries and added to the Agatston score for each patient. Agatston scores were divided into three groups: a CAC-score between 0–100, 101–400, and more than 400. Plaque characteristics were compared on a per patient and segment basis. Coronary arteries were divided into 18 segments according to the SCCT guidelines. Each segment was scored for the presence of coronary plaques. Structures >1 mm² within and/or adjacent to the coronary artery lumen, which could be clearly distinguished from the vessel lumen, were scored as a coronary plaque. One coronary plaque was scored per coronary segment. Each coronary plaque was quantified for stenosis by visual estimation. The severity of stenosis was categorized (<25%; 25–49%; 50–69%; 70–99%; 100%). A stenosis of >50% was considered to be obstructive. The number of coronary segments with non-obstructive as well as obstructive plaques was determined for each patient. The morphology of a coronary plaque was categorized as calcified, non-calcified, or mixed lesion.

CAD was determined as CAC-score >0 and/or any coronary plaque. CAC-score=0 and no coronary plaques was defined as no CAD.

To compare the distribution of coronary plaques between patients with and without DM, patients were stratified by the location/distribution of atherosclerosis in either proximal or distal segments. The left main artery and proximal segments of the left anterior descending (LAD), right coronary artery (RCA), and ramus circumflexus (RCX) were assigned to the proximal
Mid and distal segments of LAD, RCA, and RCX and all evaluable other coronary branches were assigned to the distal group.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables are presented as mean±SD and categorical variables as frequencies with percentages. One-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used to test continuous data for normal distribution. Between groups, differences in mean values were tested for statistical differences with two-sample t tests and Mann-Whitney tests, when appropriate. Pearson \(\chi^2\) tests were used to test dichotomous parameters. To determine the relationship between plaque characteristics...
and DM, logistic regression analyses were performed when the dependent variable was dichotomous. When the dependent variable was continuous, linear regression analyses were performed. Univariate analyses were performed using demographic variables, classical risk factors, risk scoring systems, and medication used (variables specified in Table 1). Multivariable analyses were performed with variables that were significant in univariate analyses. p Values <0.05 were considered significant. The Duke risk score was not analyzed in the univariate and multivariate analyses because DM is one of the parameters in this particular score. The Diamond-Forrester score, not using DM as a parameter, was used instead. For the detailed per segment analysis, the unit of measure was each coronary segment and there were no adjustments or corrections made for the serial correlation between segments. Statistical Package for Social Sciences V.20 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used.

RESULTS

Study population

A total of 1176 patients with atypical chest pain were enrolled in the study, of which 28 patients were excluded. Twenty-three patients were excluded due to a history of CAD, MI, and/or revascularization procedures. Five patients suffered from arrhythmias during scan acquisition which resulted in unacceptable image quality, and thus, these patients were excluded. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of patients with and without DM at the time of the CCTA. In total, 1148 patients (727 (63.3%) men; age (mean 57.7 years±10.7)) were included, of whom 99 (8.6%) were patients with known DM (mean 6.6 years±6.9). Of the study population, 95.4% had a low-to-intermediate probability for CAD according to their Duke risk score (92.9% DM vs 97.9% non-DM, p=0.007). Patients with DM were more frequently obese and used more ACE-I/angiotensin receptor blocker, β-blocker, and statin therapy and had lower high-density lipoprotein levels (p values 0.001, 0.001, 0.037, 0.001, and 0.003, respectively). Table 2 compares the clinical and radiographic characteristics of patients with DM who did or did not have CAD. Patients with DM without CAD were more often of the male gender, showed less frequent dyslipidemia, and used less statin therapy (p values 0.020, 0.018, and 0.046, respectively). None of the other measured clinical variables differed between patients with DM with or without CAD.

CAD on CCTA

Coronary plaque characteristics on CCTA in patients with and without DM are shown in Table 1. A normal CCTA was found in 561 (48.9%) patients, with no significant difference in prevalence between patients with and without DM (p=0.617). Patients with DM had a significantly higher CAC-score than patients without DM (118 ±270 vs 73±209, p<0.001). Patients with DM had a significantly higher percentage of CAC-scores between 101 and 400 (19% vs 11.9%, p=0.037). Obstructive CAD was observed in 144 (12.5%) patients and more frequently in patients with DM (23.2% vs 11.5%, p=0.001). Higher CAC-scores were detected in patients with obstructive CAD (287.3±332.9 vs 47.2±173.4, p<0.001). The average number of diseased segments was higher in patients with DM compared with patients without DM (p=0.003). The number of segments with obstructive CAD plaques was higher in patients with DM compared with patients without DM. In patients with DM, the average number of diseased segments in the RCA (p=0.008) and RCX (p<0.001) was higher than in patients without DM. The difference in the average amount of diseased segments in the LAD did not reach statistical significance (p=0.053).

