Secreted factors from dental pulp stem cells improve glucose intolerance in streptozotocin-induced diabetic mice by increasing pancreatic β-cell function


ABSTRACT

Objective: Many studies have reported that stem cell transplantation promotes propagation and protection of pancreatic β-cells in streptozotocin (STZ)-induced diabetic mice without the differentiation of transplanted cells into pancreatic β-cells, suggesting that the improvement is due to a paracrine effect of the transplanted cells. We investigated the effects of factors secreted by dental pulp stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED) on β-cell function and survival.

Research design and methods: Conditioned medium from SHED (SHED-CM) was collected 48 h after culturing in serum-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM). The insulin levels in SHED-CM and serum-free conditioned media from human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BM-CM) were undetectable. STZ-induced diabetic male C57B/6J mice were injected with DMEM as a control, SHED-CM, exendin-4 (Ex-4), or BM-CM for 14 days. Mouse pancreatic β-cell line MIN6 cells were incubated with different concentrations of STZ with SHED-CM, DMEM, Ex-4, or BM-CM for 6 h.

Results: Administration of 1 mL of SHED-CM twice a day improved glucose intolerance in STZ-induced diabetic mice and the effect continued for 20 days after the end of treatment. SHED-CM treatment increased pancreatic insulin content and β-cell mass through proliferation and an intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test revealed enhanced insulin secretion. Incubation of MIN6 cells (a mouse pancreatic β-cell line) with SHED-CM enhanced insulin secretion in a glucose concentration-dependent manner and reduced STZ-induced cell death, indicating that the amelioration of hyperglycemia was caused by the direct effects of SHED-CM on β-cell function and survival. These effects were more pronounced than with the use of Ex-4, a conventional incretin-based drug, and BM-CM, which is a medium derived from other stem cells.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that SHED-CM provides direct protection and encourages the propagation of β-cells, and has potential as a novel strategy for treatment of diabetes.

Key messages

- Secreted factors from stem cells protect β-cell.
- Secreted factors from stem cells increase insulin secretion.
- Secreted factors from stem cells might be useful as new diabetic treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disease mainly characterized by chronic hyperglycemia, which is induced either as a result of insulin resistance or impairment of insulin secretion. Since 70—75% of obese patients do not develop diabetes due to the effect of compensatory insulin secretion,1 maintenance of functional pancreatic β-cells is considered to be the ultimate outcome of diabetes treatment.

Existing antidiabetes drugs are insufficient to suppress progressive damage to pancreatic β-cells; thus, patients must eventually be switched to insulin therapy.2 Therefore, islet transplantation and regenerative medicine continue to receive wide attention as an emerging treatment option for diabetes.3 Meanwhile, previous in vivo studies have reported that transplantation of stem cells, including embryonic or mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), improved hyperglycemia in rodent models of streptozotocin (STZ)-induced diabetes.4 Since transplanted stem cells do not differentiate into pancreatic β-cells, the direct cause of glycemic control is considered to be due to paracrine effects that promote the propagation and protection of pancreatic β-cells. Similarly, improvements in spinal cord injuries and hypoxic-ischemic brain injuries due to stem cell...
transplantation are reportedly attributable to paracrine effects, in which cytokine diffusion from stem cells plays a vital role.\(^5\)\(^6\) Therefore, the use of secreted factors, which can be collected as a serum-free conditioned medium (CM) of stem cells, without the need for cell transplantation and immunosuppressive agents, has recently become a target of scientific research.\(^7\)\(^8\)

Dental pulp stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED) have received considerable attention because of the advantages of a less invasive collection method and the applicability to autologous treatment.\(^9\) SHED are thought to originate from the cranial neural crest, which expresses markers for both embryonic and MSC, and reside within the perivascular niche of the dental pulp.\(^9\)\(^10\) SHED also express many genes encoding extracellular and cell surface proteins at levels that are at least twofold higher than those in human bone marrow-derived MSCs (BM-CM).\(^11\)\(^12\) Moreover, transplantation of dental pulp stem cells was reported to improve diabetic control in STZ-induced diabetic mice, but the exact underlying mechanism remains unclear.\(^13\)

In this study, we investigated the effect of SHED-CM injections on β-cell function and survival in STZ-induced diabetic mice. Furthermore, we compared the effects of SHED-CM treatment and treatment with exendin-4 (Ex-4), a conventional incretin-based drug, and human BM-CM, which is a medium derived from other stem cells.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation of stem cell-CM**

