Vitamin D status and risk of type 2 diabetes in the Norwegian HUNT cohort study: does family history or genetic predisposition modify the association?
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ABSTRACT

Introduction We sought to investigate the relationship between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) level and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in adults who participated in the Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT), and the possible effect modification by family history and genetic predisposition.

Research design and methods This prospective study included 3574 diabetes-free adults at baseline who participated in the HUNT2 (1995–1997) and HUNT3 (2006–2008) surveys. Serum 25(OH)D levels were determined at baseline and classified as <50 and >50 nmol/L. Family history of diabetes was defined as self-reported diabetes among parents and siblings. A Polygenic Risk Score (PRS) for T2DM was generated. Incident T2DM was defined by self-report and/or non-fasting glucose levels greater than 11 mmol/L and serum glutamic acid decarboxylase antibody level of <0.08 antibody index at the follow-up. Multivariable logistic regression models were applied to calculate adjusted ORs with 95% CIs. Effect modification by family history or PRS was assessed by likelihood ratio test (LRT).

Results Over 11 years of follow-up, 92 (2.6%) participants developed T2DM. A higher risk of incident T2DM was observed in participants with serum 25(OH)D level of <50 nmol/L compared with those of ≥50 nmol/L (OR 1.72, 95% CI 1.03 to 2.86). Level of 25(OH)D<50 nmol/L was associated with an increased risk of T2DM in adults without family history of diabetes (OR 3.87, 95% CI 1.62 to 9.24) but not in those with a family history (OR 0.72, 95% CI 0.32 to 1.62; p value for LRT=0.003). There was no effect modification by PRS (p value for LRT>0.23).

Conclusion Serum 25(OH)D<50 nmol/L was associated with an increased risk of T2DM in Norwegian adults. The inverse association was modified by family history of diabetes but not by genetic predisposition to T2DM.

INTRODUCTION

More than 400 million people live with diabetes nowadays and the number of prevalent cases is projected to rise to 642 million by 2040. Among them, approximately 90% have type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), which is one of the top 10 leading causes of death globally. The number of deaths due to T2DM has been dramatically increasing in the most populous countries, such as India, China and Indonesia. T2DM is a result of the interplay among lifestyle, environmental and genetic factors. Adiposity and physical inactivity are the most important modifiable factors. Other behavioural risk factors include smoking habits and dietary patterns. Observational studies have investigated the relationship between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) level and the risk of T2DM, but the relationship has not been explored in populations stratified by family history of diabetes or genetic predisposition to T2DM.
There is compelling evidence that the individual risk of T2DM is strongly influenced by genetic factors.\textsuperscript{11-13} A recent meta-analysis study that combined data from 32 genome-wide association studies (GWAS) provided new insight into this complex disorder by identifying 243 susceptibility loci in European-descent individuals.\textsuperscript{11} In the latest multiancestry meta-analysis, Vujkovic et al further identified 318 new risk loci in 1.4 million participants.\textsuperscript{13} These loci are related to genes that mostly influence energy metabolism and regulation of insulin secretion. Family history of diabetes has been used as a proxy for genetic predisposition.\textsuperscript{14,15} The reported family history of diabetes was associated with diagnosed diabetes, undiagnosed diabetes and pre-diabetes.\textsuperscript{15,16}

The aim of the current study was to investigate the relationship between serum 25(OH)D level and the risk of T2DM in adults who participated in the Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT) with an 11-year follow-up. We also evaluated possible effect modification by family history of diabetes and genetic predisposition to T2DM on this relationship.

**METHODS**

**Study design and population**

HUNT is one of the largest collections of health data in Norway.\textsuperscript{17} The study has enrolled about 123,000 participants aged 20 years or older in four surveys: HUNT1 (1984–1986), HUNT2 (1995–1997), HUNT3 (2006–2008) and HUNT4 (2017–2019). In this study, we linked data from HUNT2 (n=65,228) to HUNT3 for an average 11-year follow-up. Of the participants in HUNT2, a 10% random sample (n=6613) was selected for measurement of serum 25(OH)D levels. Baseline levels were established for participants with sufficient blood sample volume (n=6377). Among them, 3671 participated in HUNT3 (participation rate 57.6%). The final analysis dataset included 3574 participants without diabetes at baseline and with complete information on classification of T2DM in HUNT3. Figure 1 presents a flowchart outlining the selection process of the analysis dataset.

