Risk factors for new-onset diabetes mellitus after distal pancreatectomy
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Several previous studies have reported the incidence of new-onset diabetes mellitus (NODM) after pancreatectomy. Nevertheless, the results were inconsistent. The true rate of NODM after distal pancreatectomy (DP) is still unknown.

Research design and methods The aim of this study was to investigate the incidence of and the risk factors for NODM after DP. This study enrolled patients who underwent DP between January 2004 and February 2016 at Peking Union Medical College Hospital. Patients with preoperative diabetes mellitus or diagnosed with pancreatic cancer were excluded. The primary outcome was NODM.

Results A total of 485 patients were enrolled. The median (IQR) of follow-up duration was 30.95 (9.26–180.30) months. The cumulative incidence of NODM was 8.9% at postoperative 6 months, 14.0% at postoperative yearly one, 22.3% at year three, 27.1% at year five, and 35.5% at year ten. Multivariate analysis showed that the risk of postoperative NODM was positively correlated with age (HR 1.029 (1.013–1.045), p<0.001), preoperative body mass index (BMI) (HR 1.042 (1.003–1.083), p=0.001), operative blood loss (HR 1.0003 (1.0002–1.0010), p<0.001), and length of resected pancreas (HR 1.079 (1.013–1.148), p=0.017). Moreover, concomitant splenectomy (HR 2.001 (1.202–3.331), p=0.008) was associated with significantly higher risk of postoperative NODM.

Conclusion NODM incidence increased with postoperative time progression. Age, BMI, surgical blood loss, length of resected pancreas and splenectomy were independent risk factors for NODM after DP.

Trial registration number NCT03030209.

INTRODUCTION

Distal pancreatectomy (DP), with or without splenectomy, has been considered the standard procedure for removal of lesions located in the body or tail of the pancreas. Today, the procedure is increasingly used, as the more frequent use of modern imaging studies often reveals asymptomatic and frequently benign pancreatic lesions, such as intrapancreatic mucinous neoplasms, which are often situated in the pancreatic body or tail. As a result of the more benign nature of resected lesions, life expectancy after DP has increased, which places patients at risk for long-term sequelae of pancreatectomy. Among these long-term complications, postoperative new-onset diabetes mellitus (NODM) is a concern. Nevertheless, the true rate of NODM after DP is still unknown.

Postoperative NODM is one of the pancreateogenic diabetes conditions historically referred to as type 3c diabetes mellitus (DM). It is defined as diabetes caused by the loss or destruction of the pancreatic endocrine parenchyma. The endocrine parenchyma of the pancreas is scattered throughout the gland and is organized in clusters of cells forming islets of Langerhans. Four principal cell types make up the endocrine parenchyma: the insulin-producing β-islet cells, the glucagon-producing alpha cells, the pancreatic polypeptide-producing (PP) cells,
and the somatostatin-producing delta cells. Because the insulin-producing β-islet cells are concentrated in the body and tail of the pancreas, long-term disturbance in glucose metabolism may be a prevalent sequela after DP.

Previous studies have found that postoperative diabetes develops in 9%–38% of patients after DP. Several studies identified female sex, a high pancreatic resected ratio, a high body mass index (BMI), old age, and high preoperative hemoglobin A1c as independent risk factors for development of NODM after DP. However, several methodological limitations existed in these previous studies: the sample sizes of these studies were often small; few studies assessed the incidence of NODM along with follow-up time; few studies reported the incidence of NODM according to different types of pancreatectomy, such as pancreatectoduodenectomy, DP, and middle pancreatectomy, respectively; and length of follow-up was often missing.

The aim of the present study was to obtain exact incidence of NODM along with the follow-up progression and identify risk factors for the development of NODM after DP. Data were obtained through a large cohort of patients who underwent DP at a single tertiary referral hospital.