Exfoliated deciduous teeth from 6 to 12-year-olds were collected and stored for clinical purposes at Nagoya University Hospital. SHED were collected and cultured as previously described.\(^9\) BM from 20 to 22-year-olds between passages 10 and 14 was obtained from Lonza and the Health Science Research Resources Bank. Stem cell-CM was prepared as previously described.\(^12\) Briefly, cells were cultured to 80% confluence, rinsed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and then cultured in serum-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) for 48 h. CM was collected and centrifuged twice for 5 min at 22,140×g at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and used as CM.

**In vivo studies**

C57Bl/6j mice (Chubu Kagaku Shizai Co, Ltd, Aichi, Japan) used as test subjects were housed according to a protocol approved by the Nagoya University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. To induce pancreatic damage causing diabetes, male mice aged 10 weeks were intraperitoneally injected daily for 5 days with 50 mg/kg body weight (BW) of STZ (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) dissolved in saline (multiple low-dose STZ-induced diabetic mice: MLDS mice). The 20 mice were divided into four treatment groups of five mice each as follows: control (DMEM; 1 mL injection two times per day); SHED-CM (1 mL injection two times per day); Ex-4 (24 nmol/kg BW injection two times per day); and BM-CM (1 mL injection two times per day). Doses were injected intravenously for the first 5-day period, which coincided with the STZ injection period, and then injected intraperitoneally for the subsequent 9 days. These experiments were conducted three times with three different sets of mice. In order to show that the effects were not due to an anti-STZ effect of the treatment, 150 mg/kg BW of STZ was injected intraperitoneally into male C57Bl/6j mice aged 10 weeks only once (high-dose STZ-induced diabetic mice: HD mice). Three days after this single STZ injection, HD mice with random plasma glucose levels of ≥20 mmol/L were divided into three treatment groups: control (DMEM), SHED-CM, and Ex-4 dose, as described previously.

**Animal monitoring**

Random plasma glucose levels and BWs were measured in ad libitum fed mice every day at 09:00. Plasma glucose levels were measured using the Anthes III glucose analyzer (Horiba, Ltd, Kyoto, Japan).

**Glucose tolerance tests**

After fasting for 16 h, 2.0 g/kg BW of D-glucose was injected intraperitoneally into the treated mice. Plasma glucose levels were then measured using the Anthes III glucose analyzer. Plasma insulin levels were measured using a mouse insulin ELISA kit (Morinaga Institute of Biological Science, Tokyo, Japan).

**Measurements of total pancreas insulin content**

The pancreas was isolated from each mouse as previously described.\(^14\) Total pancreas insulin content was measured according to a standard acid-ethanol extraction protocol\(^15\) using the H.T.R.F insulin assay (Cisbio Bioassays, Codolet, France).

**Histological analysis**

Each isolated pancreas was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned. Frozen sections were prepared as previously described.\(^15\) Briefly, the sections were incubated at 4°C overnight with guinea pig anti-insulin antibody and either rabbit anti-glucon antibody or rabbit anti-Ki67 antibody (dilution, 1:500; Abcam, Tokyo, Japan). Afterward, the sections were washed with PBS, then further incubated for 1 h in a mixture of rhodamine-conjugated antiguinea pig IgG antibody, antirabbit IgG antibody, and DAPI (4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) fluorescent stain solution (dilution, 1:2000; Daido Molecular Technologies, Inc, Tokyo, Japan). For morphological analysis, five sections per pancreas were randomly selected at 150 μm intervals. The sections were analyzed for cell count and cell area using a BZ-9000 fluorescent microscope system (Keyence, Osaka, Japan).
Cell culture and viability
MIN6 cells (a mouse pancreatic β-cell line) were obtained as a gift from Junichi Miyazaki (Osaka University, Osaka, Japan), cultured to 80% confluence, and then incubated for 6 h with different concentrations of STZ (0, 1, or 5 mmol/L) with SHED-CM, DMEM, Ex-4 (10 nmol/L), or BM-CM.

