‘Never again will I be carefree’: a qualitative study of the impact of hypoglycemia on quality of life among adults with type 1 diabetes
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ABSTRACT

Introduction

Achieving glycemic targets and optimizing quality of life (QoL) are important goals of type 1 diabetes care. Hypoglycemia is a common barrier to achieving targets and can be associated with significant distress. However, the impact of hypoglycemia on QoL is not fully understood. The aim of this study was to explore how adults with type 1 diabetes are impacted by hypoglycemia in areas of life that are important to their overall QoL.

Research design and methods

Participants responded to a web-based qualitative survey involving a novel ‘Wheel of Life’ activity. Responses were analyzed using reflexive thematic analysis.

Results

The final sample included 219 adults with type 1 diabetes from Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands, and the UK. They had a mean±SD age of 39±13 years and diabetes duration of 20±14 years. Participants identified eight areas of life important to their overall QoL, including relationships and social life, work and studies, leisure and physical activity, everyday life, sleep, sex life, physical health, and mental health. Participants reported emotional, behavioral, cognitive, and social impacts of hypoglycemia within domains. Across domains, participants described interruptions, limited participation in activities, exhaustion, fear of hypoglycemia, compensatory strategies to prevent hypoglycemia, and reduced spontaneity.

Conclusions

The findings emphasize the profound impact of hypoglycemia on QoL and diabetes self-care behaviors. Diabetes services should be aware of and address the burden of hypoglycemia to provide person-centered care. Clinicians could ask individuals how hypoglycemia affects important areas of their lives to better understand the personal impact and develop tailored management plans.

INTRODUCTION

Hypoglycemia remains a common barrier to optimal glycemic management for adults with type 1 diabetes, despite advances in insulin analogs, insulin delivery devices, and glucose monitoring devices.1,2 Episodes can be frightening and life-threatening, with some resulting in loss of consciousness, seizure, and rarely, sudden death.3 Adults with type 1 diabetes report that hypoglycemia impairs their sleep quality, work productivity, driving safety, and overall quality of life (QoL).4,5

Significance of this study

What is already known about this subject?

► Hypoglycemia is common and burdensome among adults with type 1 diabetes, yet its impact on quality of life (QoL) is not fully understood.

What are the new findings?

► Adults with type 1 diabetes experience emotional, social, cognitive, and behavioral impacts of hypoglycemia on their relationships, work/studies, leisure, everyday life, sleep, sex life, and physical/mental health.

► There are short-term impacts of hypoglycemic episodes as well as persistent impacts of living with the risk of hypoglycemia.

► Hypoglycemic episodes caused interruptions, limited participation in activities, reduced performance on activities/tasks, exhaustion, and concentration difficulties.

► In terms of living with the risk of hypoglycemia, participants feared and were preoccupied with hypoglycemia, maintained higher glucose and frequently checked their glucose to prevent hypoglycemia, and were limited in their spontaneity.

How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?

► Healthcare professionals’ need to understand the multifaceted impact of hypoglycemia on QoL and diabetes self-management.

► Clinicians could ask individuals how hypoglycemia affects important areas of their lives to better understand the personal impact, then facilitate access to tools and develop tailored hypoglycemia management plans accordingly.

► Further research is needed to determine whether these results are corroborated in unselected and culturally diverse samples.
QoL is increasingly emphasized as an important health outcome, with optimization of QoL a fundamental goal of diabetes care. It is a subjective and multi-faceted construct encompassing physical, psychological, and social factors. While the impact of hypoglycemia on QoL is recognized in research and clinical practice, it is not well understood.

Previous studies of the impact of hypoglycemia have focused almost exclusively on psychological outcomes, namely fear of hypoglycemia. This restricts our understanding of the burden to the impact on emotional aspects of QoL and limits our understanding of other potentially important domains (eg, work or relationships). In addition, past research has used measures that assess other constructs (eg, health status) and applied fixed frameworks of QoL that may not be relevant to study participants.

