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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to investigate stages of change for physical activity and dietary habits using baseline data from persons with type 2 diabetes included in a mobile health intervention. We examined the associations between stages of change for physical activity change and dietary change, and between stages of change for each behavior and individual characteristics, health-related quality of life, self-management, depressive symptoms, and lifestyle.

Research design and methods: We examined 151 persons with type 2 diabetes with a glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) level ≥7.1%, aged ≥18 years at baseline of a randomized controlled trial, before testing a mobile app with or without health counseling. Stages of change were dichotomized into 'pre-action' and 'action'. Self-management was measured using the Health Education Impact Questionnaire (heiQ) where a higher score reflects increased self-management, and health-related quality of life was measured with the Short-Form-36 (SF-36). Logistic regression modeling was performed.

Results: The median HbA1c level was 7.9% (7.1–12.4), 90% were overweight or obese, and 20% had ≥3 comorbidities. 58% were in the preaction stage for physical activity change and 79% in the preaction stage for dietary change. Higher scores of self-management were associated with an increased chance of being in the action stage for both dietary change and physical activity change. Higher body mass index was associated with an 8% reduced chance of being in the action stage for physical activity change (OR 0.92, 95% CI 0.86 to 0.99).

Conclusions: Being in the action stage was associated with higher scores of self-management, crucial for type 2 diabetes. Over half of the participants were in the preaction stage for both dietary change and physical activity change, and many had a high disease burden with comorbidities and overweight.

INTRODUCTION

Living with type 2 diabetes is associated with deteriorating health-related quality of life (HRQL) and increased symptoms of depression.1–3 Diabetes self-management support to encourage physical activity, a healthy diet, and medication adherence is the cornerstone of treatment to achieve good glycemic control.1,4–5 HRQL and glycemic control are often associated and both are important for persons with type 2 diabetes.5 Further, poorer HRQL is also associated with an increased risk of mortality and inability to work in persons with diabetes.6

One reason that some people succeed with lifestyle changes may relate to their stage of change in the particular behavior. The trans-theoretical model (TTM) stages of change describe the process individuals may go through when trying to achieve lifestyle changes.7,8 The five stages are precontemplation, contemplation, preparation, action, and maintenance. Linear progression is rare, and relapse and recycling through the stages may occur when trying to reach the maintenance stage. Further, earlier research has found a positive association between stages of change in different behaviors.9 The model seems

Key messages

- Stages of change may be valuable when assessing characteristics of persons engaging in an intervention for behavior change.
- The participants voluntarily engaging in our mobile health study had a high disease burden with co-morbidities and overweight.
- Over half of our participants were in the preaction stage for physical activity change and dietary change, meaning that they were not currently in a behavior change process.
- Being in the action stage for physical activity change and dietary change was independently associated with higher scores of self-management, crucial for diabetes.
relevant for diabetes management. Stages of change in lifestyle, such as adopting a healthy diet, being physically active and self-monitoring blood glucose level, have been investigated in persons with diabetes. A higher stage of change in dietary habits is associated with being female and married, and with older age. An association has also been found between being in the action stage for dietary change and having poor HRQL, and between being in the maintenance stage and having a good HRQL. However, HRQL is rarely evaluated in studies that have applied the stages of change model. A review using the stages of change model in diabetes management found that moving up through the stages was associated with increased glycemic control, and a meta-analysis provide evidence on the effectiveness of tailoring health behavior messages to the stages of change with several variables also moderating this effect. However, owing to the inconclusive findings of previous studies, more research within this area is needed.

Lifestyle interventions for persons with type 2 diabetes are frequently reported; most interventions aim for lifestyle changes and increased self-management, and have been used for glycemic control, participation in exercise to improve glycemic control, and improved HRQL. A recent trend in diabetes self-management support includes mobile health (mHealth), which is now possible because of the rapid evolution of smartphones and the use of data tracking and reminders. However, reviews have concluded that the effects of mHealth remain uncertain, because of the heterogeneity of the included studies. To the best of our knowledge, the associations between stages of change and the two most important lifestyle factors, physical activity and dietary habits, in persons with type 2 diabetes taking part in mHealth lifestyle interventions have not been explored. In the Norwegian three-armed randomized controlled trial (RCT) RENEWING HEALTH, a significant effect of the mHealth intervention was not found because all three groups exhibited a decreased glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) level and there were no differences between the groups. The participants’ stages of change in physical activity and dietary habits were assessed at baseline using the stages of change model. On the basis of the inconclusive findings in the mHealth intervention study, and the knowledge that stages of change may be important in a lifestyle change process, we investigated the participants’ characteristics to elaborate our understanding about how to tailor interventions to the participants’ stages of change in the future.