**Methods**

**Study design and participants**

This was a cohort analysis using data from a dedicated pancreatectomy database prospectively maintained by the Pancreatic Surgical Center, Peking Union Medical College Hospital. Clinical data, pathological details and long-term follow-up outcomes were extracted. All enrolled patients signed the written informed consent. From January 2004 to 13 February 2016, patients were considered eligible for this study if they underwent DP with or without splenectomy for a pancreatic lesion. Patients with preoperative DM and those pathologically diagnosed with pancreatic duct adenocarcinoma, acinar carcinoma, or pancreatic secondary malignant tumor were excluded. The criteria of preoperative or postoperative DM were referenced by the American Diabetes Association 2017 diagnostic criteria.

**Operative technique**

These operations were performed by seven experienced surgeons in our department. The surgical procedures were standardized in our institution and the surgeons had received the same training. For open approach, the patient was placed in a supine position and a midline incision was used. For minimally invasive approach, the patient was placed in a reverse Trendelenburg position with legs apart. After creation of a carbon dioxide pneumoperitoneum via a 10 mm infraumbilical port, a 12 mm trocar and a 5 mm trocar were inserted at the left mid-clavicular line at umbilical level and 3 cm right of the umbilicus for the surgeon; another 5 mm trocar was placed in the left subcostal area for the assistant.

The operative procedure for both open and minimally invasive approach was similar. First, division of gastrocolic ligament was performed, from the midline towards the spleen to expose the ishmus, body, and tail of the pancreas. With the stomach elevated, the retroperitoneum was opened along the inferior pancreatic border and further dissection was performed on the avascular plane posterior to the pancreas until the splenic vein and artery were identified. Tunneling behind the pancreas neck was done and the neck of pancreas was dissected by using linear stapler. After dividing the neck of the pancreas, the splenic vein and splenic artery were ligated and divided. The short gastric vessels were ligated to mobilize the spleen and the specimen was retrieved. If the spleen was preserved, the Warshaw or Kimura technique would be performed, which was also described in our previous study.

**Outcome measures**

The primary outcome was NODM. The American Diabetes Association 2017 diagnostic criteria for DM were adapted in the present study. In patients with classic symptoms of hyperglycemia or hyperglycemic crisis, a random plasma glucose (PG) level of ≥200 mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L) was sufficient for diagnosis of DM. In patients without unequivocal hyperglycemia, the following criteria were required to be confirmed on at least two occasions: (A) fasting plasma glucose (FPG; fasting is defined as no caloric intake for at least 8 hours) ≥126 mg/dL (7.0 mmol/L); (B) 2-hour PG ≥200 mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L) during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT; the test should be performed as described by the WHO, using a glucose load containing the equivalent of 75 g anhydrous glucose dissolved in water); or (C) HbA1c ≥6.5% (48 mmol/mol).

Demographic characteristics included age, gender, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score, BMI, and family history of diabetes in first-degree relatives. Pathological data were extracted from the gross and microscopic examination of resected specimens, including tumor location, tumor component (cystic or solid), tumor size, length of resected pancreas, and pathological diagnosis. Length of resected pancreas was defined as the longitudinal distance between the pancreatic stump and the tip of the pancreatic tail (online supplemental figure 1). Tumor location was identified as the tail of the pancreas, when the left side of the tumor reached the tip of pancreatic tail or the tumor was between the pancreatic tail and the splenic hilum.

Surgery-related outcomes included operative time, estimated blood loss, preservation of spleen, preservation of splenic vessels, intraoperative splenic infarction and length of postoperative hospital stay.

Morbidity was defined as a complication occurring during the hospital stay or within 90 postoperative days, graded using the Clavien-Dindo system. Postoperative pancreatic fistula was evaluated using International Study Group of Pancreatic Fistula definition with clinical
grading system (A, B, C). Abdominal abscess or infection was described in our previous study. Mortality was defined as surgery-related death within 90 days after the procedure.

**Follow-up**

Patients with benign and low-grade malignant pancreatic lesions who underwent DP in our institution were scheduled for outpatient follow-up at 1 month, 6 months, and 1 year after surgery. Thereafter, patients are followed every 6 months by outpatient service or telephone. In every follow-up, patients were scheduled to undergo FPG, HbA1c, routine blood examination, liver function tests, and renal function tests. If there were positive findings in FPG or HbA1c, patients were scheduled to undergo OGTT and were transferred to an endocrinologist. Follow-up duration was defined as the interval from the initial DP to the diagnosis of NODM or, in cases without DM onset, to the most recent follow-up visit.