In vitro immunofluorescent staining was performed following almost the same procedure as that for the in vivo study. The MIN6 cells were defined by shape and nuclear diameter, in which there were a greater number of early stage necrotic cells than were observed in normal MIN6 cells (7.16±0.59 μm), using the BZ 9000 fluorescent microscope system. In addition, cell death was

Figure 1 Change in random blood glucose level, body weight, and intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (IPGTT) in streptozotocin (STZ)-induced diabetic mice. Experiment design: following the induction of hyperglycemia using multiple low-dose STZ, treatment is administered: Control (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, DMEM), conditioned medium from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED-CM), exendin-4 (Ex-4), or bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BM-CM) (A). Open arrows indicate STZ administration; closed arrows indicate days when the effectiveness of the treatment was evaluated. Random blood glucose levels (B) and body weight (C) were measured at 9:00. IPGTT was conducted on day 27 after 16 h of fasting, and blood glucose levels (D) and plasma insulin levels (E) were measured. Treatment is administered with control (DMEM), SHED-CM, or Ex-4 after the induction of hyperglycemia with STZ (glucose ≥20 mmol/L) in high-dose STZ-induced diabetic mice. Random blood glucose levels (F) and body weight (G) were measured. Closed squares indicate control (DMEM); closed circles indicate SHED-CM; open squares indicate Ex-4; open circles indicate BM-CM; closed arrows indicate the period of treatment. The data are presented as a mean±SEM value. *p<0.05 versus control; †p<0.05 versus Ex-4; §p<0.05 versus BM-CM.
measured using the CytoTox-96 cytosolic lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assay (Promega UK, Ltd, Southampton, UK), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total LDH release was calculated by incubating untreated cells with 9% Triton X-100 at 37°C for 45 min to induce maximal cell lysis. Values for treated cells were then expressed as the percentage of the total LDH release. Background LDH release induced by media alone was subtracted from the experimental values. Cell viability was measured using the MTT (3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) cell proliferation assay (Roche Diagnostics, Ltd, Burgess Hill, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, the cells were incubated for 4 h in the presence of the MTT reagent and lysed with lysis buffer. After an overnight incubation, absorbance was measured at A550 nm to A690 nm.

Glucose-stimulated insulin secretion
MIN6 cells were preincubated with a 2.8 mmol/L KRB buffer for 30 min and stimulated with 16.7 mmol/L glucose for 30 min. Supernatant as release and acid-ethanol extraction used content were measured using the HTRF Insulin assay (CisBio). The amount of secreted insulin was normalized to cellular insulin content.

Statistical analysis
Experimental results are expressed as the mean±SE. Comparisons among quantitative variable groups were performed with analysis of variance followed by the Tukey post hoc test using GraphPad Prism software (V.6.03; GraphPad Software, Inc, San Diego, California, USA). A probability (p) value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
SHED-CM treatment ameliorated glucose intolerance in STZ mice
The goal of this study was to evaluate the therapeutic effects of the factors released from SHED on a mouse STZ-induced diabetic model. CM from SHED and BM were collected 48 h after culture in serum-free DMEM. There were no significant differences in the cellular survival of cells following incubation in serum-free media (see online supplementary figure S1). When evaluating the effect of SHED-CM treatment, serum-free DMEM served as the control. SHED-CM treatment was also compared with treatment with Ex-4 and BM-CM. Insulin levels in SHED-CM and BM-CM were undetectable, in spite of the high concentration (421.5 ng/mL) in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), indicating that SHED-CM contained little substance from FBS (data not shown). The design of the experiment using MLDS mice is shown in figure 1A. From the 12th day after initiation of treatment, random plasma glucose levels in the SHED-CM treatment group were the lowest among all of the treatment groups (figure 1B). There was no significant change in BW in any of the groups (figure 1C). The intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (IPGTT) results showed that SHED-CM significantly reduced plasma glucose levels as measured on day 27 (the 13th day after the end of the treatment; figure 1D). SHED-CM also significantly increased plasma insulin levels in IPGTT on day 27 (figure 1E). Although the Ex-4 and BM-CM treatment groups showed reduced plasma glucose levels and increased plasma insulin levels in comparison with the control (DMEM) treatment group, SHED-CM was the most effective of the three treatments. To confirm that these effects were not due to an anti-STZ effect of the treatments, we explored the effects of these treatments in HD mice that were rendered hyperglycemic (random plasma glucose level ≥20 mmol/L) with high-dose STZ injections before...
treatment. In the SHED-CM treatment group, random plasma glucose levels were significantly reduced (figure 1F) and BW loss was significantly suppressed compared with those in the control (DMEM) and Ex-4 groups (figure 1G).