While qualitative studies have focused on experiences of impaired hypoglycemia awareness and the challenges imposed by hypoglycemia, no study has investigated how adults with type 1 diabetes are affected by hypoglycemia in QoL domains that are personally important to them. The aim of this study was to explore the impact of hypoglycemia on QoL by inviting adults with type 1 diabetes to describe how important areas of their lives are affected by hypoglycemia. This study was conducted across four European countries (Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands, and the UK), with the secondary aim of examining between-country differences in hypoglycemia impacts.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS
This study involved a web-based qualitative survey.

Participants
In each of the target countries, the study was advertised in diabetes clinics, on social media, and via e-newsletters distributed by diabetes associations. Individuals were eligible to participate if they were ≥18 years old, self-reporting a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes, and living in one of the target countries. Sample size targets were N=50 in each country, based on previous studies of people with diabetes achieving conceptual saturation with similar sample sizes.

Materials
The survey involved a ‘Wheel of Life’ activity that asked participants to identify areas of life that are important to their overall QoL, then describe how hypoglycemia affects those areas they identified (see figure 1). This activity has been implemented as an interview method in past research on the impact of diabetes on QoL.

The method was adapted to include written instructions that enabled participants to complete the activity online without interviewer facilitation.

Demographic and clinical characteristics were assessed using validated measures and study-specific items. Eight items of the Hypoglycaemia Awareness Questionnaire assessed self-reported frequency, severity, and awareness of hypoglycemia in the past 12 months. The single-item Gold Score assessed self-reported hypoglycemia awareness. The six-item Worry subscale of the Hypoglycemia Fear Survey Short Form assessed fear of hypoglycemia. Since the study was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic, a nine-item adaptation of the Diabetes Attitudes, Wishes, and Needs 2 Impact of Diabetes Profile was included to assess the impact of the pandemic on diabetes and various aspects of QoL. Five adults with type 1 diabetes piloted the survey to verify the readability, relevance, and importance of survey questions. English survey content was then translated into Danish, Dutch, and German in accordance with best practice guidelines.

Data collection
The survey was hosted on REDCap, an online survey platform accessible via computer, tablet, or smartphone. Participants responded to screening questions to determine their eligibility. Eligible participants then reviewed an Information Sheet and gave their consent to participate anonymously by selecting checkboxes. Purposive sampling was used to recruit equal numbers of participants in each country and participants reporting the presence or absence of severe hypoglycemia in the past year. Once sample size targets were met for each group, data were checked for completeness and the survey was closed.

Data analysis
Survey records were imported into SPSS V.22 and NVivo V.12. Non-English records were translated via Google Translate then reviewed by native speakers of the original language for semantic equivalence between original and translated versions. Translated free-text responses were analyzed using reflexive thematic analysis. Two researchers (HC and MVJ) developed the coding framework with consultation from coauthors. HC coded 100% of the dataset and MVJ coded 20% for comparison. Researchers generated initial codes by familiarizing themselves with free-text responses then summarizing data extracts line-by-line within QoL domains. Extracts were only coded if they were meaningful and able to be interpreted, usually more than one word or short responses. Codes were observed to be qualitatively similar between countries; therefore, responses were pooled for theme development. Semantically related codes were clustered to develop themes within QoL domains. Themes were reviewed for goodness-of-fit, coherency, and discrimination from other themes. Researchers determined whether extracts within domains reflected emotional, behavioral, cognitive, or social impacts to evaluate the impact of hypoglycemia across these areas of functioning. Descriptive statistics were calculated for demographic and clinical variables. Between-group differences (by gender, country, and experience of severe hypoglycemia in the past year) were examined using χ² tests.
RESULTS

Of the 237 survey respondents, 18 did not attempt the ‘Wheel of Life’ and were excluded. Excluded participants were more likely to be men, engaged in unpaid work, and report more worry about hypoglycaemia, compared to included participants (online supplemental table 1).