**Statistical analyses**

Quantitative variables were expressed as the mean±SD or the median (IQR). Qualitative variables were expressed as a percentage. A Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney test was used for comparisons of quantitative variables, whereas a χ² test or Fisher’s exact test was used for comparisons of categorical data.

Survival distributions were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using a log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models were employed to investigate risk factors for NODM and to compute HRs and their 95% CIs. All of the variables that differed in the univariate Cox regression analysis with a p value <0.10 were included in the multivariate Cox model with backward selection. The optimal cut-offs of risk factors for predicting the occurrence of postoperative NODM were estimated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. All tests were two sided. The threshold for statistical significance was set to p<0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS V.19 (IBM).

**RESULTS**

**Participant characteristics**

After excluding other type of pancreatectomy, that is, pancreaticoduodenectomy (n=1204), central pancreatectomy (n=115), enucleation (n=586), and total pancreatectomy (n=21), 840 patients undergoing DP were identified from 1 January 2004 to 13 February 2016. Sixty-three patients with preoperative DM, 270 patients diagnosed with ductal adenocarcinoma, 6 patients diagnosed with acinar carcinoma, and 16 patients diagnosed with pancreatic metastatic cancer were excluded, according to prespecified criteria (figure 1A). Finally, 485 patients were enrolled in the present study. Eighty-one patients (16.7%) were lost to follow-up. Median (IQR) of follow-up duration was 30.95 (9.26–180.30) months.

The demographic characteristics and pathological details of included patients are summarized in table 1. The mean age was 44.37±15.14 years; 349 (72%) patients were female; 22 (4.5%) patients had a family history of diabetes (first-degree relatives). The mean BMI was 23.37±3.85 kg/m². Patients with the ASA scores of I and II accounted for 41.4% (201) and 55.7% (270), respectively. Pancreatic lesions of 336 (69.3%) patients were located in either the neck or body. The components of pancreatic lesions were cystic in 200 (41.4%) patients and solid in 183 (37.7%) patients, respectively. The mean diameter of pancreatic lesions was 4.64±3.09 cm, and the mean length of resected pancreas was 9.60±3.50 cm. The
Table 1 Characteristics of included patients who develop and do not develop new-onset diabetes mellitus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics and pathological details</th>
<th>Overall (n=485)</th>
<th>New-onset diabetes mellitus</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (n=112)</td>
<td>No (n=373)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Age (years)**
- Yes: 44.37±15.14
- No: 50.95±12.90
- P: <0.001*

**Gender, female**
- Yes: 349 (72.0)
- No: 69 (61.6)
- P: 0.005*

**Family history of diabetes (first-degree relatives)**
- Yes: 22 (4.5)
- No: 4 (3.6)
- P: 0.576

**Body mass index (kg/m²)**
- Yes: 23.37±3.85
- No: 23.64±3.41
- P: <0.001*

**ASA score**
- I: 201 (41.4)
- II: 270 (55.7)
- III: 14 (2.9)

**Location of tumor**
- Neck or body: 336 (69.3)
- Tail: 149 (30.7)

**Component of tumor**
- Cystic: 200 (41.2)
- Cystic and solid: 102 (21.0)
- Solid: 183 (37.7)

**Tumor size (cm)**
- Yes: 4.64±3.09
- No: 4.66±3.34
- P: <0.001*

**Length of resected pancreas (cm)**
- Yes: 9.60±3.50
- No: 10.71±3.66
- P: <0.001*

**Pathological diagnosis**
- Serous cystic neoplasm: 85 (17.5)
- Mucinous cystic neoplasm: 90 (18.6)
- Neuroendocrine tumor: 129 (26.6)
- Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm: 95 (19.6)
- Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm: 27 (5.6)
- Pseudocyst: 19 (3.9)
- Chronic pancreatitis: 13 (2.7)
- Other: 27 (5.6)