The aim of this study was to investigate stages of change for physical activity and dietary habits using baseline data from persons with type 2 diabetes included in a mobile health intervention. We examined the associations between stages of change for physical activity change and dietary change, and between stages of change for each behavior and individual characteristics, health-related quality of life, self-management, depressive symptoms, and lifestyle.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Study design

The current study was part of a large European Union (EU) collaboration initiated in 2010 involving telehealth interventions in nine European countries. The Norwegian Study in RENEWING HEALTH (REgioNs of Europe WorkING together for HEALTH) was an RCT with three study arms that randomized persons with type 2 diabetes into one of two intervention groups or a control group. The protocol and main results are described elsewhere. In this article, we report the results based exclusively on baseline data from the trial.

Setting and participants

All participants lived in their homes and most were recruited from the southern and northern parts of Norway through their general practitioner (GP) and other healthcare personnel. Some of those who were newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes were recruited through specialist healthcare courses and, in addition, some were recruited through advertisement in the Norwegian Diabetes Associations media. Participants were eligible if they had an HbA1c level ≥7.1% (54 mmol/mol), were ≥18 years of age, and were able to complete questionnaires in Norwegian. They had to be able to use a smartphone. We assessed 298 persons for eligibility. Of these, 82 did not meet the inclusion criteria (65 had an HbA1c level below the threshold) and 52 declined to participate. After randomization, 12 of the remaining 164 persons were excluded because their HbA1c level was measured as being below the threshold of 7.1% (54 mmol/mol), and one control group participant withdrew consent. A total of 151 participants were included from March 2011 to October 2012.

Measures

Demographics were self-reported and included age, gender, marital status, education, and employment status, and were collected in accordance with the data set standard of the EU collaboration project. Clinical measures and disease-specific data regarding medication, laboratory status (including HbA1c level, height, weight, and blood pressure), and late complications were obtained from the GPs. Comorbidities, year and cause of diagnosis, and history of eye problems, foot ulcers, and amputations were self-reported.

Stages of change in physical activity were measured by the one-item question developed by Prochaska and Marcus using a five-point Likert response scale for stages of change. On the basis of earlier research, we constructed a ‘preaction’ and ‘action’ dichotomy in which the preaction stage comprised the ‘precontemplation’, ‘contemplation’, and ‘preparation’ stages, and the
action stage comprised the stages of ‘action’ and ‘maintenance’. Physical activity levels were measured using a questionnaire from the Norwegian study HUNT and, based on earlier research, we have constructed a dichotomous variable ‘physically inactive’ and ‘physically active’ based on the frequency, duration, and intensity of their physical activity.

Stages of change in dietary habits were measured using a five-point Likert scale with responses to ‘eating as recommended’. The variable for stages of change in diet was dichotomized into ‘pre-action’ and ‘action’ stages using the combinations described above for the physical activity variable. Dietary habits were measured using a questionnaire based on recommended food items measuring traditional Norwegian dietary habits. In the present paper, we report a selection of these variables (poultry, meat, fish, chocolate, and greens) where the responses are dichotomized based on >3 servings per month for all, except for greens, which is a mean score of daily average intake of greens.

HRQL was measured using the Short Form 36 Health Survey (SF-36), which contains 36 items forming eight conceptual domains within physical and mental health: physical functioning, role physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role emotional, and mental health. The scores for the eight domains are summed to form a physical component score (PCS) and a mental component score (MCS). Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating higher HRQL, also for bodily pain were a higher score indicate less pain or limitations due to pain. The SF-36 V1 has been translated and validated in a Norwegian setting.