Sample characteristics

The final sample included 219 adults with type 1 diabetes. They had a mean±SD age of 39±13 years and diabetes duration of 20±14 years. Eighty-three per cent (n=182) were women. Sixty-nine per cent (n=151) were living with a spouse or partner. Forty-two per cent (n=92) were working full-time. Seventy-seven per cent (n=170) were using flash glucose monitoring or continuous glucose monitoring (CGM). Fifty-three per cent (n=116) were using an insulin pump. Forty-seven per cent (n=102) were using multiple daily injections. Participants reported a median (IQR) of 4 (2-6) hypoglycemic episodes of any severity in the past week. Thirty-two per cent (n=70) of the sample reported at least one severe episode in the past year. Frequency of severe hypoglycaemia in the past year ranged from 1 to 240 episodes per person, with a total of 624 episodes across those 70 participants reporting at least one episode. Thirty-nine per cent (n=87) reported impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia (IAH) (Gold Score ≥4). Fifteen per cent (n=123) reported a comorbid physical or mental health diagnosis. Mean composite scores on the adapted DPP indicated that overall QoL had been ‘slightly negatively’ impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic (online supplemental table 2).
QoL domains

Using the 'Wheel of Life', participants identified a mean±SD of 4±1 areas of life important to their overall QoL. Table 1 presents the eight QoL domains identified across responses, including the number of times each domain was nominated. Women were more likely than men to nominate relationships as an important area of life. There were no further differences in the number of times each domain was nominated by gender, country, or experience of severe hypoglycemia in the past year (online supplemental tables 3–5).

Impact of hypoglycemia on QoL

Table 2 presents the reported impact of hypoglycemia on QoL domains. The length of free-text responses ranged from 1 to 382 words, with a median of 24 words. Of the 859 responses, 74% (n=635) were meaningful and able to be interpreted. In five of the eight domains, participants indicated that every area of functioning was affected by hypoglycemia. Participants rarely reported that QoL domains were unaffected by hypoglycemia (5%; n=29 of meaningful responses).

Participants from Germany were more likely than participants from other countries to report an impact on their leisure and physical activity. Participants from the Netherlands were more likely to report mental health impacts. Women were more likely than men to report an impact on their work or studies. Participants who experienced severe hypoglycemia in the past year were more likely than participants who had not experienced severe hypoglycemia in the past year to report an impact on their sex life. There were no further differences between groups (online supplemental tables 6–8). Box 1 presents participants’ quotations to illustrate themes.

Relationships and social life

Disrupted quality time

Participants explained that hypoglycemia and its after-effects limited their ability to interact with family and friends. During hypoglycemia, they found it difficult to speak, respond, and ‘connect emotionally with what people are saying’. Some felt embarrassed about their ‘childish’, ‘resistant’, or drunken-like behavior during hypoglycemia. They cancelled plans or interrupted activities to treat hypoglycemia, which was annoying for them and meant that others had to wait for them. Some were unable to enjoy meals with friends due to having eaten to treat hypoglycemia before the meal. Participants felt ‘drained’ following hypoglycemia and lacked energy for interaction. They felt moody and irritable, which sometimes resulted in arguments or ‘being unfairly angry’ towards their children. Some explained that their partners became frustrated with them for recurrences. Participants were worried about burdening others, particularly family members who helped with treatment or were inconvenienced by interruptions (eg, sensor alarms), so hypoglycemic events were ‘downplayed’ or treated discreetly to avoid worrying or bothering others.

Reduced ability to parent

Some participants found it difficult to take care of their children during hypoglycemia, as they had to ‘attend to their own needs first’. They felt unable to fulfill their usual role and responsibilities due to hypoglycemia, and sometimes had to relinquish care of their children to their spouse/partner. Some stated that their children had ‘treated hypos for them’ and ‘taken care of them’, which was distressing for parents. Some expressed concerns about taking care of their child without another adult present, due to the risk of hypoglycemia.

Fears and compensatory strategies

Participants feared hypoglycemia occurring in the company of others and felt uncomfortable with others potentially witnessing episodes. Consequently, they accepted less social invitations, participated less actively in certain activities, and maintained higher glucose levels for social events. Some felt ‘trapped’, ‘paralyzed’, and completely unable to participate in social events due to the risk of hypoglycemia. They reported a lack