**Operative and postoperative courses**

**Operative approach**
- Minimally invasive approach: 211 (43.5)
- Open approach: 274 (56.4)

**Operative time (min)**
- Yes: 207.37±70.39
- No: 220.92±70.33
- P: 0.021*

**Operative blood loss (mL)**
- Yes: 435.63±668.60
- No: 619.20±1085.93
- P: 0.001*

**Splenic preservation**
- 189 (39.0)
- 11/23 (47.8)
- 166 (44.5)

**Splenic vessel preservation in patients with splenic preservation**
- 95/189
- 84/166 (50.6)

**Splenic infarction in patients with splenic preservation**
- 18/189
- 13/166 (7.8)

**Postoperative complication**
- 102 (21.0)
- 37 (33.1)

**Clavien-Dindo grades I-II**
- 90 (18.6)
- 35 (31.3)

**Clavien-Dindo grades III-IV**
- 12 (2.5)
- 2 (1.8)

**Clinical postoperative pancreatic fistula (grades B and C)**
- 37 (7.6)
- 11 (9.8)
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most common pathological diagnoses were neuroendocrine tumor (26.6%), solid pseudopapillary neoplasm (19.6%), mucinous cystic neoplasm (18.6%), and serous cystic neoplasm (17.5%).

Table 1 also describes the operative and postoperative outcomes. More than 40 percentage of patients (43.5%, n=211) underwent minimally invasive surgery. The mean operative time was 207.37±70.39 min with mean operative blood of 435.63±668.60 mL. Among the 189 (39.0%) patients who underwent spleen-preserving DP, 95 underwent splenic vessels preservation. With regard to postoperative courses, 90 (18.6%) patients and 12 (2.5%) patients suffered from complications of Clavien-Dindo grades I–II and III–IV, respectively. Less than 10% of patients suffered from clinical pancreatic fistula (7.6%, n=37) and abdominal infection (6.0%, n=29), respectively. Among those who underwent spleen-preserving DP, 18 patients had partial splenic infarction. The in-hospital cost and postoperative length of hospital stay of this cohort were ¥42 181.81±¥25 512.61 and 14.04±6.71 days.

Patients who develop NODM were identified by the following characteristics: older age (50.95±12.90 years, p<0.001), male (38.4%, p=0.005), higher BMI (23.64±3.41, p<0.001), tumor location of pancreatic neck or body (83.9%, p<0.001), longer length of resected pancreas (10.71±3.66 cm, p<0.001), specific pathological diagnosis (serous cystic neoplasm 16.1%, mucinous cystic neoplasm 21.4%, neuroendocrine tumor 30.4%, solid pseudopapillary neoplasm 8.0%, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm 9.8%, pseudocyst 3.6%, and chronic pancreatitis 4.5%, p=0.011), open operative approach (69.6%, p=0.001), longer operative time (220.92±70.33 p=0.021), more operative blood loss (619.20±1085.93 mL, p=0.001), splenectomy (79.5%, p=0.001) or splenic infarction (21.7%, p=0.033), and postoperative complications (33.1%, p=0.001), compared with those who do not develop NODM (table 1).

Univariate and multivariate analyses of the development of postoperative NODM
Univariate Cox regression analysis investigated the relationship between the development of NODM and demographic characteristics, pathological details, operative and postoperative courses. Age (p<0.001), gender (p=0.007), BMI (p=0.001), ASA score (II; p=0.047), length of resected pancreas (p=0.001), pathological diagnosis (solid pseudopapillary neoplasm; p=0.003), operative approaches (p=0.015), operative time (p=0.015), operative blood loss (p=0.001), splenectomy (p=0.001), and postoperative complication (p=0.002) were identified as statistically significant influential factors for postoperative NODM (table 2).

