Role of ceramide-to-dihydroceramide ratios for insulin resistance and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in humans
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Sphingolipid accumulation has been linked to obesity, type 2 diabetes and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). A recent study showed that depletion of dihydroceramide desaturase-1 (DES-1) in adipose and/or liver tissue decreases ceramide-to-dihydroceramide ratios (ceramide/dihydroceramide) in several tissues and improves the metabolic profile in mice. We tested the hypothesis that ceramide/dihydroceramide would also be elevated and relate positively to liver fat content and insulin resistance in humans.

Research design and methods Thus, we assessed total and specific ceramide/dihydroceramide in various biosamples of 7 lean and 21 obese volunteers without or with different NAFLD stages, who were eligible for abdominal or bariatric surgery, respectively. Biosamples were obtained from serum, liver, rectus abdominis muscle as well as subcutaneous abdominal and visceral adipose tissue during surgery.

Results Surprisingly, certain serum and liver ceramide/dihydroceramide ratios were reduced in both obesity and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and related inversely to liver fat content. Specifically, hepatic ceramide/dihydroceramide (species 16:0) related negatively to hepatic mitochondrial capacity and lipid peroxidation. In visceral adipose tissue, ceramide/dihydroceramide (species 16:0) associated positively with markers of inflammation.

Conclusion These results failed to confirm the relationships of ceramide/dihydroceramide in humans with different degree of insulin resistance. However, the low hepatic ceramide/dihydroceramide favor a role for dihydroceramide accumulation in NASH, while a specific ceramide/dihydroceramide ratio in visceral adipose tissue suggests a role of ceramides in obesity-associated low-grade inflammation.

INTRODUCTION

Bioactive lipid metabolites such as diacylglycerols (DAGs), sphingolipids and acylcarnitines can interfere with insulin sensitivity and are linked to obesity and type 2 diabetes.1,3 Circulating ceramides have been associated with insulin resistance in humans without diabetes,4 and this effect has been proposed to be mediated by direct inhibition of insulin signaling in skeletal muscle.5 Obesity and insulin resistance also tightly relate to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD).6 In obese humans with NAFLD, the sn-1,2-DAG/protein kinase C-ε pathway correlates with hepatic insulin resistance.6,10 On the contrary, ceramides and dihydroceramides have been increased in livers of insulin-resistant humans8 and the ceramide/c-Jun N-terminal kinase pathway relates to hepatic oxidative stress and inflammation, that is, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH).11 12 Both acyl chain length and cellular localization of specific ceramide species have been recognized as important parameters for...
ceramide-induced deterioration of metabolic function.\textsuperscript{13} In line, ceramide 16 and 24 and certain sphingomyelin species were increased in methionine–choline-deficient mice, indicating upregulation of sphingolipid metabolism in NASH.\textsuperscript{14} Recently, Chaurasia \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{15} reported elegant mouse experiments targeting dihydroceramide desaturase-1 (DES-1), a rate-limiting enzyme for de novo ceramide synthesis. Global DES-1 depletion improved insulin sensitivity and hepatic steatosis, decreased free fatty acids (FFA) and fat mass and increased oxygen consumption. Liver-specific DES-1 ablation lowered ceramide/dihydroceramide ratios and resolved steatosis. There is also evidence for ceramide-mediated mitochondrial fission in murine models,\textsuperscript{16} suggesting that lower ceramide levels improve the mitochondrial capacity for fatty acid oxidation and thereby decrease steatosis and lipid-mediated insulin resistance.\textsuperscript{17} While these findings point to DES-1 as a promising therapeutic target,\textsuperscript{18} it remains to be tested whether lower ceramide/dihydroceramide, either in insulin target tissues or in the circulation of humans, indeed relate to tissue-specific insulin sensitivity and/or to hepatic oxidative capacity, particularly in the context of NAFLD. Several groups have demonstrated that dihydroceramides increase more than ceramides in insulin-resistant states. Dihydroceramides were better predictors of diabetes than other sphingolipids,\textsuperscript{19} and several plasma dihydroceramide subspecies closely correlated with waist circumference in a Mexican American population.\textsuperscript{20} Dihydroceramides are also excellent markers of coronary artery disease\textsuperscript{21} and may therefore have the potential as biomarkers in different disease areas. In this context, dihydroceramides could be a better readout of fatty acid flux through the ceramide biosynthesis pathway, owing to their lower abundance compared with ceramides. They are also slightly less effective substrates for ceramide-metabolizing enzymes, such as glucosylceramide synthase. Thus, they may build up more demonstrably than ceramides in response to fatty acids flowing through the pathway.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Study participants**