The Health Education Impact Questionnaire (heiQ) is used to evaluate self-management, and contains 40 questions grouped into eight domains. Higher scores reflect greater self-management. Responses are made using four-point Likert scales ranging from ‘strongly agree’ to ‘strongly disagree,’ and the items are summed and divided to form the eight domains: positive and emotional well-being (reversed scale). The heiQ is used in various languages, and has been translated into Norwegian.

Depressive symptoms were assessed using The Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale (CES-D), which contains 20 questions. The behavioral, cognitive, and affective symptoms of depression are scored and range from 0 (rarely or none of the time) to 3 (most or all of the time), with total possible scores ranging from 0 to 60. CES-D scores were also analyzed as a categorical variable dichotomized at the cut-off with scores ≥16 representing depressive symptoms. It is highly reliable, with high concurrent and construct validity, and has been used in diabetes populations.

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee South East in Norway, and healthcare personnel handed out an information sheet about the study and recruited the participants to a meeting with the researchers for more information. Informed consent was obtained by the researchers from all participants after detailed written and verbal information was provided regarding the study and its procedures.

Statistical analysis

Most of the continuous variables were not normally distributed and therefore are described as medians with minimum–maximum values, and categorical data are presented as proportions and percentages. Crude associations between pairs of continuous variables were assessed using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test for skewed and ranked data, and the χ² test was used to compare pairs of categorical data. Associations between a binary outcome (dependent) and selected covariates were modeled using logistic regression. We fit two sets of models: one for stages of change in physical activity and one for stages of change in dietary habits as the dependent grouping variables. First, we fitted univariate logistic regressions for each of the domains of the heiQ, the SF-36, the CES-D, and the lifestyle variables; second, we entered each score separately into a multiple logistic regression one by one. To adjust for possible confounders, we included age, gender, and educational level in the final models (separate model for each domain). Owing to the exploratory nature of our study, we did not correct for multiple testing, and p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All tests were two-sided. All analyses were performed using SPSS V.21.

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

The demographic, clinical, and treatment characteristics for the whole sample and for participants in the preaction and action stages for physical activity and dietary changes are presented in table 1. In the complete sample, the included participants were middle-aged with a median age of 58 years (minimum–maximum 20–80), 89 (59%) were male, 51 (34%) had higher education (>12 years), and more than half were currently working (n=79; 53%). Metabolic control was reflected by a median HbA1c level of 7.9% (7.1–12.4%) 63 mmol/mol (54–112)), and 116 (90%) had a body mass index (BMI) categorized as overweight to obese class III (BMI >25 kg/m²). The median duration of type 2 diabetes was 9 years (1–36) and 30 (20%) had three or more comorbidities. Twenty-four (16%) reported that they currently smoked (table 2).

We found no significant differences between the preaction and action groups, except for BMI, which was significantly higher for those in the preaction stage compared with the action stage for physical activity change.
Stages of change
When stages of change were dichotomized for physical activity, 88 (58%) participants were in the pre-action stage, and 63 (42%) were in the action stage (table 3). For dietary change, 119 (79%) were in the pre-action stage, and 31 (21%) were in the action stage.

There was a significant association between the dichotomized stages in physical activity change and dietary change, where 75 (85%) of those in the pre-action stage for physical activity change also reported being in the pre-action stage for dietary change. More than half of those in the action stage for physical activity change reported being in the action stage for dietary change (n=31, 58%). These associations remained significant after adjusting for age, gender, and educational level. Those who were in the action stage for dietary change were more than twice as likely to be in the action stage for physical activity change (OR=2.5, 95% CI 1.10 to