Figure 1 shows the prevalence of obstructive CAD across the subgroup gender. Women had an overall higher prevalence of obstructive CAD than men. In patients with DM, 40% of the women demonstrated obstructive CAD compared with 14.1% of the men (p=0.003). In patients without DM, 16.8% of the women compared with 8.4% of the men showed obstructive CAD (p<0.001).

Relationship of CAD characteristics on CCTA and DM

The results of the univariate and multivariate analyses of the correlation between plaque characteristics on CCTA and the presence of DM are listed in Table 3. After correction for baseline characteristics, DM proved...
to be an independent predictor of the total number of segments with plaque (p=0.016). The other independent predictors of the total number of segments with plaque were age (p<0.001), women (p<0.001), Diamond-Forrester score (p<0.001), and hypertension (p=0.023). Furthermore, DM was an independent predictor of the presence of obstructive plaque (OR 2.16, 95% CI 1.23 to 3.78), as were age (OR 1.04; 95% CI 1.02 to 1.07), women (OR 4.95; 95% CI 2.96 to 8.28), and Diamond-Forrester score (OR 1.02; 95% CI 1.00 to 1.03). DM was also an independent predictor of the number of segments with plaque in the distal segments (p=0.010), as were age (p<0.001), women (p<0.001), and Diamond-Forrester score (p=0.008).

Per segment analysis
A total of 17 240 segments were identified in the study population. After exclusion of 105 (0.61%) segments due to non-diagnostic image quality, a total of 17 135 coronary segments were included in the analysis. In the remaining 17 135 segments, 1998 (11.7%) segments contained plaque. Of the 17 135 segments, there were 245 (1.4%) segments with obstructive plaque, (44 of 1471 (3.0%) segments in patients with DM, 199 of 15 664 (1.3%) in patients without DM, p<0.001).

Accordingly, the distribution of plaque types differed between DM and non-DM: mixed plaques (13.3% vs 9.2%, p<0.001), calcified plaques (2.0% vs 1.3%, p=0.015), and non-calcified plaques (1.4% vs 0.8%, p=0.023). Patients with DM showed significantly more affected segments than patients without DM in the RCA (4.4% vs 2.7%, p<0.001), LAD (7.3% vs 5.6%, p=0.012), and RCX (3.8% vs 2.1%, p<0.001).

Table 3 shows the subgroup analysis for duration of DM in the per segment analysis. Duration of DM was categorized into two groups (<5; >5 years). Although patients suffering from DM for more than 5 years had a higher percentage of involvement of the distal segments (11.2% vs 7.8%, p=0.030), duration of diabetes had minimal impact on total number of segments with plaque, number of obstructive lesions, and morphology of plaques.

DISCUSSION
In the present study, differences in characteristics of CAD between patients with and without DM were detected by means of CCTA. A significant, positive correlation between the presence of DM and total number of segments with plaque was demonstrated. Patients with DM had a significantly higher percentage of obstructive plaques, and this was particularly true for women. Patients suffering from DM for more than 5 years did not demonstrate more obstructive coronary disease or different plaque morphology, although more distal disease was present.
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Table 4  Prevalence, extent, localization, and morphology of plaques—per segment analysis in subgroup duration of DM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DM &lt;5 years (n=53; 797 segments)</th>
<th>DM &gt;5 years (n=46; 683 segments)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total segments</td>
<td>790 (99.1)</td>
<td>681 (99.7)</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total segments with plaque</td>
<td>121 (15.3)</td>
<td>125 (18.4)</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructive plaque</td>
<td>23 (2.9)</td>
<td>21 (3.1)</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-obstructive plaque</td>
<td>98 (12.4)</td>
<td>104 (15.3)</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque in LM</td>
<td>12 (1.5)</td>
<td>6 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque in RCA</td>
<td>32 (4.1)</td>
<td>32 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque in LAD</td>
<td>53 (6.7)</td>
<td>55 (8.1)</td>
<td>0.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque in RCA</td>
<td>24 (3.0)</td>
<td>32 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal plaque</td>
<td>59 (7.5)</td>
<td>49 (7.2)</td>
<td>0.841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal plaque</td>
<td>62 (7.8)</td>
<td>76 (11.2)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed plaque</td>
<td>96 (12.2)</td>
<td>99 (14.5)</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcified plaque</td>
<td>15 (1.9)</td>
<td>15 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-calcified plaque</td>
<td>10 (1.3)</td>
<td>11 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.573</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Categorical variables are expressed as count (%).
DM, diabetes mellitus; LAD, left anterior descending; LM, left main; RCA, right coronary artery; RCX, ramus circumflexus.