As the prespecified criteria, potential risk factors with p values <0.10 in the univariate analysis entered the multivariate Cox regression model. Age (HR 1.029 (1.013–1.045), p<0.001), preoperative BMI (HR 1.042 (1.003–1.083), p=0.034), operative blood loss (HR 1.0003 (1.0002–1.0010), p=0.005), splenectomy (HR 2.001 (1.202–3.331), p=0.008), and length of resected pancreas (HR 1.079 (1.013–1.148), p=0.017) were identified as independent risk factors for NODM (table 2).

Incidence of postoperative NODM in different risk-stratified subgroups
In this cohort, 112 patients suffered from NODM during the follow-up period. Specifically, in the actuarial estimate, rates of NODM were 8.9% at postoperative month six, 14.0% at postoperative year one, 17.9% at year two, 22.3% at year three, 27.1% at year five, and 35.5% at year ten (figure 1B).

Age
As an independent risk factor for postoperative NODM, the optimal cut-off of age was 38 years old determined by ROC curve. In patients under age 38, postoperative rates of NODM were 1.2% at month six, 2.7% at year one, 5.0% at year two, 7.0% at year three, 9.7% at year five, 12.3% at year ten, and 15.9% at year twelve.
Table 2  Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of risk factors for postoperative NODM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Univariable model</th>
<th>Multivariable model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.037 (1.024 to 1.050)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.300 (1.074 to 1.573)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of type 2 diabetes (first-degree relatives)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.209 (0.772 to 1.894)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>1.067 (1.032 to 1.103)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA score</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.047*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1.640 (1.097 to 2.452)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1.478 (0.452 to 4.832)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component of tumor</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic</td>
<td>0.557 (0.362 to 0.857)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic and solid</td>
<td>0.718 (0.446 to 1.155)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm)</td>
<td>0.998 (0.938 to 1.063)</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of resected pancreas (cm)</td>
<td>1.101 (1.051 to 1.153)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serous cystic neoplasm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous cystic neoplasm</td>
<td>1.064 (0.577 to 1.962)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroendocrine tumor</td>
<td>1.089 (0.614 to 1.931)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm</td>
<td>0.353 (0.158 to 0.787)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm</td>
<td>2.148 (1.014 to 4.511)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudocyst</td>
<td>0.719 (0.238 to 2.170)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pancreatitis</td>
<td>1.882 (0.698 to 5.073)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.045 (0.428 to 2.548)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative approach</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.015*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally invasive</td>
<td>0.618 (0.419 to 0.911)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative time (min)</td>
<td>1.003 (1.001 to 1.005)</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative blood loss (mL)</td>
<td>1.000 (1.000 to 1.001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenectomy</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.686 (1.335 to 2.130)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative complication</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.943 (1.309 to 2.884)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical postoperative pancreatic fistula (grades B and C)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.482 (0.795 to 2.764)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal infection/abscess</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
and 17.4% at year ten. In patients over age 38, the postoperative rates of NODM were 13.0% in postoperative month six, 19.9% at year one, 24.6% at year two, 30.2% at year three, 35.9% at year five, and 44.8% at year ten (p<0.001) (table 3 and figure 2A).

**Preoperative BMI**

Preoperative BMI was qualified as an independent risk factor for development of NODM (p=0.034); 23.47 kg/m² was determined to be the optimally predictive cut-off. In patients with BMI ≤23.47 kg/m², postoperative incidence of NODM was 5.3% at month six, 7.4% at year one, 9.2% at year two, 11.4% at year three, 15.8% at year five, and 26.6% at year ten. Among patients with BMI >23.47 kg/m², the postoperative incidence of NODM was 13.8% at month six, 22.9% at year one, 29.8% at year two, 37.2% at year three, 42.6% at year five, and 47.5% at year ten (p<0.001) (table 3 and figure 2B).

**Operative blood loss**

Operative blood loss was positively correlated with the rate of postoperative NODM (p=0.005); 425 mL was determined to be the optimally predictive cut-off. In patients with blood loss ≤425 mL, postoperative incidence of NODM was 6.6% at month six, 11.8% at year one, 13.7% at year two, 16.4% at year three, 20.4% at year five, and 28.0% at year ten. Among patients with blood loss >425 mL, the postoperative incidence of NODM was 14.1% at month six, 18.8% at year one, 26.4% at year two, 34.4% at year three, 40.7% at year five, and 49.7% at year ten (p<0.001) (table 3 and figure 2C).