Table 1: Demographic and clinical variables, number (%), and median (minimum–maximum)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic variables</th>
<th>Total N=151</th>
<th>Physical activity N=151</th>
<th>Dietary changes N=150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Preaction n=88</td>
<td>Action n=63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>58 (20–80)</td>
<td>57 (29–80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>89 (59)</td>
<td>49 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)*</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>83 (55)</td>
<td>47 (53)</td>
<td>36 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>17 (11)</td>
<td>12 (14)</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 12</td>
<td>51 (34)</td>
<td>29 (33)</td>
<td>22 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status†</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>79 (53)</td>
<td>43 (50)</td>
<td>36 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>41 (28)</td>
<td>27 (31)</td>
<td>14 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>28 (19)</td>
<td>16 (19)</td>
<td>12 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohabitation, cohabiting‡</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>110 (73)</td>
<td>65 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, %</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>7.9 (7.1–12.4)</td>
<td>7.9 (7.1–12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, mmol/mol</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>63 (54–112)</td>
<td>63 (54–112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>130 (100–182)</td>
<td>130 (100–182)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>31 (20–53)</td>
<td>33 (24–53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal¶</td>
<td>13 (10)</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
<td>9 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>41 (32)</td>
<td>21 (29)</td>
<td>20 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese class I</td>
<td>42 (33)</td>
<td>25 (35)</td>
<td>17 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese class II</td>
<td>20 (16)</td>
<td>12 (17)</td>
<td>8 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese class III</td>
<td>13 (10)</td>
<td>10 (14)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>24 (16)</td>
<td>10 (11)</td>
<td>14 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>97 (64)</td>
<td>56 (64)</td>
<td>41 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or more</td>
<td>30 (20)</td>
<td>22 (25)</td>
<td>8 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late complication–eye</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>19 (13)</td>
<td>10 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late complication–foot ulcer</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>23 (15)</td>
<td>17 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment variable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication regime</td>
<td>131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No medication</td>
<td>9 (7)</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
<td>6 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral agents only</td>
<td>63 (48)</td>
<td>41 (55)</td>
<td>22 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injections only**</td>
<td>19 (15)</td>
<td>10 (14)</td>
<td>9 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination of oral agents and injections</td>
<td>40 (31)</td>
<td>20 (27)</td>
<td>20 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-monitoring of blood glucose, yes</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>142 (94)</td>
<td>83 (94)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Education: some high school or less (<12 years), high-school graduate (12 years), or some college or more (>12 years).
†Employment status: employed (state employee, private employee, self-employed or part-time), unemployed (student, military duty, homemaker, unemployed, or unable to work), or retired.
‡Cohabitation status: living alone: not married, divorced, separated or widowed, or cohabiting: married or living with someone.
§Significant difference between the groups, using Mann-Whitney, p<0.014.
**Injections were both insulin and other blood glucose-lowering injections.
BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin.
Age, gender, and educational level were not significantly associated with the stage of change for dietary or physical activity habits.

### Self-management and stages of change

Higher scores on the items health-directed activity, positive and active engagement in life, and constructive attitude and approaches were related to an increased chance of being in the action stage for physical activity change after adjusting for age, gender, and educational level (table 4). Higher scores on skill and technique acquisition, social integration and support, and health service navigation were related to an increased chance of being in the action stage for physical activity change. Higher scores on health-directed activity, self-monitoring and insight, skill and technique acquisition, and health service navigation were related to an increased probability of being in the action stage for dietary change (table 4). These findings are also visualized in figure 1, and the descriptive information is found in online supplementary appendix table 1.

### HRQL and stages of change

Higher scores on physical functioning, role physical, bodily pain, and general health were related to an increased chance of being in the action stage for physical activity change after adjusting for age, gender, and educational level (table 4 and figure 1). With an increase in the PCS, participants were more likely to be in the action stage compared with the preaction stage (OR=1.17, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.29). Further, higher scores on vitality, social functioning, and mental health were associated with an increased chance of being in the action stage for physical activity change. Higher scores on the MCS of the SF-36 were associated with lower odds of being in the action stage for dietary change compared to the preaction stage (OR=0.96, 95% CI 0.92 to 0.99).

### Lifestyle variables

Each unit increase in BMI was associated with an 8% reduced chance of being in the action stage for physical activity change after adjusting for age, gender, and educational level (table 4).

---

**Table 2** Lifestyle variables, number (%), and median (minimum–maximum)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total N</th>
<th>Physical activity</th>
<th>Dietary changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preaction</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, yes</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>24 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than monthly or never</td>
<td>74 (51)</td>
<td>47 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3 times per month</td>
<td>37 (26)</td>
<td>22 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>33 (23)</td>
<td>15 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Activity, physically active*</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>51 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet change past year (yes)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>68 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry &gt;3 servings per month</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>87 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat &gt;3 servings per month</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>129 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish &gt;3 servings per month</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>116 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chocolate &gt;3 servings per month</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>68 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily servings of greens‡</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>2.8 (0.1–12.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Physically active: those with more than 60 min/week with an intensity of being short of breath or higher intensity.
†Statistically significant difference between the groups, using χ² p<0.037.
‡Daily servings of greens (fruit, berries, raw and cooked vegetables and potatoes).