Extent of CAD

The present study showed a positive correlation between the presence of DM and the total number of segments with plaque. Patients with DM showed more affected segments than patients without DM. Higher prevalence of plaques in patients with DM has been reported in previous invasive as well as postmortem studies. Pundziute et al.\(^4\) reported observations in 60 patients (including 19 with DM) using CCTA followed by conventional coronary angiography with intravascular ultrasound (IVUS); the authors demonstrated that patients with DM had significantly more affected segments on CCTA and with IVUS. In a postmortem study, Burke et al.\(^{19}\) showed that patients with DM have a larger amount of total and distal plaques. In our study, in patients with atypical chest pain, DM was also associated with more obstructive CAD. This is in line with an earlier study performed by Chu et al.\(^{20}\) who demonstrated more obstructive plaques in symptomatic patients with DM as compared with patients without DM (2.5±2.5 vs 1.1±1.4). The CAC-score in our study was higher in patients with DM, compared with patients without DM (118±270.7 vs 73.5±209.3, p=0.049). This is in line with an earlier study by de Araujo et al.\(^{21}\), who showed a significant difference in (median) CAC-score between patients with atypical chest pain with and without DM (68(0–311) vs 0 (0–67), p<0.001). While our study looked at CAD in patients with atypical chest pain and DM, several studies have demonstrated CAD in asymptomatic patients with DM. Scholte et al.\(^{22}\) revealed a high prevalence of CAD in asymptomatic patients with type 2 DM, with almost 80% showing CAD. Zeina et al.\(^{23}\) also showed a higher prevalence of CAD in asymptomatic patients with DM. The total CAC-score was significantly higher in patients with DM than in patients without DM (p<0.001). Higher CAC-scores were present in patients with obstructive CAD.\(^{25}\) In an earlier study, Rivera et al.\(^{26}\) also demonstrated an increasing prevalence of significant stenoses with increasing CAC-scores (p<0.001).

The present study showed that many patients with DM already developed serious CAD at the time of examination. One explanation could be that DM had existed long before it was diagnosed, due to lack of typical symptoms of the disease, and cardiovascular damage could have developed meanwhile.\(^{4}\) The previously mentioned studies demonstrated this in asymptomatic patients with DM.

Furthermore, in the present study women had a higher percentage of obstructive CAD in the DM and non-DM group. This is in contrast with several previous studies with CCTA that showed more obstructive CAD in men.\(^{21, 25}\) These apparently conflicting results might be explained by the increasing notion of, and attention to, the atypical and late presentation of cardiac symptoms in women.\(^{26, 27}\) On average, clinical symptoms of heart disease appear 10 years later in women than in men.\(^{26}\) Studies in the Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE) study support the concept of a multifactorial model where sex hormones interact with traditional and novel risk factors. Especially inflammatory process biomarkers (such as C reactive protein), leading to an increase in the functional expression of atherosclerotic plaque and vascular or metabolic alterations result in worse outcomes for women.\(^{28}\) Also, medical diagnosis and treatment for stable angina is different in men and women.\(^{29}\) Women were less likely to be referred for testing, in particular for exercise testing and invasive angiography. Antiplatelet and statin therapies were used significantly less in women than in men at the initial assessment and at 1 year, even in those in whom CAD had been confirmed, which unfortunately influences outcome.