The research design and methods have been described in detail previously.\textsuperscript{11} In order to examine possible associations between ceramide/dihydroceramide and measures of insulin sensitivity, we used the absolute concentrations for ceramides and dihydroceramides, which were reported before in a recent study.\textsuperscript{10} We analyzed the ceramide/dihydroceramide for total and for specific sphingolipid species in all biosamples from 21 obese patients without (NAFL−) or with (NAFL+) non-alcoholic fatty liver or NASH as well as from seven healthy lean humans (control), who were all eligible for bariatric or abdominal surgery. Before surgery, they underwent hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp tests with stable isotopically labeled glucose to assess tissue-specific insulin sensitivity.\textsuperscript{11} During surgery, biosamples were taken from serum, liver, skeletal muscle as well as visceral and subcutaneous fat tissues.\textsuperscript{10} Liver samples were used for measuring hepatic mitochondrial function by high-resolution respirometry and for histological NAFLD staging or immediately transferred in liquid nitrogen for further storage at −80°C. Participants’ characteristics are summarized in table 1. Of note, one

| Table 1 Participant characteristics\textsuperscript{11} |
|---|---|---|---|
| **CON** | **NAFL−** | **NAFL+** | **NASH** |
| Number (females) | 7 (5) | 7 (6) | 7 (4) | 7 (6) |
| Age (years) | 40±13 | 43±7 | 46±12 | 42±8 |
| BMI (kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) | 25.2±3.3†§** | 49.5±8.3 | 51.4±7.0 | 51.4±7.0 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 82.8±13.0§§ | 125.7±19.8†† | 129.2±17.0 | 129.2±17.0 |
| Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL) | 75±7 ‡ | 93±13 | 98±28 | 85±6 |
| Fasting plasma insulin (mIU/L) | 6.1 (5.1, 10.8)‖‡ | 22.6 (12.3, 25.9) | 33.6 (32.4, 34.3) | 22.6 (16.3, 31.7) |
| HbA1c (%) | 5.3±0.3‡ | 5.6±0.5 | 6.0±0.9 | 5.5±0.2 |
| HbA1c (mmol/mol) | 34±3‖‡ | 38±6 | 42±10 | 37±2 |
| Peripheral insulin sensitivity (mg/kg/min) | 7.4±2.2‖‡ | 3.1±1.7 | 1.8±0.3 | 2.8±0.6 |
| HCL (%) | 1 (0, 5)‖‡‖§§ | 2 (0, 5)‖‖§§§ | 40 (10, 40)‖‖‖ | 45 (40, 65) |

Data are presented as mean±SD or median (q1, q3).

\( ^{†} p<0.01, \text{CON vs NAFL−.} \)

\( ^{‡} p<0.001, \text{CON vs NAFL−.} \)

\( ^{‡‡} p<0.01, \text{CON vs NAFL+.} \)

\( ^{§} p<0.001, \text{CON vs NAFL+.} \)

\( ^{**} p<0.01, \text{CON vs NASH.} \)

\( ^{***} p<0.001, \text{CON vs NASH.} \)

\( ^{††} p<0.05, \text{NAFL− vs NAFL+.} \)

\( ^{‡‡} p<0.01, \text{NAFL− vs NAFL+.} \)

\( ^{§§} p<0.001, \text{NAFL− vs NAFL+.} \)

\( ^{†††} p<0.05, \text{NAFL− vs NASH.} \)

\( ^{‡‡‡} p<0.01, \text{NAFL− vs NASH.} \)

BMI, body mass index; CON, control; HCL, hepatocellular lipids; NAFL, non-alcoholic fatty liver; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.
patient in the NAFL+ group had type 2 diabetes mellitus and withdrew the oral glucose lowering medication for 3 days before the clamp test.

Hepatic lipid peroxidation and systemic inflammation
Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) were measured in serum and liver tissue as markers of lipid peroxidation. Interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra) and fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF-21) were quantified using Quantikine HS (IL-6, TNFα) or Quantikine (IL-1ra, FGF-21) ELISA kits (R&D Systems, Wiesbaden, Germany).

Sphingolipid measurements
Sphingolipids were quantified using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry methodology as previously described. Briefly, lipid separation was achieved on a 2.1 (i.d.) × 150 mm Kinetex C8, 2.6 micron core-shell particle (Phenomenex, Torrance, California, USA) column. Sphingolipid species were identified based on exact mass and fragmentation patterns and verified by lipid standards. The concentration of each lipid metabolite was determined according to calibration curves using peak-area ratio of the analyte versus the corresponding internal standard. Calibration curves were generated using serial dilutions of each target analyte. The percentage coefficient of variation for sphingoid bases and ceramide panel is 5%–20%, whereas accuracy is 80%–120%. Data are expressed as picograms of metabolite per mg of wet tissue or mL of plasma. According to our in-house generated data and experience analyzing all kinds of tissues from mouse models, equivalent results were obtained when normalizing sphingolipid levels according to protein content in the sample. The absolute levels of total and specific sphingolipid species of this cohort have been previously reported. Briefly, insulin resistance was more prevalent in obese persons, while the degree of steatosis was highest in persons with NASH, who exclusively showed also increased total hepatic ceramides and dihydroceramide species.