---

**Table 3** Stages of change in physical activity and dietary habits, divided into five and two stages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>5 stages</th>
<th>2 stages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precontemplation</td>
<td>Contemplation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary habits</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>88 (58)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4  Unadjusted and adjusted ORs for stages of change in physical activity and dietary habits as the dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Adjusted OR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage of change in physical activity as dependent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTM stage of change in dietary changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Preaction (ref)</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.05–5.26</td>
<td>0.036†</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.10–5.88</td>
<td>0.028†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical variable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.86–0.98</td>
<td>0.011†</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.86–0.99</td>
<td>0.019†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.01–1.05</td>
<td>0.005†</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.01–1.05</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role physical</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.00–1.02</td>
<td>0.046†</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.00–1.03</td>
<td>0.030†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily pain</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00–1.03</td>
<td>0.009†</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00–1.03</td>
<td>0.010†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01–1.04</td>
<td>0.004†</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01–1.04</td>
<td>0.005†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.01–1.06</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.01–1.06</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01–1.04</td>
<td>0.006†</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01–1.04</td>
<td>0.007†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role emotional</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.99–1.03</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.99–1.03</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.01–1.07</td>
<td>0.005†</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.01–1.07</td>
<td>0.008†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCS</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.04–1.24</td>
<td>0.005†</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.06–1.29</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.00–1.08</td>
<td>0.049†</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.99–1.08</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heiQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-directed activity</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>5.36–33.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>5.69–37.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive and active engagement in life</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>1.57–7.72</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>1.75–9.53</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional distress</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.99–2.73</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.98–2.81</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-monitoring and insight</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.94–6.82</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.92–6.96</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructive attitude and approaches</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.24–4.57</td>
<td>0.009†</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.37–5.45</td>
<td>0.004†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skill and technique acquisition</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>1.53–9.28</td>
<td>0.004†</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>1.77–11.43</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social integration and support</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>1.45–5.32</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.47–5.55</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health service navigation</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>1.29–5.39</td>
<td>0.008†</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>1.27–5.45</td>
<td>0.009†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES-D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;16</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>1.16–6.65</td>
<td>0.022†</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.17–7.01</td>
<td>0.022†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥16 (ref)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically inactive (ref)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically active</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>6.25–33.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>6.67–33.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage of change in dietary habits as dependent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTM stages of change in physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preaction (ref)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.05–5.26</td>
<td>0.036†</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.10–5.88</td>
<td>0.028†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.99–1.04</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.98–1.05</td>
<td>0.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role physical</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99–1.01</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99–1.02</td>
<td>0.805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily pain</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99–1.02</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99–1.02</td>
<td>0.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.99–1.03</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.99–1.03</td>
<td>0.277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Adjusted OR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.98–1.03</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.98–1.03</td>
<td>0.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98–1.01</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98–1.01</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role emotional</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97–1.01</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98–1.01</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96–1.01</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96–1.01</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCS</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.97–1.20</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.99–1.24</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.93–0.99</td>
<td>0.043†</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.92–0.99</td>
<td>0.039†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heiQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-directed activity</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.02–1.38</td>
<td>0.024†</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.02–1.38</td>
<td>0.026†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive and active engagement in life</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.56–2.93</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.57–3.11</td>
<td>0.509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional distress</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.60–1.98</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.97–1.04</td>
<td>0.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-monitoring and insight</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>2.14–28.04</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>2.09–28.60</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructive attitudes and approaches</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.56–2.53</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.54–2.54</td>
<td>0.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skill and technique acquisition</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>1.34–10.15</td>
<td>0.012†</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>1.32–10.64</td>
<td>0.013†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social integration and support</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.54–2.22</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.97–1.04</td>
<td>0.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health service navigation</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.03–5.70</td>
<td>0.042†</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.05–6.02</td>
<td>0.038†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES-D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;16</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.26–1.54</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.23–1.48</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥16 (ref)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily average intake of greens</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.06–1.52</td>
<td>0.009†</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.05–1.54</td>
<td>0.012†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet change past year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.19–0.96</td>
<td>0.040†</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.16–0.89</td>
<td>0.026†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change (ref)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for age, gender, and education using Multiple Logistic Regression.
†Statistically significant, p<0.05.
BMI, body mass index; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale; heiQ, Health Education Impact Questionnaire; MCS, mental component score; PCS, physical component score; SF-36, short form 36; TTM, The Transtheoretical Model Stages of Change.
Self-reported physical activity and low symptoms of depression (<16) were positively associated with being in the action stage for physical activity change (table 4).