**Measurement of serum 25(OH)D level**

Blood samples collected in HUNT2 were stored at −80°C. LIAISON 25-OH vitamin D TOTAL (DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy) was used to measure serum 25(OH)D levels. It is a fully automated antibody-based chemiluminescence assay. The detection range of the assay is 10–375 nmol/L. A cosinor model based on month of blood draw was used to calculate season-standardized 25(OH)D level (nmol/L) in order to reduce the effect of seasonal fluctuations due to the high-latitude geographical position of Trøndelag, Norway (latitude 63.5° N). The cosinor model fits a linear regression in which the 25(OH)D measurements are regressed onto sine and cosine terms of transformations of the time variable that is the month of blood draw. The terms give a linear representation of a sine curve with amplitude and a phase, which can be used to describe a seasonal pattern of the study sample.\textsuperscript{18}

The season-standardized 25(OH)D was calculated using the package cosinor V.1.1 in R V.3.6.3. This season-standardized 25(OH)D level represents the annual average value of serum 25(OH)D for each participant. The season-standardized 25(OH)D levels were treated as a continuous variable as well as a categorical variable of two categories (<50 and ≥50 nmol/L) based on the widely accepted definition of vitamin D insufficiency.\textsuperscript{19}

**Information on covariates**

Baseline variables were collected by questionnaires or at clinical examination in HUNT2. Sociodemographic variables included age (as a continuous variable), sex (female or male), years of education (<10, 10–12, and ≥13 years), and economic difficulties in the past year (yes or no). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by the square value of height and was used as a continuous variable (kg/m²). Lifestyle factors included daily smoking (never, former, and current), frequency of alcohol consumption per month (never, 1–4 times, or ≥5 times), level of physical activity (inactive, low, moderate, or high), and average hours of sitting time per day as a marker for sedentary behavior (≤4, 5–7, and ≥8). Family history of diabetes was identified by asking the following question concerning the participant’s relatives (mother, father, sister, and brother): ‘Do they have or have they had diabetes?’ (yes or no). Chronic diseases were determined by the question ‘Do you suffer from any long-term illness or injury of a physical or psychological nature that impairs your functioning in your everyday life?’ (yes or no). Participants with missing information on education, economic difficulties, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, sitting time per day, family history of

---

**Figure 1** Flowchart of selection process for the analytical sample in HUNT. Analytical sample comprised participants who participated in HUNT2 and HUNT3, with complete data on serum 25(OH)D, without diabetes at baseline and with complete information for classification of T2DM in HUNT3. HUNT, Trøndelag Health Study; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus. 
The possible association between 25(OH)D level and the risk of T2DM was also evaluated in strata by family history of diabetes (yes and no categories) or by PRS for T2DM as a binary variable using different cut-off values. Potential effect modification by family history or PRS on the association was assessed by the likelihood ratio test (LRT), and a p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Multiple imputation was used to address possible bias due to missing data of the covariates, assuming missing at random. As missing data in the analysis dataset were around 10%, 10 imputations were performed to follow the recommendations. All statistical analyses were performed with STATA/MP V.16.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the study population

The study population was more represented by women than men (54.7% vs 45.3%), with approximately the same distribution within the two categories of serum 25(OH)D level (table 1). More participants had a baseline serum 25(OH)D level of ≤50 nmol/L than ≥50 nmol/L (57.6% vs 42.4%).

Serum 25(OH)D level and the risk of T2DM

A total of 92 (2.6%, of which one did not report having diabetes but had a non-fasting blood glucose level above 11 mmol/L) participants were identified to have developed T2DM during the 11-year follow-up period (table 2). The 11-year risk of T2DM was 3.3% in the 25(OH)D<50 nmol/L group compared with those with 25(OH)D≥50 nmol/L, the study participants with a level <50 nmol/L had a higher average BMI value and greater proportions of the following characteristics: <10 years of education, current smoker, alcohol abstainer, little physical activity and economic difficulties. There was no clear pattern for the distribution of chronic diseases, family history of diabetes or PRS for T2DM between the two 25(OH)D categories.

