Prevalence of fear of hypoglycemia in adults with type 1 diabetes using a newly developed screener and clinician's perspective on its implementation

Megan E. Peter¹, Nicole Rioles¹, Jingwen Liu¹, Katherine Chapman¹, Wendy A. Wolf¹,

Huyen Nguyen¹, Marina Basina², Halis Kaan Akturk³, Osagie Ebekozien¹, Magaly-Nieves Perez⁴, Jiat Ling Poon⁴, Beth Mitchell^{4*}

¹T1D Exchange, Boston, MA, US, ²Stanford Diabetes Research Center, Stanford, California, US, ³University of Colorado, Aurora, CO, US, ⁴Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, US

*Corresponding Author:

Beth Mitchell

Eli Lilly and Company,

Lilly Corporate Center,

Indianapolis, IN 46285, USA

Email: mitchell_beth_d@lilly.com

Topic

Current psychosocial care

	 What are top psychosocial needs you see from your 				
	patients?				
	• Do you have mental health care professionals within the				
	clinic/health system?				
	• How much time during a visit do you typically spend (if				
	any) to discuss patient's psychosocial needs?				
Value of screening for and	• What is your reaction to the survey results?				
treating FoH	 Do you currently assess participants for fear of 				
	hypoglycemia?				
	• What do you believe are the primary health outcomes				
	associated with FoH?				
	 Do you believe other clinicians in your clinic would be 				
	interested in using the FoH screener? Why or why not?				
Implementing the FoH	• Can you describe what the clinic flow would look like to				
screener	implement the screener at your clinic?				
	 How confident are you that your clinic could successfully 				
	implement the screener?				
	 What are barriers to adopting FoH screener at your 				
	clinic?				
	 Do you think the FoH screener will be effective at your 				
	clinic?				
	 How do you manage your patients for fear of 				
	hypoglycemia?				
	• Are the screener scores enough for you to make treatment				
	decisions? Or do you prefer to see clinical				
	recommendations based on the scores?				
	 Do you feel your clinic has sufficient resources for 				
	intervention if a patient scores high for FoH?				
	• How often do you think a patient should be screened for				

Supplementary Table 1: Questions used in focus group semi-structured interviews

diabetes?

•

Questions used in the HCP interviews

How long have you been caring for adults with type 1

FoH?
• If resource is limited, what types of patients do you think
should be prioritized for FoH screening?

Abbreviations: FoH, fear of hypoglycemia; HCP, healthcare provider

Domain	Theme	Theme Description	Ν
1: Psychosocial	1. Psychosocial	Common psychosocial problems seen in adult	32
needs, care,	needs of patients	patients with type 1 diabetes.	
and	2. Mental healthcare	Mental healthcare providers within the	51
communication		clinic/healthcare system, referring patients to	
		mental healthcare providers, and assessing patient	
		progress after referral to mental health.	
	3. Psychosocial	How HCPs discuss psychosocial issues with	60
	communication	patients, including the amount of time spent	
		during clinic visits discussing psychosocial needs.	
2: FoH	4. Current method	Current method(s) HCPs use to assess FoH in	19
patterns,	for assessing FoH	patients.	
assessment,	5. Patterns of FoH in	Patterns of FoH seen in clinical practice,	20
and	clinical practice	including which patients tend to have FoH.	
management	6. Health outcomes	Perceived health outcomes associated with fear of	14
	associated with FoH	hypoglycemia.	
	7. Managing FoH	Methods providers use to manage fear of	30
		hypoglycemia in patients.	
3: Screener	8. Reaction to survey	General reaction to the survey results as presented	13
survey results,	results	in the one-page handout summary.	
interest, and	9. Interest in	Overall interest in implementing the screener into	16
implementation	screener	their practice.	
	10. Implementing	Implementation barriers, suggestions, patients to	106
	screener	prioritize, and whether screener results would	
		influence treatment decisions.	
4: Unprompted	11. Unprompted	Comments about diabetes-specific technology and	11
comments on	comments about	devices, including their drawbacks.	
resources and	devices		
devices	12. Unprompted	Resources in clinic (or desired/necessary	14
	Clinic resources	resources) for patients with type 1 diabetes, and	
	and access	comments regarding access to specialized care.	

Supplementary Table 2: Domains and Themes from Focus Group

Abbreviations: FoH, fear of hypoglycemia; HCP, healthcare provider; N, number of instances when the specific theme was discussed in the focus group interviews.

Scale or subscale	Score range	N (%)	
Total score (α=0.88)			
Low FoH total	9-30	387 (70%)	
High FoH total	31 - 44	166 (30%)	
Worry score (α=0.90)			
Low FoH worry	6 – 23	418 (76%)	
High FoH worry	24 - 30	135 (24%)	
Behavior score (α=0.71)			
Low FoH behavior	3 – 9	398 (72%)	
High FoH behavior	10 - 15	155 (28%)	

Supplementary Table 3: Descriptive statistics of FoH screener scores

Abbreviations: FoH, fear of hypoglycemia; N, total number of participants Note: α=Cronbach's alpha

Outcome variables			High FoH (domain scores)		
	В	\mathbf{R}^2	В	В	R ²
	(Total	(Total	(Worry	(Behavior	(Doma
	score) ^a	score) ^a	subscale) ^a	subscale) ^a	in
					score)
					а
Self-reported A1c (%)	0.56***	0.11	0.11	0.54***	0.11
Number of	1.08***	0.18	0.71***	0.50*	0.16
comorbidities		0.16			
Comfortable blood	5.31**	0.03	3.17	1.57	0.02
glucose range – low [#]					
Comfortable blood	6.06	0.01	-1.95	15.49***	0.03
glucose range – high [#]					
Depression (PHQ-8)	0.45***	0.15	0.27***	0.30***	0.15
Anxiety (GAD-7)	0.52***	0.16	0.35***	0.35***	0.17

Supplementary Table 4: Multiple regressions predicting diabetes and psychosocial outcomes from binary FoH screener total and domain (worry and behavior) scores

Abbreviations: A1c, glycated hemoglobin; FoH, fear of hypoglycemia; HFS, Hypoglycemia Fear Survey; GAD-7, Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7; PHQ-8, Patient Health Questionnaire-8; T1D, type 1 diabetes. Note: *** p<0.001, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05; * Participants' comfortable blood glucose range [70 mg/dL (low) and 180 mg/dL (high)]; B=Unstandardized Regression Coefficient (indicates the difference in each outcome variable between participants who reported high FoH score (>30) and participants who reported low FoH score (\leq 30)"; R²Coefficient of determination.

^a Each outcome variable was entered into two linear regression models: i) with High total FoH (being 1 if total FoH score>30) as an independent variable; ii) with High FoH worry (being 1 if worry score>23) and High FoH behavior (being 1 if behavior score>9) as independent variables. All models controlled for age, gender, body mass index, duration of T1D, pump use, and continuous glucose monitor use.