Increase in pancreatic insulin content and insulin-positive cells in the SHED-CM treatment group

The in vivo data suggest that amelioration of insulin secretion possibly contributed to the improvement in glycemic regulation; thus, we further investigated the state of insulin preservation in pancreatic β-cells in MLDS mice. The insulin content of the isolated pancreas from mice in the SHED-CM treatment group was significantly higher than that in the other treatment groups (the insulin content for DMEM, SHED-CM, Ex-4, and BM-CM treatment groups was 7.16±1.53, 14.3±0.74, 10.4±0.86, and 9.00±0.86 µg, respectively; figure 2A). HE staining showed that SHED-CM treatment preserved the islet mass and maintained the normal round shape compared with the other treatment groups (figure 2B). Immunofluorescent staining showed that the proportion of insulin-positive cells (β-cells) increased significantly more in the SHED-CM treatment group than in other treatment groups (figure 3A, B). The ratio of α-cell area to β-cell area, which is usually less than 1 in wild-type mice, was significantly less in the SHED-CM treatment group (figure 3C). Significant differences between the SHED-CM and BM-CM treatment groups were detected for all three parameters (β-cell and α-cell areas, and the ratio of α-cell area to β-cell area). In the SHED-CM treatment group, the ratio of cells positive for Ki67 (a proliferation marker) to cells positive for insulin was significantly higher than that in the other treatment groups (percentages for DMEM, SHED-CM, Ex-4, and BM-CM treatment groups were 0.88±0.30, 2.26±0.51, 1.0±0.52, and 0.58±0.16, respectively; figure 4A, B). Neogenesis of pancreatic β-cells from pancreatic duct epithelial cells or precursor cells in islets is reportedly implicated in the regeneration of pancreatic β-cells, although no pancreatic duct epithelial cell was co-stained by both anticytokeratin19 antibody and anti-insulin antibody in this study (data not shown). These data indicate that SHED-CM treatment increased the β-cell area through the proliferation of pancreatic β-cells.

Direct effects of SHED-CM on pancreatic β-cell function and survival

We conducted an in vitro study using MIN6 cells to clarify whether SHED-CM directly improved the viability and function of pancreatic β-cells. Nuclear staining showed that, in the control (DMEM) treatment group,
the percentage of swollen cells (early stage of necrosis cells) increased in an STZ concentration-dependent manner. Nevertheless, this was significantly suppressed in the SHED-CM treatment group (figure 5A). It is notable that SHED-CM treatment was more effective than either Ex-4 or BM-CM treatment. The results of the LDH assay showed that SHED-CM treatment significantly suppressed LDH release parallel to the numbers of cells with a swollen nucleus (figure 5B). These data indicated that SHED-CM treatment directly suppressed pancreatic β-cell death. Meanwhile, the results of the MTT assay showed that SHED-CM treatment significantly increased cell viability, as compared with the other treatments (figure 5C). Combined, these data suggested that SHED-CM treatment directly protected pancreatic β-cells from STZ-induced cell damage.

Next, we examined the effect of SHED-CM on the function of pancreatic β-cells. Glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS) in the control (DMEM) group was decreased in an STZ concentration level-dependent manner. Insulin secretion under high-glucose conditions was significantly increased in the SHED-CM treatment group compared with that in the other treatment groups (figure 5D). In addition, the results of the MTT assay (see online supplementary figure S2A) and GSIS (see online supplementary figure 2B) showed that SHED-CM was more effective than DMEM with 10% FBS, which contains many growth factors, indicating that SHED-CM has the original protective effect on pancreatic β-cells, even if a negligible amount of FBS may have contaminated SHED-CM. Taken together, these data strongly suggest that SHED-CM treatment directly increased the viability and function of pancreatic β-cells.