Statistical analysis

The relationship between serum 25(OH)D and the 11-year risk of T2DM was evaluated using logistic regression models. Crude and adjusted ORs with 95% CIs were estimated. The multivariable regression analysis was performed to control for potential confounders including age, sex, education, economic difficulties, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, sitting time per day, family history of diabetes and chronic diseases. These covariates were selected a priori as potentially important confounders in the adjusted model. The 25(OH)D level of ≥50.0 nmol/L was used as the reference group.
Potential effect modification by family history of diabetes or genetic predisposition to T2DM

The level of 25(OH)D<50 nmol/L was associated with an increased risk of T2DM in the participants without a family history of diabetes (OR 3.87, 95% CI 1.62 to 9.24), whereas there was little evidence of an association in the participants with a family history of diabetes (OR 0.72, 95% CI 0.32 to 1.62) (table 3). The LRT showed evidence of an effect modification by the family history (p=0.003).

We further examined if the association between vitamin D and T2DM risk was modified by PRS (table 4). The LRT provided little statistical evidence suggesting that the OR in the top 1/3 PRS stratum differed from that in the bottom 2/3 PRS stratum (p value for LRT=0.23). There was also little evidence for effect modification by PRS when other cut-off values of PRS were applied (online supplemental figure 1, p>0.23 for all LRTs).

DISCUSSION

Main findings

In an 11-year follow-up study, we found that serum 25(OH)D<50.0 nmol/L was associated with a 72% increased risk of T2DM in the Norwegian adults overall. The inverse association was evident among people who did not have a family history of diabetes but not in those with a family history of diabetes.

Table 1  Baseline characteristics of participants overall and by baseline serum 25(OH)D levels in the HUNT2 survey, 1995–1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Overall (N=3574)</th>
<th>&lt;50.0 (n=2059)</th>
<th>≥50.0 (n=1515)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>46.6±13.4</td>
<td>45.7±12.9</td>
<td>47.9±14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.0±3.8</td>
<td>26.6±4.1</td>
<td>25.3±3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female/male)</td>
<td>54.7±45.3</td>
<td>54.4±45.6</td>
<td>55.0±45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years: &lt;10/10–12/≥13/unknown)</td>
<td>29.5/36.9/31.2/2.5</td>
<td>30.6/37.5/28.9/3.0</td>
<td>27.9/36.0/34.3/1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic difficulties (no/yes/unknown)</td>
<td>59.2/21.8/19.0</td>
<td>55.9/23.9/20.2</td>
<td>63.7/18.9/17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status (never/former/current/unknown)</td>
<td>45.0/27.9/26.2/1.0</td>
<td>43.9/26.6/28.6/1.0</td>
<td>46.5/29.6/23.0/0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake per month (0 (abstainer)/1–4/5–7/≥8/unknown)</td>
<td>29.4/51.4/12.0/7.2</td>
<td>31.6/50.9/10.4/7.0</td>
<td>26.4/52.1/14.2/7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (inactive/low/moderate/high/unknown)</td>
<td>20.8/18.7/25.0/9.0/26.5</td>
<td>23.3/19.1/22.3/7.4/27.9</td>
<td>17.3/18.2/28.5/11.3/24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting time per day (hours: ≤4/5–7/≥8/unknown)</td>
<td>26.7/24.6/30.3/18.4</td>
<td>26.0/23.2/30.3/20.5</td>
<td>27.6/26.5/30.4/15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of diabetes (no/yes/unknown)</td>
<td>69.9/16.2/13.8</td>
<td>68.5/15.9/15.6</td>
<td>71.9/16.6/11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS for T2DM (bottom 2/3/top 1/3/unknown)</td>
<td>64.7/32.3/3.0</td>
<td>63.0/33.6/3.5</td>
<td>67.0/30.6/2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic diseases (no/yes/unknown)</td>
<td>70.6/26.7/2.6</td>
<td>71.4/26.1/2.5</td>
<td>69.6/27.7/2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given as percentage (%) or mean±SD.

BMJ, body mass index; HUNT, Trøndelag Health Study; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; PRS, polygenic risk score; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Table 2  Association between baseline seasonal-standardized serum 25(OH)D level and the 11-year risk of T2DM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasonal-standardized serum 25(OH)D level (nmol/L)</th>
<th>Participants (n)</th>
<th>Cases (n)</th>
<th>Risk (%)</th>
<th>Crude OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Categorical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>2059</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.00 (1.26 to 3.19)</td>
<td>1.72 (1.03 to 2.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>1515</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous†</td>
<td>3574</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.53 (1.08 to 2.18)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.88 to 1.93)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T2DM was defined as reported diabetes and/or non-fasting serum glucose levels of ≥11 mmol/L and the value of serum glutamic acid decarboxylase antibodies of <0.08 ai.