Statistical analysis
Data are presented as mean and SD (±SEM) or median (25th /75th percentiles) as appropriate. Linear regression models were used to calculate estimates (β) and p values of associations between metabolic parameters and sphingolipid species with and without adjustment for age, sex and body mass index (BMI). P values from two-sided tests ≤5% were considered to indicate significant differences. Analyses were performed using SAS V.9.4 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS
First, we tested the hypothesis that ceramide/dihydroceramide is elevated in insulin-resistant states and NAFLD as reported for certain mouse models. Total serum ceramide/dihydroceramide was lower in serum of all obese groups, higher in NAFL+ livers, but not different in skeletal muscle and visceral adipose tissue (figure 1A–D). Specific serum ceramide/dihydroceramide ratios were lower in all obese groups (20:0), NAFLD (16:0) or in NASH only (22:0, 24:1; figure 2A). Hepatic ceramide/dihydroceramide 16:0 and 24:1 were also lower in NASH compared with controls (figure 2B). Interestingly, muscle ceramide-to-dihydroceramide 18:0 and visceral adipose
Figure 3  Associations between ratios of ceramides to dihydroceramides (ceramide/dihydroceramide) in serum (A–D, G), liver (E,F) or visceral fat (H) and body mass index (BMI), liver fat content, thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) in serum and liver, whole-body insulin sensitivity (M-value), state U respiration in liver tissue and interleukin-6 (IL-6) in lean humans (blue) and obese persons without non-alcoholic fatty liver (yellow) or with NAFL (orange) or with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (red). DHC, dihydroceramide; EGP, endogenous glucose production; NAFL, non-alcoholic fatty liver.

tissue ceramide/dihydroceramide 20:0 and 22:0 were increased in NASH (figure 2C and D). BMI (figure 3A) and waist circumference (β=−0.05, p=0.03) related negatively to total ceramide/dihydroceramide in serum and liver (β=−1.18, p=0.005 and β=−0.49, p=0.006). BMI and waist circumference correlated positively with ceramide/dihydroceramide 16:0 in muscle (β=0.46, p=0.03) and β=0.27, p=0.02) and visceral adipose tissue (β=0.19, p=0.02) and β=0.10, p=0.03). Of note, reduction of ceramide 16:0 in mice with selective ceramide synthase 6 (CerS6) ablation associated with lower body weight and fat content.22

Second, we tested the hypothesis that higher ceramide/dihydroceramide would associate with worse metabolic profile as reported in murine models.15 In contrast, patients with NAFLD featured lower serum total ceramide/dihydroceramide (β=−0.05, p=0.04), 16:0 (figure 3B) and 22:0 (β=−0.04, p=0.0004). There were neither associations of serum ceramide-to-dihydroceramide with whole-body insulin sensitivity (M-value) nor with circulating glucose or FFA. Hepatic total ceramide/dihydroceramide even correlated positively with M-value (β=26.0, p=0.01) and ceramide/dihydroceramide 16:0 negatively with liver fat content (β=−0.11, p=0.01). These findings fail to support that ceramide 16:0 is also involved in human obesity-induced insulin resistance. Similar to ceramide/dihydroceramide 16:0, hepatic ceramide/dihydroceramide 22:0 and 24:1 correlated negatively with liver fat content (β=−0.30, p=0.04, β=−0.39, p=0.0001) but positively with M-value (figure 3F). Only serum ceramide/dihydroceramide 22:0 related negatively to insulin-mediated suppression of endogenous glucose production (figure 3D), similar as in murine DES-I deficiency.15 Furthermore, there were associations neither between hepatic ceramide/dihydroceramide and hepatic insulin sensitivity nor between muscle or adipose ceramide/dihydroceramide and glycemia, insulin sensitivity or hepatic fat content.