A higher intake of greens was associated with a higher probability of being in the action stage for dietary change (table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The current paper describes stages of change in physical activity and dietary habits among persons with type 2 diabetes included in an mHealth trial. We found that being in the action stage for physical activity change and dietary change both were associated with a higher self-management score. Moreover, being in the action stage for physical activity change was also associated with a higher HRQL and a lower risk for depressive symptoms. Interestingly, being in the action stage for dietary change was associated with a lower mental HRQL.

Forty-two per cent of the participants were in the action stage for physical activity change and only 21% in the action stage for dietary change. We also found an association between action for dietary change and action for physical activity change. Further, the participants in this sample had a high disease burden, as reflected by overweight and obesity, comorbidities, and high diabetes medication use; however, only BMI was significantly different between the preaction and the action stages for physical activity change.

Not surprisingly, and according to the stages of change model, our data revealed that being in the action stage for physical activity change and dietary change was associated with higher self-management scores. Possibly, individuals who are ready to change...
have greater self-management skills because they perform more actions to maintain good health. Self-management is crucial in persons with type 2 diabetes, and being successful at changing may improve self-management skills and ease daily living. The self-management measure heIQ has not earlier been used in combination with the stages of change model in an mHealth study, but this measure was sensitive to differences between the preaction and action stages. The significant associations between being in the preaction stage and having a lower level of self-management, as measured by the heIQ, suggests the need to encourage change and progress toward the desired behavior. This may indicate that more advanced support is needed from healthcare personnel in this group.

Another finding of interest was that persons in the action stage for dietary change had lower scores in mental HRQL compared to the preaction group, which also has been described in earlier research. This suggests that the action stage may be a time of change that negatively affects HRQL and that changing dietary habits may be mentally demanding. The negative impact of change on HRQL was not apparent for physical activity change. It is possible that the threshold for changing physical activity is lower and relates to fewer negative emotions compared with dietary change. Dietary habits is a complex behavior, and a qualitative study found that patients’ stages of change varied in different dietary areas and within certain dietary habits. This represents a challenge both for the staging of behavior change and for making a dietary change. Preparation and action tend to be the two busiest stages where much support is needed; however, it has earlier been shown how support from family and friends may be lower in the action stage for dietary change in persons with type 2 diabetes using insulin. It is possible that family and friends affected by the dietary change express negative emotions if they feel they are involved in the change without influence. Diets and type 2 diabetes are frequent topics in the media, and less nuanced headlines can create uncertainty regarding recommendations, which may foster negative emotions and stress related to the diet and make the dietary change more difficult. Being in the action stage for physical activity change was associated with higher HRQL scores. Our findings are consistent with earlier research that found a positive correlation between progressing through the stages of exercise change and an increase in HRQL. Our self-reported measures of physical activity level in the present study confirmed that those who report being in the action stage for physical activity change are more likely to engage in regular physical activity, meaning that those in the action stage for physical activity are physically more active compared to those in the preaction stage.