Table 1 QoL domains important to overall QoL among adults with type 1 diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QoL domain</th>
<th>Number of references*</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relationships and social life</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>Relationships with spouses/partners, children, other family members, and friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work and studies</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>Work, school/university, and other vocational activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure and physical activity</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>Sports, exercise, travel/vacation, and other hobbies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyday life</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>Driving, eating, household duties, and other functional activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Bedtime routine, sleep, and next-day functioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex life</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Sexual activity and intimacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical health</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Specific aspects of health (eg, pregnancy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mood fluctuations and emotional difficulties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*D Domains may have been nominated multiple times across the ‘Wheel of Life’ by a single participant (eg, ‘work meetings’, ‘working life’, and ‘talks with colleagues’), hence the number of references for a QoL domain may exceed the total number of participants. QoL, quality of life.
of flexibility in making plans, with outings needing to be well-organized rather than spontaneous to mitigate risk. By contrast, some explained that they felt able to manage the risk of hypoglycemia because they were well-supported by family and friends.

**Work and studies**

**Reduced productivity and performance**

Participants experienced reduced productivity or performance at work or school/university due to hypoglycemia. They described exhaustion and concentration difficulties due to both nocturnal episodes and episodes that occurred during work hours. Difficulties with focus, alertness, and ‘mental sharpness’ were linked to suboptimal execution of tasks (‘overlooking things’, ‘making mistakes’, or ‘missing deadlines’). They often interrupted tasks to manage hypoglycemia, which was annoying for them. They checked their glucose and treated hypoglycemia in secret to avoid unwanted attention or negative evaluation from colleagues. Participants described long recovery periods and felt pressured to resume tasks despite feeling unwell. They sometimes arrived late to work or took sick leave following nocturnal hypoglycemia.

**Fears and compensatory strategies**

Participants feared hypoglycemia at work and were worried about appearing unprofessional, frightening others, or embarrassing themselves. They maintained

### Table 2 Impact of hypoglycemia on QoL domains: themes, number of references (N), described impacts, and areas of functioning affected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domains/Themes</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Emotional</th>
<th>Behavioral</th>
<th>Cognitive</th>
<th>Social</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relationships and social life</strong></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disrupted quality time</td>
<td>117</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interrupted/cancelled activities, irritability/conflict, worry about burdening others, fatigue, unable to interact with others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced ability to parent</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unable to fulfil roles and responsibilities, unable to take care of children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fears and compensatory strategies</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lack spontaneity, less active participation, maintain higher glucose levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work and studies</strong></td>
<td>148</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced productivity or performance</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fatigue, poor concentration, interrupted/stopped tasks, fear negative evaluation from colleagues, late arrival/sick leave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fears and compensatory strategies</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fear of hypoglycemia, maintain higher glucose levels, check glucose levels frequently</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited employment prospects</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Difficult to get/keep job, work part-time for less pressure, unable to pursue certain job prospects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leisure and physical activity</strong></td>
<td>122</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fears and limited spontaneity</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Limited/ceased participation, lack spontaneity, fear of hypoglycemia, maintain higher glucose levels, check glucose levels repeatedly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interrupted, discontinued, or cancelled activities</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>Breaks taken, activities cut short/cancelled, reduced performance, fatigue, concentration difficulties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Everyday life</strong></td>
<td>89</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited driving freedom</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fear of hypoglycemia, maintain higher glucose levels, check glucose levels frequently, unable to drive/delayed in starting trip</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day-to-day burdens</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>Carry treatments/equipment, interrupted/discontinued household duties, financial burden of treatments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited dietary freedom</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Food choices dictated by glucose levels, unable to enjoy foods associated with hypoglycemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sleep</strong></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fears and compensatory strategies</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fear hypoglycemic coma/not waking up, maintain higher glucose levels, set alarms to check glucose levels overnight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disrupted sleep</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple interruptions, delayed sleep onset, unable to return to sleep, nightmares, rebound hyperglycemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next-day fatigue</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exhaustion, bad moods, and impaired functioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex life</strong></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postponed, interrupted, or discontinued sexual activity</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interrupted/discontinued sex, limited ability to enjoy sex, worry about disappointing partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced spontaneity</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fear of/preoccupation with hypoglycemia during sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical health</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other aspects of health negatively affected</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Weight gain/unable to lose weight, comorbidities exacerbated, pregnancy complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mental health</strong></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional difficulties</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative emotional states, ashamed/angry with self for recurrences, disordered eating behaviors exacerbated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent stress</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fear of/preoccupation with the risk of hypoglycemia, less carefree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excerpts may be coded to more than one subtheme, thus N for themes may exceed the sum of subtheme N. Emotional impacts=effects on mood. Behavioral impacts=effects on actions or conduct. Cognitive impacts=effects on thinking or decision-making. Social impacts=effects on interactions with others.