*Adjusted for age, sex, education, economic difficulties, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, sitting time per day, family history of diabetes and chronic diseases.

†per 25 nmol/L decrease in serum 25(OH)D.

ai, antibody index; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.
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The association between serum 25(OH)D and T2DM, however, was not modified by the genetic predisposition to T2DM measured by PRS.

Comparison with previous studies

Our results are consistent with those from a Danish study that found a 20% increased risk of T2DM per 25 nmol/L decrease in serum 25(OH)D.8 Contrastingly, another Danish study did not find an association between low 25(OH)D status and incident diabetes.9 This may be due to a shorter follow-up duration of the latter study. Forouhi et al designed a nested case-cohort from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer (EPIC)-Norfolk study, including 621 incident T2DM cases7; compared with participants with 25(OH)D<50 nmol/L, the risk of T2DM was halved in those with 25(OH)D>80 nmol/L.7 The variation in the estimated magnitude for the risk of T2DM between the previous study and our study (50% vs 42% lower risk) could be partially explained by the difference in categorization of serum 25(OH)D.

A meta-analysis study of Song et al combined data from 21 prospective studies, including 4996 incident T2DM cases from diverse populations.28 They found a summary relative risk for T2DM of 0.62 (95% CI 0.54 to 0.70) comparing the highest to lowest category of 25(OH)D levels. In a more recent meta-analysis study using data from 22 longitudinal studies of European descent and including 8492 cases of T2DM, a 25 nmol/L decrease in 25(OH)D concentration was associated with a 21% significantly increased risk of T2DM.30 10

A recent randomized controlled trial showed that vitamin D supplementation did not result in a significantly lower risk of diabetes than placebo,29 which challenges any causal inference. However, the study had a relatively short follow-up duration (median 2.5 years). Latest research has also applied Mendelian randomization (MR) to study the potential causal relationship between low vitamin D and the risk of T2DM. MR approach attempts to overcome issues of confounding and reverse causation that are usually present in observational studies.30 31 A recent MR study, based on data from European and Chinese adults, found that a genetically determined 25 nmol/L higher 25(OH)D was associated with a 14% (95% CI 3% to 23%) lower risk of T2DM.32 Similarly, another MR analysis based on 898

<p>| Table 3 | Association between baseline seasonal-standardized serum 25(OH)D level and the 11-year risk of T2DM, stratified by family history of diabetes (N=3080*) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasonal-standardized serum 25(OH)D level (nmol/L)</th>
<th>Participants (n)</th>
<th>Cases (n)</th>
<th>Risk (%)</th>
<th>Crude OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family history of diabetes: no</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.17 (1.85 to 9.39)</td>
<td>3.87 (1.62 to 9.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of diabetes: yes</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.86 (0.43 to 1.72)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.32 to 1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T2DM was defined as reported diabetes and/or non-fasting serum glucose levels of ≥11 mmol/L and the value of serum glutamic acid decarboxylase antibodies of <0.08 ai.

*494 participants were excluded due to missing information on family history of diabetes.

†Adjusted for age, sex, education, economic difficulties, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, sitting time per day and chronic diseases.

ai, antibody index; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

<p>| Table 4 | Association between baseline seasonal-standardized serum 25(OH)D level and the 11-year risk of T2DM, stratified by PRS for T2DM (N=3467*) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasonal-standardized serum 25(OH)D level (nmol/L)</th>
<th>Participants (n)</th>
<th>Cases (n)</th>
<th>Risk (%)</th>
<th>Crude OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRS low score (bottom 2/3)</td>
<td>2312</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>1297</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.24 (0.60 to 2.57)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.44 to 2.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS high score (top 1/3)</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.38 (1.23 to 4.57)</td>
<td>1.78 (0.86 to 3.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*107 participants were excluded due to missing genetic information.

†Adjusted for age, sex, education, economic difficulties, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, sitting time per day, family history of diabetes and chronic diseases.

25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.
130 individuals of European ancestry from 32 studies revealed a significant inverse association of genetically predicted serum 25(OH)D levels with risk of T2DM. Both studies used genetic variants affecting the synthesis of vitamin D as instrumental variables. In contrast, an earlier MR study found no association between genetically predicted serum 25(OH)D and the incidence of T2DM. The causal association remains to be confirmed in future studies.