**DISCUSSION**

We experienced a previously unreported phenomenon. SHED-CM treatment regenerated pancreatic β-cells in STZ-induced diabetic mice, in which pancreatic β-cells were almost completely destroyed. This is the first report of factors secreted by stem cells that ameliorate the failure of pancreatic β-cells in vivo. Moreover, our data demonstrate that SHED-CM treatment directly improved both the survival and function of pancreatic β-cells. Our
data suggest that factors secreted by stem cells (paracrine signals) are possible causes of this phenomenon. A very recent study reported that BM transplantation and BM-CM injection equally improved insulin resistance by inhibition of hepatocytic inflammation in mice fed with a high-fat diet, although the antihyperglycemic actions of these treatments were negligible. Cytosolic extract from regenerating pancreas and pancreatic β-cell culture supernatant were also reported to improve glycemic control in mice administered STZ.
These reports support the possibility that secreted factors may play important roles in reducing hyperglycemia, as found in the present study.

Stem cell-CM reportedly contains many different growth factors and anti-inflammatory chemokines. Bai et al. reported that hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) secreted by BM can recover neurological function in multiple sclerosis model rats by promoting remyelination. Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1 secreted by BM improves lipopolysaccharide-induced mouse acute lung injury. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) secreted by dental pulp stem cells promotes the migration of endothelial cells (human mammary epithelial cells (HMEC)-1), which is attenuated by inactivation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K). Previous studies have revealed that VEGF-A plays an important role in the proliferation and development of pancreatic β-cells, and the activation of PI3K suppresses cell death, promotes proliferation, and activates the function of pancreatic β-cells. Our preliminary examination of fractionated SHED-CM according to molecular weight showed that compared with DMEM, a fraction of more than 100 kDa improved viability of STZ-treated MIN6 cells (see online supplementary figure S3A). Meanwhile, very recent studies reported that exosomes from deciduous dental pulp stem cells suppressed carrageenan-induced acute inflammation in mice, and exosomes from human umbilical cord MSCs improved acute myocardial ischemic injury in rats. The MTT assay results showed that compared with SHED-CM, SHED-CM without exosomes, which were eliminated from SHED-CM by centrifugation at 200 000×g for 110 min, apparently reduced cell viability (see online supplementary figure 3B). Taken together, these data suggest that both soluble factors and exosome secretion by SHED contribute to the growth and function of pancreatic β-cells, although the exact mechanisms of these antidiabetic effects by SHED-CM need to be further elucidated. SHED-CM treatment was more effective than BM-CM treatment both in vivo and in vitro studies. Therefore, a comparison of factors secreted by SHED and BM could lead to the identification of previously unknown molecules with antidiabetic effects.

In this study, although the large injected amount (2 mL/day) may have caused volume overload and affected physical function, none of the treated mice died or presented with hematuria or uric protein. Besides, SHED-CM showed the greatest extent of amelioration of glucose intolerance compared with that with an injection of the same volume of either DMEM or BM-CM, indicating that the secreted SHED, but not the large injected amount, affected the improvement in glucose intolerance. Moreover, SHED-CM without the unnecessary fractionation of less than 100 kDa may be preferable to minimize the risk of unknown side effects in future studies.

The profound effect of SHED-CM treatment on pancreatic β-cells could be based on the characteristics of these stem cells, which differed from those used in all the other treatments. Pancreatic β-cells are derived from the endoderm, but neuronal cells and pancreatic β-cells have many similar characteristics, including the expression of common transcription factors, such as Pdx-1 and Ngn3, as well as cellular functions, such as secretion. It is well known that SHED cells are easily differentiated into neural tissue, and dental pulp stem cells have been reported to differentiate into pancreatic β-like cells, suggesting that SHED-CM contains commonly secreted factors influencing the mechanisms of differentiation, function, and secretion between neural cells and pancreatic β-cells. This may be why the SHED-CM treatment was more effective at prompting growth and insulin secretion in pancreatic β-cells than BM-CM treatment.

In conclusion, a strong proliferative effect, as well as promotion of insulin secretion, was observed in pancreatic β-cells as a result of SHED-CM treatment. SHED-CM treatment induced insulin secretion in relation to...
glucose concentration. This treatment had much fewer side effects than cell transplantation and requires no immunosuppressive agents, indicating that SHED-CM treatment may be a safer, less invasive method of treating diabetes. Furthermore, the antidiabetic effects of SHED-CM treatment were much more pronounced than those of treatments with Ex4 and BM-CM. SHED-CM treatment may have potential as a new, practical strategy for diabetic treatment. Nonetheless, future studies should be performed to investigate the mechanism of action and effectiveness of SHED-CM treatment for clinical applications in the treatment of diabetes.
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