QoL, quality of life.
higher glucose levels and checked their glucose frequently to prevent hypoglycemia. Some commented on the advantages of CGM for discreet glucose checking and hypoglycemia prevention at work.

### Limited employment prospects
Some participants were self-employed, unemployed, retired, or felt limited in pursuing their ‘dream job’. Some explained that it was difficult to get or maintain a job due to the risk of hypoglycemia. Others opted for part-time work for fewer hours and ‘less work pressure’.

### Leisure and physical activity
**Interrupted, discontinued, or canceled activities**
Participants ‘cut short’ or ‘called off’ sports, exercise, and leisure activities due to hypoglycemia. Some felt unable to work out as frequently as they wanted. Fatigue and concentration difficulties further contributed to reduced performance. They noted that hypoglycemia often restricted them and ‘takes the fun out things’, which was frustrating for them. Participants explained that the need to consume additional calories to manage (the risk of) hypoglycemia ‘makes exercise seem pointless’.

### Fears and limited spontaneity
Participants feared hypoglycemia during physical activity and stated that ‘activities are difficult to implement spontaneously’. Rigorous planning was required to account for glucose fluctuations that occurred with exercise. Some attempted to prevent hypoglycemia by maintaining higher glucose levels and checking their glucose frequently, which could be disruptive and ‘defeat the purpose’ of the activity (eg, walking for relaxation). Some chose low-key or less physically active pursuits to prevent hypoglycemia. Others participated less often in certain activities (eg, leading church events) due to fear of hypoglycemia.

### Everyday life
**Limited driving freedom**
Participants experienced driving as stressful due to fear of hypoglycemia while driving. They attempted to offset their fears by checking their glucose frequently and maintaining higher glucose levels while driving. Trips were delayed or interrupted due to hypoglycemia, which was annoying for them and meant that they had to cancel or arrive late to appointments. Some had been involved in a car accident due to hypoglycemia or had experienced discrimination when renewing their driver’s license. Some mentioned that CGM had offered greater safety, security, and flexibility with driving.

### Day-to-day burdens
Participants described the burden of constantly carrying hypoglycemia treatments and equipment. They felt frustrated when household duties had to be interrupted, postponed, or discontinued due to hypoglycemia. Participants were burdened by the financial costs associated with hypoglycemia, including treatments and diabetes supports not covered by insurance (eg, service dog).

### Limited dietary freedom
Participants often had to ingest carbohydrates to treat hypoglycemia despite not being hungry, which conflicted with their healthy eating/weight loss goals and enjoyment...
of shared meals. Food choices were often dictated by glucose levels and some previously enjoyed foods had become too closely associated with hypoglycemia treatment. Some limited their alcohol intake due to fear of hypoglycemia and ‘losing control’.

Sleep
Fears and compensatory strategies
Participants were afraid of hypoglycemic coma or not waking up from sleep due to hypoglycemia. Many attempted to prevent hypoglycemia by maintaining higher glucose levels overnight and setting alarms to check their glucose throughout the night. Participants felt tense when falling asleep due to the risk of hypoglycemia. Many commented on the benefits of CGM for alleviating fear and alerting them to low glucose. Some mentioned that CGM alarms “didn’t always wake them up” or were too disruptive, which led some to switch off alarms.

Disrupted sleep
Participants and their spouses/partners experienced multiple sleep interruptions due to hypoglycemia, including sensor alarms, delayed sleep onset due to glucose fluctuations, difficulties falling asleep after waking up with hypoglycemia, nightmares, and rebound hyperglycemia due to overtreatment of hypoglycemia and associated counterregulation.

Next-day fatigue
Participants felt unrested and ‘drained’ following nocturnal hypoglycemia and sleep disruptions. Next-day fatigue was associated with ‘bad moods’ and impaired functioning. Some mentioned headaches and ‘feeling hungover’, which was associated with concentration difficulties and ‘possible incapacity for work’.