**Length of resected pancreas**

Longer resected pancreas was associated with higher risk for development of NODM (p=0.017). The optimal cut-off was 8.95 cm. In patients with a length of resected pancreas ≤8.95 cm, postoperative incidence of NODM

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Univariable model</th>
<th>Multivariable model</th>
<th>Cut-off value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.942 (1.013 to 3.723)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital cost (¥)</td>
<td>1.000 (1.000 to 1.000)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative length of hospital stay (day)</td>
<td>1.020 (0.996 to 1.044)</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant.
ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; NODM, new-onset diabetes mellitus.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>0.5 year (%)</th>
<th>1 year (%)</th>
<th>2 years (%)</th>
<th>3 years (%)</th>
<th>5 years (%)</th>
<th>10 years (%)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤38 (n=168)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;38 (n=317)</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤23.47 (n=277)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;23.47 (n=208)</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative blood loss (mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤425 (n=332)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;425 (n=153)</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenectomy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n=296)</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n=189)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of resected pancreas (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤8.95 (n=207)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;8.95 (n=278)</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Log-rank test.
BMI, body mass index; NODM, new-onset diabetes mellitus.
Figure 2  The incidences of new-onset diabetes mellitus (NODM) were significantly different between two comparative groups divided by the independent risk factors: age (A), preoperative BMI (B), length of resected pancreas (C), surgical blood loss (D), and splenectomy (E). The incidences of NODM were significantly different between patients with and without splenic infarction (F). BMI, body mass index.
was 4.7% at month six, 7.6% at year one, 9.4% at year two, 11.0% at year three, 15.4% at year five, and 21.6% at year ten. Among patients with length of resected pancreas >8.95 cm, the postoperative incidence of NODM was 12.0% at month six, 18.5% at year one, 24.0% at year two, 30.4% at year three, 35.4% at year five, and 45.6% at year ten (p<0.001) (table 3 and figure 2D).

Splenectomy
Concomitant splenectomy was associated with significantly higher risk of postoperative NODM (p=0.008). In patients with splenic preservation, postoperative incidence of NODM was 3.4% at month six, 5.8% at year one, 9.2% at year two, 11.7% at year three, 15.6% at year five, and 18.6% at year ten. Among patients with splenectomy, the postoperative incidence of NODM was 12.5% at month six, 19.1% at year one, 23.0% at year two, 28.6% at year three, 33.7% at year five, and 44.3% at year ten (p<0.001) (table 3 and figure 2E).

Among patients with splenic preservation, there was no significant difference in risk of postoperative NODM between patients with splenic vessel preservation (Kimura method) and patients with splenic vessel resection (Warshaw method). However, partial splenic infarction was associated with increased risk of postoperative NODM, compared with patients with sufficient splenic blood perfusion (p=0.017; figure 2F).

DISCUSSION
Endocrine insufficiency is a highlighted long-term sequel after pancreatectomy. Several previous studies have reported the incidence of postpancreatectomy NODM, although the results were varied and inconsistent. Different length of follow-up period after pancreatectomy is one possible explanation for the different rates of NODM. The design of the present study allowed us to display a gradually increasing rate of postoperative NODM along with time progression. Such time-dependent data have rarely been demonstrated previously. These results provided an actual and specific profile of postoperative NODM after DP. The aim of this study was just designed to enroll patients with benign or low-grade malignant tumors in the pancreas because patients with pancreatic cancer often had a very short survival after operation. As a result, we can achieve long-term follow-up and good long-term survival rate and can study the rate of NODM after DP with time progression, even up to 10 years.