Third, we hypothesized that elevated ceramide/dihydroceramide relates to impaired mitochondrial function and greater oxidative stress, according to the recently postulated ceramide-mediated abnormal mitochondrial function favoring DAG-induced insulin resistance.16 Indeed, serum total ceramide/dihydroceramide correlated inversely with lower maximal uncoupled (state u) respiration from substrates of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (figure 3G). Similar negative associations were observed for serum ceramide/dihydroceramide 20:0 (β=−10.7, p=0.01), 22:0 (β=−1.88, p=0.04) and 24:1 (β=−2.71, p=0.006), hepatic ceramide/dihydroceramide 20:0 (β=−25.6, p=0.004), muscle total ceramide/dihydroceramide (β=−25.3, p=0.02), 20:0 and 24:0 (β=−34.2, p=0.02and β=−24.9, p=0.02). The present analysis failed to detect any associations between ceramide/dihydroceramide 16:0 and hepatic mitochondrial capacity. Moreover, hepatic ceramide/dihydroceramide 16:0 rather related negatively to hepatic thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), reflecting lipid peroxidation (figure 3E) with or without adjustment for age, sex and BMI. Similarly, serum and liver ceramide/dihydroceramide 18:0 (figure 3C; β=−0.55, p=0.04), 20:0 (β=−6.73, p=0.01) and 24:1 (β=−10.7, p=0.04) also correlated inversely with serum TBARS. Taken together, some ceramide/dihydroceramide may affect hepatic mitochondrial function, but without evidence for enhancing lipid peroxidation.

Finally, ceramides could also induce insulin resistance by activating inflammatory pathways, triggered by toll-like receptor 4 recognition of saturated fatty acids and subsequently increased ceramide biosynthesis.23 24 Thus, we hypothesized that increased ceramide/dihydroceramide ratios would relate to increased levels of biomarkers of inflammation and possibly to lower circulating FFA, as a measure of inhibited lipolysis. In contrast, serum ceramide/dihydroceramide 20:0 related with lower systemic
inflammation, as measured by IL-6 (β=−3.94, p=0.04), IL-1a (β=−7.78, p=0.0001) and high-sensitivity C reactive protein (hsCRP; β=3.49, p=0.01). Total ceramide/dihydroceramide (β=−21.8, p=0.003), 20:0, 22:0 and 24:1 correlated similarly with IL-1a (β=−11.2, p=0.03; β=−9.17, p=0.003and β=−11.6, p=0.001, respectively) as well as ceramide/dihydroceramide 20:0 and 24:1 with hsCRP (β=−3.49, p=0.01 and β=−6.15, p=0.03, respectively). Interestingly, ceramide/dihydroceramide 16:0 in visceral adipose tissue related positively to IL-6 (figure 3H) and IL-1a (β=5.1, p=0.006), supporting the concept of tissue-specific roles of these ratios.

DISCUSSION

Given that circulating dihydroceramides are specifically increased in NASH despite comparable concentrations of total ceramides,13 the observation of lower hepatic ceramide/dihydroceramide ratios may rather reflect higher dihydroceramide production from de novo ceramide synthesis due to increased dietary intake of saturated lipids in obesity. Also, hepatic particularly dihydroceramides associated with whole-body insulin resistance in the same cohort13 and predict diabetes up to 9 years prior to its clinical diagnosis.19 This may also suggest that the increased dihydroceramide levels reflect an increased flow of fatty acids through the sphingolipid pathway in individuals with NAFLD or insulin resistance. Dihydroceramides could regulate oxidative stress, cell proliferation and apoptosis as well as mitochondrial function,20–26 which is underlined by their association with hepatic inflammation and oxidative stress in humans.11 Moreover, a recent study found increases in several hepatic ceramide and sphingomyelin species in a murine NASH model along with upregulation of ceramide synthases and DES-2, but not DES-1.22 This finding casts doubt on a key role of DES-1 for the pathogenesis of NAFLD not only in humans—as reported here—but also in mice.14

Strengths of this analysis are the translation of well-designed mouse model to humans, because these models may not reflect human pathology, as well as the inclusion of a broad range of human phenotypes including a lean healthy group. Although our cross-sectional study design does not allow direct conclusions as to causality, our analysis yielded novel observations regarding associations with insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis, which can be useful for designing prospective studies. We acknowledge that the ceramide-to-dihydroceramide ratio is only indicative and may not be an appropriate surrogate marker of DES-1 activity in vivo, which we did not directly measure. However, this analysis did not aim to investigate the therapeutic relevance of any enzyme inhibition.

In conclusion, the present analyses suggest that in obesity and during NAFLD progression to NASH, enhanced de novo ceramide synthesis may lead to dihydroceramide accumulation and in turn decreased ceramide/dihydroceramide ratios. In contrast to DES-1-deficient mice, the decreased ceramide/dihydroceramide ratios lead to lower insulin sensitivity or intrahepatic fat accumulation. Nevertheless, the negative relationship of certain hepatic ceramide/dihydroceramide ratios with hepatic mitochondrial function could result in enhanced lipid oxidation, thereby preventing further deterioration of insulin sensitivity. Thus, whether DES-1 is a good drug target or not is difficult to answer. Finally, these data underline the importance of future studies on tissue-specific differences of specific sphingolipid species in humans.
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