Sex life
Sexual activities were often postponed, interrupted, or discontinued due to hypoglycemia. Some participants were ‘too tired’ or ‘not receptive’ to sexual advances following hypoglycemia. Others were unable to achieve climax and some men reported difficulties getting an erection. Consequently, ‘lust or pleasure dissipated’ and they felt ‘bad’, ‘frustrated’, ‘embarrassed’, ‘insecure’, or ‘humiliated’. Participants were worried about disappointing or frightening their partner, particularly in a new relationship and/or when their partner was unfamiliar with hypoglycemia. Since sex often induced hypoglycemia, participants lacked spontaneity with sex or were preoccupied with fear of hypoglycemia during sex.

Physical health
Due to consuming additional calories to treat hypoglycemia, some had gained weight or found it difficult to lose weight, and felt uncomfortable with their body. Some women explained that, prior to pregnancy, it was difficult to achieve recommended hemoglobin A1c levels because they were too scared to maintain glucose levels in such a low range or overtreated hypoglycemia and experienced rebound hyperglycemia. Some mentioned that comorbidities (eg, multiple sclerosis) were exacerbated by hypoglycemia. Participants explained that they were fearful of long-term health complications due to frequent hypoglycemia and/or rebound hyperglycemia.

Mental health
Emotional difficulties during and after episodes
Participants described many emotional states during and after hypoglycemia, including irritability, sadness, helplessness, and general moodiness. They felt ashamed or angry with themselves for recurrences. Some explained that hypoglycemia exacerbated stress and pre-existing disordered eating behaviors, whereby they suspected or turned off their insulin pumps and/or skipped meals to compensate for calories consumed for hypoglycemia treatment.

Persistent emotional impacts of hypoglycemia
Participants described a pervasive sense of anxiety and ‘tenseness’ related to the ever-present threat of hypoglycemia. They reported an enduring fear of hypoglycemia between episodes. Many were preoccupied with hypoglycemia and always ‘working on’ hypoglycemia, at the expense of enjoying leisure activities and quality time with others. Participants commented that they no longer had ‘peace of mind’: “Never again will I be carefree”.

DISCUSSION

This web-based qualitative study is the first to explore the impact of hypoglycemia on areas of life that adults with type 1 diabetes identify as important to their overall QoL. The findings show that hypoglycemia affects many areas of life important to adults with type 1 diabetes, including their relationships and social life, work and studies, leisure and physical activity, everyday life, sleep, sex life, physical health, and mental health. Participants described the short-term impacts of hypoglycemic episodes as well as the persistent impacts of living with the risk of hypoglycemia. Common reports across domains relating to hypoglycemic episodes were interruptions, limited participation in activities, reduced performance, exhaustion, and concentration difficulties. Common reports relating to living with the risk of hypoglycemia were fear of and preoccupation with hypoglycemia, maintenance of higher glucose and frequent glucose checking to prevent hypoglycemia, and limited spontaneity. These findings were largely consistent across four European countries.

Participants experienced emotional, behavioral, cognitive, and social impacts in most QoL domains, which indicates that hypoglycemia affects many areas of functioning, including the way individuals think, feel, behave, and interact with others. In addition to reported impacts on mental health, participants indicated that hypoglycemia affected their emotional functioning in all other QoL domains, which shows that hypoglycemia can have a
pervasive impact on emotional well-being. Fear of hypoglycemia was mentioned frequently across domains, with some participants describing long-standing fears of dying during sleep.

Past research has examined the impact of diabetes on sex life, and fear of hypoglycemia during sex for young adults with diabetes, but not the impact of hypoglycemia on sex life for adults with type 1 diabetes. Participants in this study felt less able to derive enjoyment and pleasure from sex due to (the risk of) hypoglycemia, and consequently, felt frustrated, embarrassed, insecure, and humiliated. When considered alongside other findings that hypoglycemia limits participation in and enjoyment of social interactions, this finding implies that hypoglycemia hinders an individual's ability to relate to others in many ways.