Several previous studies analyzed different types of pancreatectomy, such as pancreaticoduodenectomy, DP, and middle pancreatectomy, in the same group of patients. This approach may also contribute to the differing rates of postpancreatectomy NODM. Burkhart et al reported that patients who underwent DP were more likely to develop NODM, as compared with the pancreaticoduodenectomy group. These findings may be related to the asymmetric distribution of β-islet cells, which predominate in the body and tail of the pancreas, throughout the gland. In the current study, we included only patients undergoing DP, to diminish the heterogeneity of different operative types.

A previous systematic review by De Bruijn and van Eijck showed that the incidence of NODM was significantly associated with pre-existing diseases, from chronic pancreatitis to benign or (potentially) malignant lesions. In the present study, only 13 patients were diagnosed with chronic pancreatitis. When the DP was performed for pancreatic tumor, the cumulative incidence was 25.7% (112/373), lower than when DP was performed for chronic pancreatitis (38.46%, 5/13). Nevertheless, this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.318), probably because the sample of patients with chronic pancreatitis was small.

Resected pancreas ratio or volume was identified as a significant predictor for post-DP DM in several previous studies. However, the methods used to calculate resected pancreas volume ratio by previous studies were complicated. These methods required patients to undergo both preoperative and postoperative contrast-enhanced CT in which the parenchyma of the pancreas was outlined on each CT slice, with the exclusion of bile ducts, splenic vessels, portal veins, dilated pancreatic ducts, and tumors. In the present study, we used the length of resected pancreas as a predictive parameter for the risk of postoperative NODM. This parameter was more accessible and convenient to calculate through the gross examination of the resected specimen (online supplemental figure 1). Furthermore, length of resected pancreas was identified as an independent risk factor for NODM.

The current findings indicated that patients who received DP with splenectomy had a twofold greater risk of developing postoperative NODM, compared with those who received spleen-preserving DP. Previous clinical observations reported similar association between splenectomy and glucose intolerance or diabetes among patients undergoing splenectomy for trauma or severe thalassemia. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, this was the first large cohort study validating the association between splenectomy and the development of NODM after DP.

The spleen is thought to harbor pancreatic stem cells, as cells within the spleen demonstrate close inter-relationships with stem cells during embryonic development. The splenic mesenchyme is reported to bud off from the pancreatic mesenchyme during early development. This close relationship between cell types was also seen in a study that used PTF1-p48 pancreas-specific transcription factor 1a, also known as Ptf1a) gene knockout mice. Although these mice were born without an exocrine pancreas, functional islets were found in the spleen and these animals showed normoglycemia. Other rodent studies revealed that splenocytes could enhance the neogenesis of pancreatic β-islet secretory cells and protect against obesity-associated insulin resistance.
The present study also indicated that higher age, BMI and operative blood loss were independent predictive factors for post-DP NODM. We speculate that the higher incidence of NODM in elder patients may be attributed to the degradation of pancreatic function. Among individuals with increasing BMI, the odds of developing DM in Asians were reported to increase significantly. Additionally, increasing blood loss during surgery might cause ischemia and edema of remnant pancreas and directly impair its long-term endocrine function.

As described by Belyaev et al., patients who postoperatively developed endocrine or exocrine insufficiency suffered more losses in their physical quality of life. Thus, early detection of and intervention for NODM is essential for management of patients after DP. The present study identified independent risk factors for NODM after DP. These findings allow us to select patients with high risk for NODM and design more stringent surveillance and follow-up schedules for them. Early intervention and intensive glucose control have been reported to decrease the risks of major cardiovascular events and death in patients newly diagnosed with diabetes. Additionally, in patients with impaired glucose tolerance, the early introduction of antidiabetic agents has been reported to diminish the development of overt diabetes.

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting these results. First, disease profile in this cohort of patients was not included in malignant diseases, although several studies reported the association between DM and pancreatic cancer. However, adenocarcinoma of the body and tail of the pancreas usually associated with a poor overall survival, which is not adequate for the observation of NODM development. Second, many patients received DM treatment outside of our institution. Consequently, detailed information on the therapeutic regimen of NODM was not available for a substantial number of patients. Third, our objectives were limited to Asian patients. Whether these results can be generalized to western countries need further study to validation.
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