Duration of DM

Previous studies have shown that the duration of type 2 DM correlates with all-cause mortality and significantly increases cardiovascular mortality.\(^{30, 31}\) An autopsy study demonstrated an association between DM duration and the extent of atherosclerosis and myocardial lesions.\(^{32}\) Clarkson et al.\(^{33}\) showed that vascular reactivity, a marker of impaired endothelial dysfunction, is impaired in patients with long-term type 1 DM. Also the degree of impairment is related to the duration of DM. Thus, one may speculate that longer exposure to hyperglycemia may increase the risk of endothelial dysfunction and thus increase the risk of developing CAD. To the best of our knowledge, no studies researched the correlation between the duration of DM and distal plaques. In our study, we found that patients suffering from DM for more than 5 years have more distal plaques, although...
they did not demonstrate more obstructive coronary disease or different plaque morphology. Patients suffering from DM for a prolonged period will have more extensive and widespread disease.

**Radiation dose CCTA**

Bell et al.\(^3^4\) showed in a prospective study that iodinated contrast, β-blockers, and nitroglycerin as part of a predefined CCTA protocol are safe and associated with a low rate of adverse events. In the past decade, studies have focused on radiation dose-reduction techniques with CCTA. The latest generation CCTA scanners can provide an effective dose below 1.0 mSv.\(^7\) In comparison, patient dose is typically 2.4 mSv for a \(^{13}\)NH\(_3\) positron emission tomography scan, 2.5–5 mSv for diagnostic invasive selective coronary angiography, and 5–16 mSv for nuclear myocardial perfusion imaging by means of single photon emission CT.\(^3^5\)–\(^3^7\) Safety and low radiation exposure with a high negative predictive value makes CCTA a very appropriate imaging technique for the exclusion of CAD in symptomatic patients and perhaps even as a presymptomatic screening tool. The radiation dose of CCTA in this study is higher in patients with DM compared with patients without DM. This difference could be explained by the fact that patients with DM are more obese compared with patients without DM. Obese patients require a higher tube voltage (kV) and current (mAs) to produce a diagnostic CCTA, which leads to a higher radiation dose.

**Clinical implication**

The combination of CAD and DM strongly increases cardiovascular mortality.\(^3^0\)–\(^3^1\) The present study showed that a clinically significant number of patients with atypical chest pain and DM already had developed (serious) CAD at the time of first examination. Previous studies with asymptomatic patients also demonstrated a higher prevalence (80–93%) of CAD in patients with DM.\(^2^2\)–\(^2^5\) There is extensive evidence of prognostic information using CAC-score in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients.\(^3^8\) However, the additional value of performing CCTA in these patients has been a matter of debate. In the CONFIRM registry, CCTA was unable to predict death of non-fatal MI in 7500 patients.\(^3^9\) Nonetheless, in symptomatic patients the incremental prognostic value of CCTA has been established.\(^4^0\) The best CCTA parameter for prediction of mortality was the number of proximal segments with mixed or calcified plaques.\(^4^1\) Thus, CCTA is useful in detection of CAD in patients with DM, either with or without symptoms of atypical chest pain. The high diagnostic yield in symptomatic patients with DM may justify the use of CCTA in daily clinical practice and can be considered as a criterion for appropriate use. Since prognostic value of performing CCTA in asymptomatic patients is unclear, further prospective studies are needed.

**Limitations**

Several limitations should be mentioned. First, this is a single-center study with a medium-size cohort in which the percentage of patients with DM was relatively small. Also, the examinations were performed at a single time point. There were some differences in the baseline characteristics of the two subgroups of patients, like obesity, and medication use, that could have contributed to the higher disease extent observed in patients with DM. Nevertheless, after adjusting for those differences, DM remained an independent predictor of plaque burden and obstructive CAD. Since patients referred for CCTA were suffering from atypical chest pain, but had no history of clinically diagnosed CAD, a potential referral bias has to be taken into account. In addition, limitations of CCTA in general should be mentioned; the presence of ischemia cannot be determined on CCTA, and abnormal CCTA findings should ideally be combined with functional data.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Although the prevalence of CAD in patients with atypical chest pain and DM did not differ from that in non-DM, patients with DM had more advanced CAD than patients without DM. They showed more affected coronary segments and more obstructive CAD than patients without DM; the latter especially in women with DM. Duration of DM (>5 years), in our study population, was not associated with more obstructive coronary disease or a different plaque morphology, although more distal disease was present.
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