Our results demonstrated that the vitamin D–T2DM association was modified by family history of diabetes but not by genetic predisposition to T2DM. The discord implied that these two variables might not be used interchangeably. This may be because family history of diabetes is not only a reflection of genetic predisposition but also of shared environmental and lifestyle factors. Another explanation for the discrepancy may be because family history was self-reported, and there is a possibility of information bias. On the other hand, although the PRS was generated using the genetic variants from the latest GWAS, it explained only a small part of the risk of T2DM. This may also partially explain the inconsistent results stratified by family history or PRS.

Potential biological mechanisms

The biological mechanism by which low serum 25(OH)D level might lead to an increased risk of T2DM has not been well elucidated. Most tissues and cells in the body, including beta-cells of the pancreas, have vitamin D receptors and respond to the active form of vitamin D 1,25(OH)₂D, which controls the expression of more than 200 genes. Vitamin D insufficiency may directly result in decreased pancreatic insulin secretion and indirectly influence calcium metabolism that regulates insulin synthesis and secretion. In addition, vitamin D displays anti-inflammatory properties and may inhibit cytokine-induced beta-cell death during inflammatory processes. Finally, a recent study showed that people who were genetically liable to T2DM had lower lipids in high-density lipoprotein (HDL) subtypes before the clinical onset of the disease. Vitamin D may directly affect the lipid metabolism that might mediate the pathway from vitamin D status to T2DM. Recently, an MR study has demonstrated a causal positive association between serum 25(OH)D and HDL levels. Results in the current study suggested that keeping a sufficient vitamin D level might reduce the risk of T2DM in adults with no family history of diabetes. The null association in those with family history may be due to the reason that the family history neutralizes the protective effect of vitamin D.

Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge, this prospective cohort study is the first to provide an insight into the potential influences of family history and genetic predisposition on the relationship between serum 25(OH)D and the risk of T2DM. Serum 25(OH)D was measured in a large random sample of Norwegian adults aged over 20 years in HUNT2. Use of the cosinor model to calculate season-standardized serum 25(OH)D rather than adjustment for season of blood draw in the models minimized the mean squared error, as mentioned previously. In addition, the study had a long follow-up duration. We used 166 T2DM susceptibility loci instead of the full set of 403 SNPs from the latest T2DM GWAS for European ancestry to construct the PRS. The PRS based on the 166 SNPs collectively explained about 5.2% of the risk of T2DM in our study (pseudo $R^2$ 0.052 from logistic regression), whereas 396 of the 403 SNPs (information on 7 SNPs is unavailable in HUNT) explained a less proportion (pseudo $R^2$ 0.044 from logistic regression).

There are several limitations related to our study. The participation rate from HUNT2 to HUNT3 was 57.6%, increasing the possibility of selection bias. Participants in the HUNT studies have been shown to be healthier than non-participants. In the current study, those who did not participate in HUNT3 were older, less educated and more likely to have chronic diseases than those who participated (online supplemental table 3). However, the distribution of 25(OH)D levels in the analysis dataset was similar to that in the 10% random sample (online supplemental figure 2). Due to the small sample size in the analysis cohort, serum 25(OH)D could only be classified into two categories. Data on lifestyle factors were subject to misclassification due to self-reporting, and missing data on baseline characteristics were classified as unknown category. Both could have resulted in residual confounding. Nonetheless, results before and after multiple imputation of missing data showed a similar pattern. Residual confounding by unmeasured lifestyle factors such as diet or unknown confounders cannot be excluded either. The definition of diabetes was based on self-report information and non-fasting blood glucose values. There is a possibility of information bias for the diagnosis as we did not have data on fasting plasma glucose values of the participants. However, 96% of the questionnaire-based diabetes diagnoses of HUNT were previously verified through a linkage with medical records. Misclassification of diabetes types was less likely as cases of T2DM were defined by including GADA<0.08 ai in the definition. Participants were mainly Caucasian, reducing the generalizability to other ethnic populations. Indeed, the genetic susceptibility for T2DM may differ between populations. For instance, additional SNPs associated with T2DM have been identified in Eastern Asians.

CONCLUSION

Overall, we observed that lower serum 25(OH)D level was associated with an increased risk of T2DM in Norwegian adults. The association was modified by family history of diabetes but not by genetic predisposition to T2DM. Our findings should be replicated in future studies in European and other ethnic populations with a larger sample size.
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