In our study, >90% of the participants reported being overweight or obese. These findings are supported by earlier research that has reported that most people (80%) with type 2 diabetes are overweight or obese. In our study, we found that those in the preaction stage for physical activity had a higher BMI, compared to those in the action stage. Individuals struggling with overweight frequently report emotional problems and a low HRQL, decreasing with increased body weight. The overall high frequency of comorbidities among the participants and the use of injection or combination therapy for diabetes reflect the complex treatment received by such patients. The median duration of type 2 diabetes of 9 years suggests that the treatment may differ between those with long-term diabetes and newly diagnosed patients. Another finding of interest was the association between a lower score for depressive symptoms when in the action stage for physical activity change compared to the preaction stage, indicating that depressive symptoms may interfere with the ability to change health behavior. There were no differences in depressive symptoms between the participants in the preaction and action stages for dietary change.

Most of the participants placed themselves in the preaction stage for behavior change. This finding is consistent with other studies, and may indicate a need to provide more continual support for self-management. We had expected more participants to be in the action stage because they had agreed to participate in an intervention study. Earlier research has described how people interested in a telehealth platform for diabetes self-management differ from the general population with diabetes. In addition, patient preferences should be accounted for, especially in trials involving mobile tools for self-management. However, stages of change in lifestyle and participation in a treatment intervention may represent different aspects, whereby the latter may be a result of the interactions between the patient, the clinician, and the environment. Stages of change in the two behaviors assessed were associated, and this finding is supported by earlier research, suggesting that a person is more likely to be in a higher stage for different behaviors at the same time. Positive change is more likely to occur when the individual is ready to change in the mid-stages and by building on previous successful changes, the person’s readiness to further change may increase. However, the factors that drive change are debated. Some argue that change is not always a conscious act and may rather result from a trigger in a person who is not ready to change. Stages of change and readiness to change are often used interchangeably in the literature; however, readiness to change may need more context to be applicable to both those in preaction and action. Readiness to change should imply that the person has not yet changed; that is, would be in preaction. Stages of change would include preaction stages as well as action stages. The transtheoretical model has improved staging behavior and future work should focus on those not in the action stage, such as the majority of persons in this study. It is also debatable whether the stages of change construct are sensitive enough to
distinguish the different stages in a real-life setting because people move between stages and there is no standardized measure. We therefore merged the three preaction stages into one group (preaction) and the two action stages into another (action). We found that being in the action stage was associated with greater self-management and HRQL, somewhat confirming the idea that those in the action stage perform more to maintain good health, and also strengthening the argument of why healthcare personnel should strive to help people change and move up the stages of change.

The current results may be prone to volunteer bias and self-selection, and the use of mainly self-reported measures necessitates caution in the interpretation of our findings. We anticipated that participants in an intervention study would mainly be in the action stage for change. However, this was not the case, although most of those interested in participating in this trial wished to receive the intervention, which was mHealth either alone or in combination with health counseling. Personal interest is important to participation in interventions using technology to promote behavioral change because of the focus on lifestyle changes and the use of the technology tools provided.

It is possible that those with poor metabolic control may be more amenable to telehealth interventions. The participants in this study had excessive weight, as well as increased HbA1c level and comorbidities, which indicates the need for changes. The associations between glycemic control and HRQL, and between HRQL, mortality, and the ability to work, mean that the complexity of diabetes management is an issue for the society, not just the individual. Lower socioeconomic status is associated with type 2 diabetes, and physical inactivity and obesity are more common among patients from a lower socioeconomic status. Disadvantaged populations (low income, older adults, ethnic minorities, etc) are, however, less likely to be included in studies of mHealth, although it is known that these populations are frequent mobile technology users and that research on this group is important as well.

In conclusion, we have reported the baseline characteristics of a group of people with diabetes who participated in an mHealth intervention to address lifestyle changes that are not unique to persons with type 2 diabetes. For both physical activity and dietary habits, we have found that being in the action stages is associated with higher self-management, and being in the action stage for physical activity is associated with a lower BMI. Being in the action stages for dietary change is, however, associated with a lower HRQL, and special attention is needed for this group. Overall, we believe that moving people up the stages of change is positively associated with important parts of daily diabetes management, and should be given more attention in the future. The prospects of dealing with an ever older population with type 2 diabetes and comorbidities in the future is overwhelmingly related to the increased strain on the healthcare system, which will require a large amount of effort from both the national and local healthcare systems. Both patients and society are in need of strategies to prevent the negative outcomes related to the insufficient treatment of people with type 2 diabetes. Further research with a greater overall perspective may be important.
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