Generic QoL measures typically assess other domains of QoL that were rarely mentioned by participants in the current study, including financial and spiritual factors, although participants were not prompted to consider all QoL domains. With respect to financial impacts, the countries targeted in this study have universal healthcare systems, thus the impact of hypoglycemia on financial resources may be inherently lessened. There could be a greater impact of hypoglycemia on QoL in countries without universal healthcare systems.

The finding that positive support from others helps individuals to cope with the challenges of hypoglycemia indicates that the burden of hypoglycemia can be mitigated if support needs are met. While participants mentioned the benefits of diabetes technologies for hypoglycemia prevention, there were conflicting reports about the advantages of technologies for sleep, whereby CGM sensors allayed fears of nocturnal hypoglycemia but disrupted sleep with alarms. The finding that some participants switch off their CGM alarms indicates that alarms are burdensome despite keeping participants safe.

Strengths and limitations
The ‘Wheel of Life’ was a key strength of this study as it provided a novel method for exploring areas of life that participants defined as important to their QoL, without researchers imposing a definition. While some participants reported minimal to no impact on certain domains, there was no mention of positive impacts of hypoglycemia, unlike previous studies in which people with diabetes have reported the benefits of diabetes management for healthy eating and exercise. However, the ‘Wheel of Life’ instructions prompted participants to consider the various impacts of hypoglycemia and included examples of challenges, so participants may have been more primed to consider negative impacts. Nonetheless, participants could openly describe any type of impact relevant to their experience. The web-based format offered participants the time to consider their responses carefully and allowed for lengthy and candid descriptions of sensitive issues (eg, sexuality). Conversely, researchers were unable to seek clarification of meaning, and some meaning may have been lost during translation.

While the web-based format enabled access to participants from multiple countries, this study may be subject to self-selection bias. There was an over-representation of participants with IAH, which may have further skewed responses toward reports of negative impacts. There was little mention of hypoglycemia awareness in qualitative responses, which may indicate that participants were not concerned about reduced awareness. While participants mentioned the impact of hypoglycemia on others (eg, CGM alarms being disruptive), it may be that they underestimated the impact of hypoglycemia on their relatives and the findings likely do not capture the full impact of hypoglycemia on relatives. The majority reported no severe episodes in the past year, which may imply that the impacts described do not refer solely to severe episodes and/or that severe episodes can have a long-standing impact. Future studies could differentiate between impacts of hypoglycemic episodes requiring the assistance of others versus episodes that are self-treated.

There was an over-representation of women in this sample, although quantitative analysis revealed minimal differences and free-text responses were not observed to be qualitatively different (eg, box 1). Further research is needed to examine the impact of hypoglycemia on QoL among men with type 1 diabetes, especially since there is evidence of gender differences in fear of hypoglycemia. This study may have been biased in terms of greater representation of socially advantaged people with internet access and social media engagement. Nonetheless, data were collected from a large, heterogeneous, multi-country (though mostly European) sample.

CONCLUSIONS
This study emphasizes the profound impact of hypoglycemia on areas of life that typically serve as an individual’s resources, including their relationships and vocational activities. The findings indicate that hypoglycemia can interfere with basic human needs being met, from the physiological need for sleep to the social need for connection with others, which may account for the relationship between (fear of) hypoglycemia and mental health comorbidities. The ‘Wheel of Life’ was instrumental in eliciting rich personal accounts and should be used in future studies to determine whether these findings are corroborated in unselected and culturally diverse samples.

Despite the rise in tools to defend against hypoglycemia, adults with type 1 diabetes continue to report difficulties with hypoglycemia management. Maintaining higher glucose levels to prevent hypoglycemia is common and can have serious health implications. The findings indicate that, beyond hypoglycemic episodes, fear of hypoglycemia and compensatory strategies to prevent hypoglycemia can be disruptive and debilitating in themselves. Thus, the absence of severe
hypoglycemia may not always reflect minimal impact on QoL. The findings can inform healthcare professionals’ understanding of the multifaceted impact of hypoglycemia on psychosocial functioning and diabetes self-care behaviors. Diabetes services should be aware of and address the burden of hypoglycemia to provide person-centered care. Clinicians could ask individuals how hypoglycemia affects important areas of their lives to better understand the personal impact, then facilitate access to tools and develop tailored hypoglycemia management plans accordingly.
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